

Southern Illinois University Edwardsville

**SPARK**

---

SIU Board of Trustees Annual Reports

University Archives and Special Collections

---

6-30-1984

## 1983-1984 Annual Report

Southern Illinois University Board of Trustees

Follow this and additional works at: <https://spark.siu.edu/bot>

---

### Recommended Citation

Southern Illinois University Board of Trustees, "1983-1984 Annual Report" (1984). *SIU Board of Trustees Annual Reports*. 37.

<https://spark.siu.edu/bot/37>

This Annual Report is brought to you for free and open access by the University Archives and Special Collections at SPARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in SIU Board of Trustees Annual Reports by an authorized administrator of SPARK. For more information, please contact [jkohlbu@siue.edu](mailto:jkohlbu@siue.edu).

# ANNUAL REPORT

of the Board of Trustees  
Southern Illinois University

1983-84



ANNUAL REPORT  
of the Board of Trustees  
Southern Illinois University

1983-84

Southern Illinois University - Board of Trustees  
CARBONDALE, ILLINOIS 62901



June 29, 1984

The Honorable James R. Thompson  
Governor of the State of Illinois

Sir:

We have the honor to submit to you herewith, in compliance with the law, the thirty-fifth annual report of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University for fiscal year July 1, 1983 to June 30, 1984.

Respectfully yours,

Carol Kimmel  
Secretary

BOARD OF TRUSTEES  
(as of June 30, 1984)

David Berry	Edwardsville
Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.	Carmi
Crete B. Harvey	Sterling
Sharon Hutcherson	Carbondale
Carol Kimmel	Moline
William R. Norwood	Rolling Meadows
Harris Rowe	Jacksonville
A. D. Van Meter, Jr.	Springfield
George T. Wilkins, Jr.	Edwardsville

OFFICERS

Harris Rowe, Chairman  
A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman  
Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary  
C. Richard Gruny, Board Legal Counsel  
Donald W. Wilson, Board Treasurer and System Financial Officer

ACADEMIC MATTERS COMMITTEE

Carol Kimmel, Chairperson  
Sharon Hutcherson  
Harris Rowe

ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN COMMITTEE

A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Chairman  
Crete B. Harvey  
George T. Wilkins, Jr.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Harris Rowe, Chairman  
Carol Kimmel  
George T. Wilkins, Jr.

FINANCE COMMITTEE

Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Chairman  
David Berry  
William R. Norwood

## CONTENTS

### Minutes of Meetings

July 13, 1983 . . . . .	1
September 8, 1983 . . . . .	58
November 9, 1983 (Special) . . . . .	112
November 10, 1983 . . . . .	114
December 7, 1983 (Special) . . . . .	136
December 8, 1983 . . . . .	138
February 8, 1984 (Special) . . . . .	178
February 9, 1984 . . . . .	180
March 8, 1984 . . . . .	236
April 12, 1984 . . . . .	286
May 10, 1984 . . . . .	315
June 14, 1984 . . . . .	345
Index . . . . .	385

NOTE Copies of all matters furnished to the Board in connection with personnel transactions appear in the monthly minutes as approved by the Board of Trustees and are on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

July 13, 1983

1

CONTENTS

Roll Call . . . . .	3
Trustee Reports . . . . .	3
Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Public Service Award . . . . .	4
Committee Reports . . . . .	6
Executive Committee . . . . .	6
Architecture and Design Committee . . . . .	6
Current and Pending Matter: Library Storage Facility, SIUC . . . . .	6
Finance Committee . . . . .	7
Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, May, 1983, SIUC and SIUE . . . . .	8
Resource Allocation and Management Program (RAMP) Submissions, Fiscal Year 1985; Capital Budget Requests . . . . .	9
Update of Purchasing Policies [Amendment to 5 <u>Policies of the Board C-2</u> ] . . . . .	18
Approval of Minutes of the Meeting held June 9, 1983 . . . . .	23
Amendment to Tuition and Fee Policies, SIUE [Amendment to 4 <u>Policies of the Board C</u> ] . . . . .	23
Operating RAMP Guidelines for Fiscal Year 1985 . . . . .	30
Resource Allocation and Management Program (RAMP) Planning Documents, Fiscal Year 1985: . . . . .	34
Planning Statements, Program Reviews, New Program Requests, Expanded/Improved Program Requests, and Special Analytical Studies, SIUC . . . . .	34
Planning Statements, Program Reviews, Expanded/Improved Program Requests, and Special Analytical Study, SIU School of Medicine . . . . .	40
Planning Statements, Program Reviews, New and Expanded/ Improved Program Requests, and Special Analytical Studies, SIUE . . . . .	42
Salary Increase Plans for Fiscal Year 1984 . . . . .	47

Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of the SIU System . . . . .	56
Report on Application for Appeal of James D. Gibson, SIUE . . . . .	56
FY 1984 Planning . . . . .	56
Legislative Activity . . . . .	56



The regular monthly meeting of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University convened on Wednesday, July 13, 1983, at 10:00 a.m., in Ballroom "B" of the Student Center, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale. The regular Chairman and Secretary being present, the meeting was called to order. The following members of the Board were present:

Mr. David Berry  
Mr. Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
Mrs. Crete B. Harvey  
Ms. Sharon Hutcherson  
Mrs. Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
Mr. William R. Norwood  
Mr. Harris Rowe, Chairman  
Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.

The following member was absent:

Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman

Executive Officers present were:

Mr. Earl E. Lazerson, President, SIUE  
Dr. Kenneth A. Shaw, Chancellor of the SIU System  
Dr. Albert Somit, President, SIUC

Also present was Mrs. Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary of the Board.

The Secretary reported a quorum present.

NOTE: Copies of all background documents furnished to the Board in connection with the following matters have been placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

Under Trustee Reports, Dr. Wilkins reported that he had attended the SIUE Commencement on June 10, 1983, and he complimented President Lazerson and the entire Edwardsville campus for the work that had been done.

Mr. Elliott reported that he had attended the SIU Foundation Board of Directors' Meeting on June 10, 1983. He stated that the Foundation Board of Directors had adopted the amended Bylaws recommended by the Executive Committee. He stated that Stanley McAnally had been elected President of the Foundation and that the Board had voted to employ the firm of Barton and

Gillette to make a study of the possibility of a major fund raising drive. He continued that the Foundation was making real progress in organizing to raise additional support for the University.

Mr. Elliott stated that it was a pleasure to make the presentation of the Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Public Service Award for contributions to community, area, state or nation rather than job related activities. He recognized the three past recipients: William E. O'Brien, John Fohr, and David E. Christensen.

Mr. Elliott made the following statement:

The Board has received the committee's recommendation for the fourth award of this honor memorializing Lindell W. Sturgis and the signal service he gave to this institution. Mr. Sturgis served with distinction on the governing boards of Southern Illinois University for nearly thirty years; twenty of those years were on the Board of Trustees. He accepted further responsibilities by serving as Chairman for two years and as Vice-Chairman for several years preceding. His dedication and commitment to Southern Illinois University still stand as a model for us all to emulate. I am pleased to move that this Board present the fourth Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Public Service Award to Mrs. Carol McDermott.

The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed unanimously.

Mr. Elliott recognized Mrs. Carol McDermott and outlined for the Board the wide variety of activities which she is currently and has been involved regarding community service. He presented Mrs. McDermott with a plaque and a check for \$500.

Mrs. McDermott stated that receiving this award was a wonderfully nice feeling, but that it was an odd feeling to receive an award for things she enjoyed doing. She continued that the enjoyment came from the people with whom she has had the privilege of working: friends, board members, administrators, and her immediate co-workers at the Clinical Center. She thanked the Board of Trustees and continued that the award was especially

meaningful to her because she had known Linde11 W. Sturgis. She concluded by saying that she liked to think that both she and Mr. Sturgis were pleased about this award.

Mr. Elliott recognized the following: John McDermott; Stanley McAnally, President of the SIU Foundation; J. C. Garavalia, Operations Officer, SIU Foundation; Rex Karnes, Chairman of the Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Public Service Award Committee; and the committee members, C. Thomas Busch, David Grobe, Gary Auld, Loretta Ott, James Tweedy, and Tom Watson. He thanked the committee for the fine work they had done in support of this award.

Mrs. Kimmel reported that she had attended the Illinois Board of Higher Education meeting on July 12, 1983, at Lake County Community College. She stated that the results of the legislative session had been discussed; the IBHE was pleased at the increased funds for higher education for which credit is due to everyone involved in the coordinated efforts in this regard. She reported that a study was going on in the area of approval of off-campus programs and that some recommendations were made that the process for approving off-campus programs be changed. She stated that there will be open hearings for schools requesting off-campus programs. Concerning legislative activity, she stated that the academic community had opposed proprietary schools sharing in the funds of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission, and the bill did not pass. She reported that there were several collective bargaining bills, more than one was on the Governor's desk, and there was nothing to report at this time. She continued that IBHE had stated that it was pleased with the governing system as it is now as that related to House Bill 700, having a separate board for Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville. She reported that that bill did not pass. She stated that there was a discussion concerning

the research programs in the state; that SIU was doing very well in getting research projects, with only the University of Illinois having more projects.

Under Committee Reports, Mrs. Kimmel had no report for the Executive Committee.

Mrs. Kimmel reported as follows on the Architecture and Design Committee which had met on Wednesday, July 13, 1983, at 9:00 a.m., in the Wabash Room of the Student Center:

The Committee considered and approved Item K, Resource Allocation and Management Program (RAMP) Submissions, Fiscal Year 1985: Capital Budget Requests, and recommends that this be placed on the Board's omnibus motion. The Committee had a presentation on the Pulliam Hall project. There is an item in the RAMP documents dealing with the rehabilitation of Pulliam Hall for use in the future. The Committee received a progress report on the study being done on McAndrew Stadium, there are no firm conclusions at this time, but the study is underway. A more detailed report on McAndrew Stadium will be given at a later time. The Committee received information items from SIUE dealing with parking lot work and the opening of new buildings on that campus. Also discussed was the matter of the Library Storage Facility for SIUC, with a resolution being presented and approved.

Mrs. Kimmel moved that the Board consider the matter of the Library Storage Facility. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the matter to have passed unanimously for consideration.

Dr. Shaw made the following comments concerning the Library Storage Facility:

This matter was discussed at the Architecture and Design Committee. The Board in March directed that I request the Capital Development Board to obtain purchase options on three possible library storage facilities with and without installed shelving for book storage needs. In order of preference these buildings were the Bracy building, the Wal-Mart building, and the Baptist Student Center. I made such a request and we learned just yesterday that the CDB had received firm prices from the owners of these facilities. They have not, however, negotiated purchase options with the three owners. The Capital Development Board suggests rather that it follow the standard operating procedure which is to attempt to reach agreement for the acquisition of the first preference and only if that cannot be obtained to enter into negotiations for the next preference and so on down the line. It is my recommendation then that our next step in this process be to request the Capital Development Board, who will be acquiring such property on the University's behalf, to undertake negotiations with the owners of our first

preference, the Bracy building, with the intention of effecting the purchase of that facility including installed shelving on terms that are satisfactory to both the owners and the Capital Development Board. As you know, it is the Capital Development Board's responsibility to negotiate a fair price. It is not our responsibility to do that. We state our priorities, they in turn attempt to assess the value of the property, and then conduct the negotiations. Additionally, I am recommending that the Capital Development Board be authorized to undertake negotiations with the owners of our second preference, the Wal-Mart building, with installed shelving if negotiations with the owners of the Bracy building cannot be satisfactorily completed. And finally, that the Capital Development Board be similarly authorized to negotiate with the owners of our third preference in the event that negotiations with our first two preferences are unsuccessful.

Mrs. Kimmel moved approval of the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System requests the Capital Development Board to undertake negotiations on behalf of the Board of Trustees with the owners of the property referred to as the Bracy building with the intention of effecting the purchase of that facility including installed shelving on terms that are satisfactory to both the owners and the Capital Development Board; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Capital Development Board be similarly authorized to undertake negotiations with the owners of the property referred to as the Wal-Mart building with the intention of effecting the purchase of that facility including installed shelving on terms that are satisfactory to both the owners and the Capital Development Board if negotiations with the owners of the Bracy building cannot be satisfactorily completed; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Capital Development Board be similarly authorized to undertake negotiations with the owners of the property referred to as the Baptist Student Center with the intention of effecting the purchase of that facility including installed shelving on terms that are satisfactory to both the owners and the Capital Development Board in the event that negotiations in the first two instances referenced above are unsuccessful.

The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

Mr. Elliott, Chairman of the Finance Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Vermilion Room of the Student Center at 8:00 a.m. that morning. He gave the following report:

The Committee received a report from the Chancellor on Fiscal Year 1984 budget activities. Item M, Salary Increase Plans for Fiscal Year 1984, was discussed and the Committee recommends that this item be adopted with an

addition to that item concerning salary increases for the Chancellor and the Presidents. The Committee received a report on Item I, Operating RAMP Guidelines for Fiscal Year 1985, and recommends approval of that item with suggested changes. Item G, Amendment to Tuition and Fee Policies, SIUE, was discussed and it was understood that this was experimental. There were some objections to this item which will be stated when the Board considers the item. The Committee did recommend that this item be adopted. Regarding Item L, Update of Purchasing Policies, the Committee recommends that this item be approved and placed on the Board's omnibus motion.

The Chair explained the procedure for the Board's omnibus motion, and he proposed that, after discussion, there would be taken up the following matters:

REPORTS OF PURCHASE ORDERS AND CONTRACTS, MAY, 1983.  
SIUC AND SIUE

In accordance with III Bylaws 1, and procedures effective April 1, 1980, summary reports of purchase orders and contracts awarded during the month of May, 1983, were mailed to the members of the Board in advance of this meeting, copies were placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees, and these reports are hereby submitted for information and entry upon the minutes of the Board with respect to the actions of the Executive Committee.

RESOURCE ALLOCATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (RAMP) SUBMISSIONS,  
FISCAL YEAR 1985: CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTS

Summary

The formal RAMP documents for Capital Budget Requests are hundreds of pages long, and are composed of many tables that must reconcile among themselves. To provide the Universities as much time as possible to complete the RAMP documents, these preliminary University priority listings are shared with the Board so that the Board may express itself on the various projects and the initial priorities established for each project.

Summary of Fiscal 1985 Capital Budget Requests  
(Thousands)

SIUE

Edwardsville (including School of Dental Medicine)	\$2,108.4	
Energy Conservation	<u>1,129.4</u>	
SIUE Total		<u>\$ 3,237.8</u>

SIUC

Carbondale	\$1,172.0	
School of Medicine	3,785.1	
Food Production	<u>2,695.0</u>	
SIUC Total		<u>\$ 7,652.1</u>
System Total		<u>\$10,889.9</u>

Incorporating any changes resulting from today's Board action on this item, a System-wide priority listing will be prepared for consideration at the Board's September meeting.

Background Information

When the Board initially considers the capital budget at each July meeting, the budget is based on the best information available on appropriation approvals and subsequent action by the Governor. Certain changes might be made to the project list in the final version submitted in September because of developments occurring after the July meeting. Other changes, technical in nature, might reflect suggestions made by other state agencies to enhance the proposed budget. Changes will also be made to reflect the best available cost estimates.

Historically the pattern of capital budget requests and actual appropriations is as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount Requested</u> (Thousands)	<u>Amount Appropriated</u> (Thousands)	<u>Percent Realized</u>
1971	\$ 68,993.7	\$ 5,889.9	8.5
1972	106,155.0	5,111.0	4.8
1973	46,235.0	12,496.6	27.0
1974	36,007.3	475.0	1.3
1975	49,299.2	4,295.0	8.7
1976	49,036.6	10,574.6	21.6
1977	50,131.1	877.0	1.7
1978	97,988.0	5,378.0	5.5
1979	74,469.4	12,873.1	17.3
1980	48,836.9	7,877.5	16.1
1981	40,933.2	14,417.2	35.2
1982	23,113.2	1,092.8	4.7
1983	16,614.9	2,474.9	14.9
1984	<u>12,477.3</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Average	\$ 47,868.5	\$ 6,448.6	12.9

The three considerations which prevailed last year in Springfield regarding capital matters have only become more emphatic this year:

1. Expenditures for capital facilities and expansion of debt service expense for buildings in higher education should be avoided.
2. Higher education can get by with existing or reduced facilities.
3. Any funds available should be used for conservation of energy with its usual short-term recovery of investment.

And an informal additional consideration has emerged: there probably will be no funds available for capital needs.

The anticipated capital appropriations for Fiscal Year 1984 reflect the above considerations. At the time of this writing, the capital appropriation picture is most confused, and little can be said with any certainty about how the legislative process will develop. By the time of the July Board meeting, however, some clarity should have emerged and some kind of informative report should be available.



Capital Budget Requests for Fiscal Year 1985  
(In Priority Order by University)

SIUE

<u>Project Description</u>	<u>Request</u>
1. Dental Education Clinic Facility - Planning	\$ 329,400
2. Buildings II and III - Corrective Renovation	390,800
3. Buildings II and III - Roof Replacement	418,500
4. East St. Louis Center - Renovation and Remodeling	238,600
5. East St. Louis Satellite Dental Clinic - Remodeling and Rehabilitation	592,100
6. Alton Campus - Minor Remodeling	139,000

Energy Conservation

1. Energy Conservation Projects	<u>1,129,400</u>
Capital Projects Total SIUE	<u>\$3,237,800</u>

Brief Description of Project

1. <u>Planning for Dental Education Clinic Facility</u>	\$ 329,400
<p>This project will provide planning funds through construction documents for a permanent facility to house clinics and clinic support areas. The present dental clinic in Alton is housed in a barracks type building constructed in 1940 and renovated in 1972. The new facility will consist of 30,800 GSF (18,788 NASF), including 2,058 NASF for offices, 500 NASF for general use and 16,230 NASF for clinics and clinic support areas. A total of 72 dental operatories will be provided in this facility, for which the total cost is estimated to be \$5,540,000.</p>	
2. <u>Corrective Renovation, Buildings II and III</u>	390,800
<p>This project will correct construction deficiencies in the plaza and underground hallway between Buildings II and III. A recent engineering study has concluded that settling of the west side plaza presents a real potential for severing the main high temperature and chilled water distribution lines which serve the two buildings. The probable cause is inadequate fill and compaction at the time of construction. The study also</p>	

concludes that the adjacent underground hallway which houses the entering utility lines also had inadequate fill and compaction along the vertical walls and possible deficiencies in construction, sealing, and drainage. The result is extreme water seepage into the hallway and the adjacent basement level floors of the buildings. This project will (1) remove the plaza to expose the high temperature and chilled water lines which will be shrouded and then fill and compaction to ground surface level, and (2) expose the exterior walls of the underground hallway for sealing and drainage improvement and then fill and compaction to ground surface level. In addition, a new surface level sidewalk will be constructed between the two buildings.

3. Roof Replacement, Buildings II and III \$ 418,500

This project will replace roofs totaling 61,200 sq.ft. on Buildings II and III. Severe winter weather more than a year ago caused extensive damage to these roofs and replacement is necessary to prevent interior deterioration. Water leaking into classrooms causes interruptions of classes and safety problems result from wet hallways, office, and work area floors.

4. East St. Louis Center, Renovation and Remodeling 238,600

This project includes three improvements for the East St. Louis Center. The construction of shower facilities on the 7th floor for the Performing Arts Training Center will complete the renovation needed for this floor. Renovation of the 1st floor restrooms is needed to improve the present inadequate facilities available on the main floor circulation area of the building. The badly deteriorated wood main entrance exterior will be remodeled with the installation of aggregate panels. This is consistent with prior renovation that replaced windows on all floors for energy conservation.

5. East St. Louis Satellite Dental Clinic, Remodeling and Rehabilitation 592,100

This request will permit enlarging the existing satellite clinic from 9 to 24 dental operatories. \$129,700 is included for dental chairs, cabinets, and miscellaneous equipment; and \$462,400 will be expended for remodeling approximately 4,000 NASF to accommodate additional operatories. The work will include new partitions, removal of existing plumbing and installation of new pipes and fixtures, and modifications to the HVAC system.

6. Alton Campus, Minor Remodeling

\$ 139,000

Included in this project is the replacement of two air-conditioning units and the remodeling of ductwork in Building 284 (offices for Department of Restorative Dentistry); installation of aluminum siding, gutters, and downspouts on Buildings 285 and 288, replacement of two air-conditioning units in Building 288 (offices and laboratories for Department of Diagnostic Specialties); and installation of aluminum siding, gutters, and downspouts on Building 294 (offices of Department of Pediatric Dentistry and Orthodontics).

This is the first phase of a three-year renovation plan totaling approximately \$1,300,000.

Energy Conservation1. Energy Conservation Projects

\$1,129,400

This project includes energy conservation improvements at Alton, East St. Louis, and Edwardsville that were recommended in a recent energy audit of all SIUE facilities. These improvements have a calculated payback life cycle of 3.6 years or less. Improvements include converting existing terminal reheat systems to variable volume systems in four core buildings, replacing incandescent lighting with high efficiency lighting in one core building, installation of additional soffit insulation at the wall line above windows and sealing to minimize air infiltration in two core buildings. This project also includes the installation of microprocessors to operate HVAC equipment at East St. Louis and Alton, and the installation of night setback time clocks, multi-zone temperature controls, gas fired outdoor air controls, and energy efficient exterior lighting at Alton.

SIUCRegular Capital Projects

<u>Project Description</u>	<u>Request</u>
1. Replace Underground Electric, II/Utilities	\$ 360,000
2. SR <sup>3</sup> - Minor Remodeling	650,000
3. Pulliam Hall - Remodeling/Planning	57,000
4. Communications Building Completion - Planning	30,000
5. Farm Buildings - Rehabilitation-Remodeling	<u>75,000</u>
Total	\$1,172,000

School of Medicine Projects

1. Property Acquisition - Springfield	\$2,572,400
2. Property Acquisition - Remodeling/Planning	394,700
3. Handicapped Access - Life Science I - Carbondale - Remodeling	71,000
4. Handicapped Access - Wheeler Hall - Carbondale - Remodeling	220,400
5. Wheeler Hall Renovation - Carbondale - Planning	112,600
6. SR <sup>3</sup> - Energy Conservation - Springfield	<u>414,000</u>
Total	\$3,785,100

Food Production Projects

1. Livestock Teaching and Research Facilities, Phase I	\$ 680,000
2. Livestock Teaching and Research Facilities, Phase II	743,000
3. Animal Waste Disposal/Site	413,000
4. Ag Research Support Units/Buildings	659,000
5. Ag Building Addition/Planning	<u>200,000</u>
Total	<u>\$2,695,000</u>
Capital Projects Total SIUC	<u>\$7,652,100</u>

Brief Description of ProjectSIUC

- |  |            |
|--|------------|
| 1. <u>Replace Underground Electric, II/Utilities</u>   | \$ 360,000 |
| <p>This is the second of four requests to replace a major portion of the underground electrical cable. Much of the cable has an expected life of 15 to 20 years, but is actually 20 to 30 years old. Emergency power outages due to cable failure will be significantly reduced by this program. The estimated cost of all four phases is \$1,100,000.</p>   |            |
| 2. <u>SR<sup>3</sup> - Minor Remodeling</u>  | 650,000    |
| <p>This total request includes three separate requests. The highest priority is for \$375,000 for new roofs on all or parts of seven buildings. The next project provides for a major rehabilitation of the fire alarm system on campus at a cost of \$250,000. The third project is to provide \$25,000 for a fire-rated vault for the Micrographics Office which provides a central storage of campus business, student, and academic records.</p> |            |
| 3. <u>Pulliam Hall Remodeling/Planning</u>   | 57,000     |
| <p>These funds would provide for the initial phase of planning and design. The project budget is presently estimated at \$3,900,000. A general remodeling of the building is necessary in five stages to improve its utilization from its original design as a teacher training facility, or University School, to a facility housing approximately one-half of the offices, classrooms, and laboratories of the College of Education.</p>           |            |
| 4. <u>Communications Building Completion - Planning</u>  | 30,000     |
| <p>These funds provide the planning and design for a remodeling project to complete the interior of the 2nd floor of the Communications Building. The total project will cost approximately \$350,000.</p>   |            |
| 5. <u>Farm Buildings Rehabilitation-Remodeling</u>   | 75,000     |
| <p>These funds will be used to provide needed repairs and rehabilitation to the existing buildings at the Carbondale campus.</p>   |            |

School of Medicine

- |    |   |             |
|----|---|-------------|
| 1. | <u>Property Acquisition - Springfield</u>   | \$2,572,400 |
|    | Funds are to purchase a 1.7 acre site including buildings containing 31,075 NASF abutting the Springfield Medical Campus. The cost represents an in-house estimate of the asking price for the property. Appraisals made several years ago suggest that a lower sale price might be negotiated. Funding will also be requested for planning and remodeling the facilities to provide laboratory, laboratory support, and office areas for the School of Medicine. |             |
| 2. | <u>Planning - Remodeling of Property Acquisition</u>  | 394,700     |
|    | This request is to plan the remodeling of the property requested under Item 1 above. The facility will be developed into conventional laboratory facilities and office space for business and academic units of the School of Medicine. These facilities will in part replace existing rental space and relieve current congestion in clinical research and educational spaces. Renovation costs are currently estimated at \$4,275,600.                          |             |
| 3. | <u>Handicapped Access to Life Science I - Carbondale Remodeling</u>   | 71,000      |
|    | This request would provide funding for modifications to entrance ways, washrooms, the elevator, and some classroom facilities to make Life Science I (Lindgren Hall) more accessible to the handicapped.  |             |
| 4. | <u>Handicapped Access to Wheeler Hall - Carbondale Remodeling</u>   | 220,400     |
|    | Wheeler Hall is presently totally inaccessible to the handicapped and as such has limited use. This request would provide ramps, elevator, and washroom facilities accessible by the handicapped.   |             |
| 5. | <u>Wheeler Hall Renovation - Carbondale - Planning</u>  | 112,600     |
|    | Wheeler Hall is one of the oldest buildings on the Carbondale Campus. Built shortly after the turn of the century, the facility lacks modern plumbing, electrical, and environmental systems. Remodeling this building will reestablish this landmark as a functional campus facility.  |             |
| 6. | <u>SR<sup>3</sup> - Energy Conservation - Springfield</u>   | 414,000     |
|    | This total request includes three energy conservation renovations to the Medical Instructional Facility at  |             |

Springfield. The projects include expanding the recirculation of exhaust air, recovery of waste heat from boiler flu gases, and installation of an evaporative cooling system for supplemental and emergency cooling of vivarium facilities. All of these projects have a payback of less than five years.

The School of Medicine is presently undergoing an energy audit under funding from a federal grant. If energy conservation projects with greater payback are suggested by the audit, that can be completed within the dollar amount requested, substitutions may be made in the final RAMP submittal.

#### Food Production Projects

- |    |  |            |
|----|--|------------|
| 1. | <u>Livestock Teaching and Research Facilities, Phase I</u>   | \$ 680,000 |
|    | This project is composed of four parts. A new dairy calf barn, a new swine finishing facility, and remodeling at the beef center are all needed to replace and improve present facilities which are in dilapidated condition and very inadequate for the demands of modern food production technology.         |            |
| 2. | <u>Livestock Teaching and Research Facilities, Phase II</u>  | 743,000    |
|    | This project is composed of four parts. A new beef finishing facility, a new swine breeding facility, a new laying unit at the poultry center, and a horse shelter. The present facilities have deteriorated significantly due to age and must be replaced.  |            |
| 3. | <u>Animal Waste Disposal/Site</u>  | 413,000    |
|    | Because of current violations and possible damages, corrections must be made at the farms in order to properly drain and contain animal wastes. Waste detention ponds are to be constructed to prevent drainage from flowing into nearby creeks at the swine and beef centers.                                 |            |
| 4. | <u>Ag Research Support Units/Buildings</u>   | 659,000    |
|    | The request provides new facilities for five farm programs. A pesticide storage area at the Agronomy Center, machine storage facilities at the Belleville Research Center and at the Agronomy Center, and additional greenhouses at the Horticulture Center are needed to accommodate expanding research work. |            |
| 5. | <u>Ag Building Addition/Planning</u>   | 200,000    |
|    | This addition will house the Agriculture Mechanization Program and the Meats Facility.   |            |

UPDATE OF PURCHASING POLICIES  
[AMENDMENT TO 5 POLICIES OF THE BOARD C-2]

Summary

This matter would amend Board approved purchasing policies to add four items to the list of generic items which may be acquired by the Illinois Educational Consortium on behalf of the Board of Trustees. The matter would also delete obsolete references to the position of Assistant Treasurer in the Board's requisition policy.

Rationale for Adoption

Previously approved Board policy authorized the Illinois Educational Consortium to act as agent for the Board of Trustees in purchasing items designated by the Universities. Among those items are generic items as approved from time-to-time by the IEC and the university governing boards. The Board of Trustees has previously approved a list of generic items, and this matter proposes that four additional items be added to the list. They are: automotive supplies, photographic supplies, laboratory supplies, and floor coverings (carpeting and composition rolls and tiles). The adoption of this resolution will allow SIU to participate in the economies of joint cooperative purchasing of the additional items through the utilization of the services of the Illinois Educational Consortium. A complete list of approved generic items including those added by this action is attached.

The matter would also eliminate obsolete references to the position of Assistant Treasurer in the Board's requisition policy. In December, 1982, the Board of Trustees approved a realignment of the duties of the System Financial Officer and Board Treasurer and the elimination of the positions of Assistant Treasurer. Most references to the position of Assistant Treasurer were eliminated from Legislation of the Board; however, those references in the requisition policy were overlooked. This matter would authorize the elimination of those references, bringing the requisition policy up-to-date with the current organizational structure.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

This matter was initiated by the Office of the Chancellor following the recommendations of the State Universities Association of Vice-Presidents for Business Affairs and the Purchasing Officer at SIUC. As the requested action represents matters of administrative detail, the constituency groups were not involved.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the following items are



added to that list of generic items which may be acquired by the Illinois Educational Consortium on behalf of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University:

- Automotive supplies
- Photographic supplies
- Laboratory supplies
- Floor coverings (carpeting and composition rolls and tiles)

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That 5 Policies of the Board C-2 be amended to read as follows:

2. Requisitions-Purchasing of Goods and Services  
Approval and Reporting Requirements

- a. Policy Statement-General. The Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University has delegated to each Purchasing Officer, through appropriate administrative channels, the authority to purchase goods and services. All purchases are made in accordance with Purchasing Rules and Regulations approved by the Board and the Department of General Services of the State of Illinois and filed with the Secretary of State.
- b. Prior Approval Required. Prior approval is required by the Board, or any two of the three members of the Executive Committee, before the commitment of funds can be made in the following cases:
  - 1) For requisitions involving the commitment of more than \$25,000. This requirement also includes requisitions requesting multiple deliveries over a period of time. Additional prior approval of a supplemental requisition will be required if the amount of the supplement is in excess of 20% of the amount originally approved, or \$10,000 whichever is greater. Section 9.02 of the Purchasing Act should be referenced for other restrictions placed on Change Orders.
  - 2) For requisitions involving the commitment of \$15,000 to \$25,000 when such action is deemed appropriate by the Chancellor after that officer's review of such requisitions. Fiscal officers will first obtain appropriate internal approvals on such requisitions in accordance with University policy. Each requisition will be forwarded with a letter of justification to the Purchasing Officer for review.

Using the letter of justification as the source of significant information, a letter of transmittal will be prepared for the President's signature. A letter of transmittal shall state the source and availability of funds, the methods of procurement, and a recommendation for purchase award. Should the source of funds be identified as a

service department, the documentation must include the title of the actual account to ultimately be charged and the appropriate individuals responsible for administering the account. Letters and attached requisitions will be forwarded from the Purchasing Officers for presentation to the President for transmittal to the Office of the Chancellor where it is reviewed and forwarded to members of the Executive Committee with a recommendation for appropriate action.

Prior approval by the Board of Trustees is required before the commitment of funds can be made for requisitions for fixed improvements projects where the entire project cost exceeds \$100,000. The fiscal officer will obtain appropriate internal approvals on the requisitions in accordance with University policy. The Board of Trustees shall approve the project, the budget, each major design consultant, the plans, specifications, and details. The Board shall receive the bids and award all contracts.

- c. Prior Approval Not Required. (No changes)

## IEC PURCHASING DIVISION

Approved Generic Commodities

- CB-A    Furnitures and Furnishings Group
- CB-A-1    Office, standard, steel
- CB-B    Medical - Dental - Pharmacy Group
- CB-B-2    Gloves, surgeon
- CB-B-4    Film, X-ray
- CB-C    Office Supply Group
- CB-C-1    Calendars, pads and bases
- CB-C-2    Correction fluid and thinner
- CB-C-3    Envelopes, plain
- CB-C-4    Folders, manila and vertical
- CB-C-5    Cards, index and guide
- CB-C-6    Sheet protectors
- CB-C-7    Staplers, staples, and staple removers
- CB-C-8    Envelopes, printed
- CB-C-9    Indexes, ringbook
- CB-C-10   Folders, hanging
- CB-D    Operations - Maintenance Group
- CB-D-1    Lamps, large
- CB-D-2    Filters, air and media
- CB-D-4    Toilet tissue
- CB-D-5    Lamps, photographic/projection
- CB-E    Paper - Forms - Tapes Group
- CB-E-1    Papers, cut, plain duplicator, xerographic, etc.
- CB-E-2    Cards, tabulating
- CB-E-3    Forms, standard stock tabulating
- CB-E-4    Tape, computer
- CB-E-5    Tape, audio
- CB-E-6    Tape, video
- CB-E-7    Labels, pressure sensitive, continuous
- CB-F    Scientific/Laboratory Group
- CB-F-1    Glassware, laboratory, borosilicate
- CB-F-2    Chemicals, laboratory
- CB-F-3    Laboratory supplies
- IEC-C-11   Ribbons, typewriter
- IEC-C-12   Ribbons, computer printer
- IEC-C-13   Photocopy toner, developer, fuser oil, print powder  
            and dispersant

IEC-C-14 Writing pads, ruled and plain  
IEC-C-15 Fasteners: paper clips, clamps, binder clips,  
round head, prong  
IEC-C-16 General office supplies  
IEC-C-17 Writing instruments: pencils  
IEC-C-18 Typewriter elements  
IEC-C-19 Library security tape (Tattle-Tape)

IEC-D-5 Towels, paper, folded  
IEC-D-6 Towels, paper, roll  
IEC-D-7 Paint  
IEC-D-8 Salt  
IEC-D-9 Janitor supplies

IEC-E-8 Forms, IRS

IEC-G-3 Computers, micro-mini  
IEC-G-5 Couplers, acoustical  
IEC-G-10 Terminal, CRT, alpha-numeric keyboard  
IEC-G-11 Terminal, print, high quality  
IEC-G-13 Teleprinter  
IEC-G-14 Terminal, graphics  
IEC-G-15 Terminal, plotter  
IEC-G-16 Terminal, printing, portable  
IEC-G-17 Terminal, printing, non-portable

IEC-H-1 Photographic supplies

IEC-I-1 Automotive supplies

IEC-J-1 Floor Coverings (carpeting and composition rolls and  
tiles)

Mr. Norwood moved the reception of Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, May, 1983, SIUC and SIUE, and the ratification of Changes in Faculty-Administrative Payroll, SIUC and SIUE; and the approval of Minutes of the meeting held June 9, 1983; Resource Allocation and Management Program (RAMP) Submissions, Fiscal Year 1985: Capital Budget Requests; and Update of Purchasing Policies [Amendment to 5 Policies of the Board C-2]. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

The following matter was presented:

AMENDMENT TO TUITION AND FEE POLICIES, SIUE  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD C]

Summary

This matter proposes a series of changes in the tuition and fee structure at SIUE. The principal change would be to create a tuition and fee structure which provides for assessment of tuition and fees on a per-credit-hour basis for students enrolling for 1 to 5 credit hours in a given quarter.

Further, the matter would abolish the present extension tuition policy and establish a tuition rate specifically applicable to students enrolling in off-campus, cost-recovery courses or programs; would abolish the fee structure for the Open University Program which is being phased out; would amend the policy concerning assessment of the University Center Fee to recognize that assessment of the fee may be waived by the President; and would amend the assessment of the Student-to-Student Grant Fee to provide that students registering for less than 6 hours will not be assessed the fee because such students are not eligible to receive STS Grants.

Rationale for Adoption

The structure proposed would provide more equitable tuition and fee assessments. Comparable charges would be assessed of students whether they enrolled on-campus, at one of the off-campus residence centers, or at an off-campus site in a non-cost-recovery course or program. Movement to a per-credit-hour assessment structure would improve the University's ability to attract part-time students seeking to enroll for minimal credit hour loads.

At this time, implementation of a complete per-credit-hour assessment structure is not feasible because of the complexity of the changes which would be necessary in a number of data processing systems.

The fee and charge assessment structure proposed herein would provide for the assessment of tuition and fees on a per-credit-hour basis for students registering for 1 to 5 credit hours per quarter. Students enrolling for 6-11 hours would be charged the same rate, as would students enrolling for 12-18 hours, or for 19 or more hours. Students enrolling in off-campus, cost-recovery courses or programs would continue to be assessed at a per-credit-hour rate.

The revised structure would accomplish the desired ends with respect to recruitment of part-time students seeking to enroll for a minimal course load. It would achieve equitable assessment of tuition and fees between on- and off-campus students. It would establish a higher tuition and fee assessment for students registering for a course overload (more than 18 hours per quarter) in recognition of the additional instructional costs attributable to students registering for such course loads.

The changes proposed herein would abolish the fee structure for the Open University Program. The University will terminate the Open University Program because of low participation and high costs.

The policy on assessment of the University Center Fee would be amended to permit the President to waive assessment of the fee in cases where such a waiver is desirable. This change would be consistent with the authority granted to the President to waive such fees under 4 Policies of the Board A-6-d. Waiver of the University Center Fee has not been permissible in the past due to the provisions of the fee assessment policy.

The policy governing assessment of the Student-to-Student Grant Fee would be amended to provide that students registering for less than 6 hours per quarter would not be assessed the STS fee. This change is proposed because students registering for less than 6 hours are not eligible to receive STS Grants.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

This proposal was initiated by the Vice-President and Provost and the Director of Planning and Resource Management. It has been reviewed with the Student Body President. This matter is recommended for adoption by the Vice-President and Provost, the Director of Planning and Resource Management, and the President, SIUE.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That effective Fall Quarter, 1983, 4 Policies of the Board C be and is hereby amended as follows:

The present text of 4 Policies of the Board C-1 is hereby repealed and the following shall be incorporated as C-1:

1. Tuition charges approved by the Board for Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville effective Fall Quarter, 1983:

a. For all on-campus students, students attending a resident center, and students attending at an off-campus location in a non-cost-recovery course or program shall be:

<u>Quarter Hours</u>	<u>Undergraduate</u>		<u>Graduate</u>	
	<u>In-State</u>	<u>Out-of-State</u>	<u>In-State</u>	<u>Out-of-State</u>
1	\$ 24.45	\$ 73.35	\$ 26.20	\$ 78.60
2	48.90	146.70	52.40	157.20
3	73.35	220.05	78.60	235.80
4	97.80	293.40	104.80	314.40
5	122.25	366.75	131.00	393.00
6-11	196.00	588.00	210.00	630.00
12-18	294.00	882.00	315.00	945.00
19 and over	318.45	955.35	341.20	1,023.60

b. For all students enrolled in off-campus, cost-recovery courses or programs the tuition rate shall be \$15.00 per quarter hour of credit.

The present text of 4 Policies of the Board C-5 is hereby repealed and the following shall be incorporated as C-5:

5. General student fee schedule for Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville in effect Fall Quarter, 1983:

<u>Quarter Hours</u>	<u>Athletic Fee</u>	<u>Textbook Rental Fee</u>	<u>University Center Fee</u>	<u>Student-to-Student Grant Fee</u>	<u>Student Welfare and Activity Fee</u>
1	\$ 2.10	\$ 1.85	\$ 8.20	\$ 0	\$ 3.00
2	4.20	3.70	16.40	0	6.00
3	6.30	5.55	24.60	0	9.00
4	8.40	7.40	32.80	0	12.00
5	10.50	9.25	32.80	0	15.00
6-11	14.35	14.00	36.50	1.50	20.40
12-18	20.35	20.00	40.00	1.50	20.40
19 and over	22.45	21.85	48.20	1.50	23.40

The present text of 4 Policies of the Board C-6 is hereby repealed and the following shall be incorporated as C-6:

6. Fees at the Scott Air Force Base Resident Center, the Cooperative Graduate Center at Greenville College, and the Litchfield Resident Center shall be as follows, effective Fall Quarter, 1983:

<u>Quarter Hours</u>	<u>University Center Fee</u>	<u>Resident Center Fee</u>	<u>Textbook Rental Fee</u>
1	\$ 8.20	\$ 3.50	\$ 1.85
2	16.40	7.00	3.70
3	24.60	10.50	5.55
4	32.80	14.00	7.40
5	32.80	17.50	9.25
6-11	36.50	25.50	14.00
12-18	40.00	36.00	20.00
19 and over	48.20	39.50	21.85

The present text of 4 Policies of the Board C-7, C-8, and C-12 are hereby repealed and the following shall be incorporated as C-7 through C-12:

7. The Textbook Rental Fee, SIUE, shall be as stipulated in C-5 above, and shall be assessed of all undergraduate students registered at the University unless assessment of the fee is waived by the President, SIUE. Graduate students are required to purchase their textbooks and are not assessed the Textbook Rental Fee.
8. The University Center Fee, SIUE, shall be as stipulated in C-5 above, and shall be assessed of all students registered at the University unless assessment of the fee is waived by the President, SIUE.
9. The Student-to-Student Grant Fee, SIUE, shall be as stipulated in C-5 above. The Student-to-Student Grant Fee is authorized to be collected on a continuing basis in the manner and form previously approved by the Board on October 20, 1972.
10. A special activity fee for each academic quarter for which an ongoing campus housing contract is in force shall be collected from and administered for the benefit of all students residing in University housing at the Edwardsville campus. This activity fee shall be deposited to the University General Operating Fund Account to be disbursed by authority of its Fiscal Officer in accordance with University policy and the approved budget of recognized organizations including all students who pay the fee. The fee shall be \$4.00 for the fall, winter, and spring quarters, and \$3.00 for the summer quarter.
11. The Student Welfare and Activity Fee, SIUE, shall be as stipulated in C-5 above, and shall be assessed of all students registered at the University unless assessment of the fee is waived by the President, SIUE. The Fee will be distributed among the subfees in amounts determined from time-to-time by the President. [The proposed subfee distribution is set out in Attachment A.]
12. The Athletic Fee, SIUE, shall be as stipulated in C-5 above, and shall be assessed of all students registered at the University unless assessment of the fee is waived by the President, SIUE.



July 13, 1983

27

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and is hereby authorized to take all actions necessary to implement the provisions of this resolution and that the Chancellor will direct that other appropriate amendments to Policies of the Board be incorporated without further action by this Board.

## ATTACHMENT A

Amendment to Tuition and Fee Policies, SIUE  
[Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board C]

## Distribution of Assessment Levels of the Subfees of the Student Welfare and Activity Fee, SIUE

<u>Quarter Hours</u>	<u>Student Activities &amp; Organizations</u>	<u>Student Programming</u>	<u>Student Government</u>	<u>Recreation Programs</u>	<u>Publications</u>	<u>Student Medical Benefit</u>	<u>Student Legal Services</u>	<u>Total</u>
1	\$ .20	\$ .20	\$ .15	\$ .55	\$ .35	\$1.25	\$ .30	\$ 3.00
2	.40	.40	.30	1.10	.70	2.50	.60	6.00
3	.60	.60	.45	1.65	1.05	3.75	.90	9.00
4	.80	.85	.60	2.15	1.35	5.00	1.25	12.00
5	1.25	1.25	.95	3.20	2.10	5.00	1.25	15.00
6-11	2.15	2.30	1.60	5.75	2.35	5.00	1.25	20.40
12-18	2.15	2.30	1.60	5.75	2.35	5.00	1.25	20.40
19 and over	2.35	2.50	1.75	6.30	2.70	6.25	1.55	23.40

Mr. Norwood moved approval of the resolution as presented. The motion was duly seconded.

Ms. Sharon Hutcherson stated that she had discussed this matter with President Lazerson and John Reiner. She expressed her major concerns with this matter which include a suggestion that SIUE create a pure per-credit-hour system, which would aid in the retention of full-time students. She stated there is a great disparity in the amount of tuition requirement. She stated she was of the belief that part-time students, taking 1-5 credit hours, would be more in a position to pay a higher rate of tuition. She continued that the 50 courses that would be offered are for job enhancement, for academic skills enhancement, and that this Board and the administrators should provide a system that lends itself more to retention of full-time students. She reported that the processing of a part-time student in terms of registration is as costly as it would be for a full-time student, and students who take 1-5 hours should bear the burden of these costs.

President Lazerson responded that SIUE will be moving as expeditiously as they can, assuming the Board approves this initial phase of implementation, to a full unit cost policy.

Mr. Rowe commented that some studies tell us that part-time students may be a very vital part of the population attending our schools, but that from the standpoint of equity we do need to move ahead as fast as possible.

President Lazerson commented that their studies showed that we run about 2/3 full-time to 1/3 part-time, and for the past two years that percentage has begun to shift fairly dramatically. He reported that SIUE is now 60-40 and that that trend will continue.

Mr. Norwood agreed that we should move expeditiously toward getting it across the board. He stated he didn't want to make any full-time students part-time students.

The motion being duly made and seconded, after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matter was presented:

OPERATING RAMP GUIDELINES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1985

At the time of the preparation of this matter, the Fiscal Year 1984 budgetary uncertainties continue. Several levels of funding have been proposed: the IBHE recommended level (\$170.7 million), the Governor's proposed tax increases level (\$166.2 million), and the Governor's "doomsday" level (\$153.5 million). The "doomsday" level assumes tuition increases of \$500 for under-graduate students. Thus, there are a best case, a worst case, and a possible compromise. Other compromises have also been discussed. But at this time the only thing we can be reasonably sure of is that the best cases have little chance of becoming law.

Given this situation, this matter does not include tables displaying dollar amounts associated with the various budget categories. It does present general guidelines necessary to develop the RAMP (Resource Allocation and Management Program) submission for Fiscal Year 1985 which are herewith presented for Board review and approval. The guidelines include the percentage increments for salary and price increases. They specify the funding levels for operation and maintenance of new space and for new and expanded and improved program requests and other special items. Additionally, they contain a salary catch-up plan for faculty, administrative-professional staff, and civil service employees. At the Board's September meeting, the RAMP request will be submitted for approval in summary form, prior to its October 1, 1983 presentation to the Illinois Board of Higher Education.

The guidelines were developed concurrently with University plans for new and expanded and improved programs and other special items. Building on the successful efforts employed in preparing previous requests, members of the Chancellor's staff met separately with SIUC and SIUE officers to discuss budget guidelines and funding requests for programs and other special items. In May, after further discussions and a review by the Chancellor and his staff of the various elements which make up the operating budget request, the Chancellor proposed to the Presidents a set of decision rules and percentages or dollar amounts for each element in the request; and in May, the Chancellor mailed these proposals to the Board's Finance Committee, copying other Board members. The decision rules are:

1. With respect to regular salary and general price increases, we should document inflation projections using the most reliable data available.

Documentation for determining salary and general price requests will come from our analysis of inflation projections made by Chase Econometrics, Data Resources Incorporated, and any other reliable projections we can obtain.

2. With respect to library materials and utilities, we should follow the same course, relying more heavily upon demonstrable University experience and written comments from suppliers and local utilities.
3. Any new request for operation and maintenance funds should be based on projected need.
4. The funding requested for the combination of new and expanded/improved programs and all other special items should be strongly documented and should not exceed 2.75% of the previous year's total appropriated budget base (excluding retirement contributions).
5. From time to time we may wish to make a sizable request which is not covered by the first four principles. Any such request must have a clear rationale and be fully documented.

In addition to these decision rules, two other factors must be considered. Both Universities and the School of Medicine are proposing Special Analytical Studies which seek funding for computer activity, principally for instructional purposes, a total of \$1.3 million. Although the requests appear separately in the RAMP Planning Documents, they constitute a single coordinated proposal which grows out of the Long-Range Plan prepared by the System Computer Policy Committee. The Board matters on the Planning Documents are preceded by an executive summary which highlights this and other elements of the Planning Documents. Secondly, although the operating budget to be presented in September will include a reduction to the base of the School of Dental Medicine, SIUE, as previously agreed, it will not include a general reduction at SIUE based on comparative instructional costs.

The percentage increases and the rationales for them are set forth below:

1. (a) Regular Salary Increases: 10% on 95% of Base

Estimated inflation, based on the projections of Chase Econometrics and Data Resources Incorporated, is currently 5% for FY 1984 and also for FY 1985. The intent is to pick up the full amount for both years. We can do no less: during the last several years, the Universities have been increasingly pinched, and they have no give left. Should salary increase funding be received for FY 1984, this percentage will, of course, be adjusted downward.

(b) Special Request: Salary Catch-Up Plan: 4% for Faculty and Staff, 6% for Civil Service Employees on 95% of Base

Last year we agreed to abandon the concept of a three year catch-up plan and adopted instead the following approach:

- Our salary request will contain an adjustment for inflation for all employees and, when documented, a catch-up percent.
- The competitive position of faculty will be evaluated by means of the IBHE Peer Group Study which is completed in the fall of each year, and a catch-up increase determined. The study to be used this year is the one made for Fiscal Year 1982. The same catch-up will be applied to the professional staff.
- For civil service employees, the IBHE study comparing their status with State Code employees will be used. Again, the Fiscal Year 1982 study will be used this year.

The Fiscal Year 1982 IBHE study of faculty salaries makes clear that catch-up funds are needed. This Peer Group Study shows Carbondale to be below the median 14.1% and Edwardsville 0.8%. When compensation (salary plus fringe benefits) rather than salary is used, Carbondale and Edwardsville are below the median by 15.5% and 6.6% respectively. Finally, for all Universities in the state, excluding the University of Illinois, the IBHE study shows the Universities to be behind the Peer Group index by 8.4% based on compensation and 5.4% based on salaries.

The latest IBHE study on civil service salaries indicates that our range employees are below State Code personnel by 24.0% at SIUC, 34.6% at the SIUC School of Medicine, and 19.7% at SIUE. This group of employees is paid at a rate that is clearly less than that of their comparison group.

The evidence indicates that faculty, professional staff, and civil service employees are deserving of catch-up funds of considerable magnitude. We must recognize, too, that we face special problems in areas of high demand. We recommend a 4% catch-up for faculty and professional staff and a 6% catch-up for civil service employees on 95% of base. Presidential discretion could determine how much of this increase to use for general catch-up for faculty and staff and how much to use for faculty in the high demand disciplines.

## 2. General Price Increases: 10%

Projected inflation for FY 1985 is currently at 5%; the additional 5% is to pick up what we expect to lose in FY 1984. Such items as commodities and travel are vital, and within realistic bounds we must try to see that our losses in this area are non-recurring. Should price increase funding be received for FY 1984, this percentage will, of course, be adjusted downward.

3. Utilities: 11.1% for SIUC, 23% for SIUE, 20% for the School of Medicine

The proposed percentages, which reflect the different mixes of energy sources, would accommodate the projected increases as provided by SIUC, SIUE, and the School of Medicine. Because we will probably not receive more than 80% of the original IBHE recommendation for utilities for FY 1984, we will add whatever the difference turns out to be when the operating budget request is presented in September.

4. Library Materials: 17%

The proposed percentage represents 12% for projected inflation for FY 1985 and 5% to recover some of the inflation loss expected for FY 1984.

5. Fire Protection: 5%

This percentage represents projected inflation for FY 1985.

6. Operation and Maintenance

The School of Medicine is requesting \$153,400 in O and M funds for the Rutledge Manor facility.

7. New, Expanded/Improved Programs and Other Special Items

\$3,309,570 or 2.7 percent for SIUC and the School of Medicine

\$1,099,140 or 2.2 percent for SIUE

Details of these reports are set forth in the back-up materials for Item J on the agenda.

Other Considerations

Two other matters, not mentioned above, which will affect our request should also be noted. Funding for retirement contributions will be recommended at the full funding rate as determined by the State Universities Retirement System. Legislative Audit Commission Guidelines will cause some changes in the amount of funds to be deposited in the Income Fund for Fiscal Year 1985; the changes have not yet been determined.

Conclusion

We believe that these guidelines deal realistically with our needs and our obligations to provide quality higher education to our students and to position the Universities so that they are able to assist the state in economic development.

Chancellor Shaw reported that he had suggested some adjustments to the planning model, in the Finance Committee's meeting, as a result of the Fiscal Year 1984 budget information. He outlined those adjustments as follows: a 6% plus catch-up dollars increase in salaries for FY-85 rather than a 10% increase in salaries plus catch-up dollars; a 6% increase in general price increases rather than a 10% increase; and other adjustments in the new and expanded program area which will come to the Board in September. He mentioned that utilities and library materials were areas in which an adjustment was not being sought. He reported that with the approval of these guidelines a more specific 1985 budget request will be prepared for September.

Mr. Norwood moved approval of the guidelines as presented. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matters were presented:

RESOURCE ALLOCATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (RAMP) PLANNING DOCUMENTS, FISCAL YEAR 1985 (PLANNING STATEMENTS, PROGRAM REVIEWS, NEW PROGRAM REQUESTS, EXPANDED/IMPROVED PROGRAM REQUESTS, AND SPECIAL ANALYTICAL STUDIES), SIUC

Summary

The FY-85 RAMP Planning Documents accompanying this Board matter have been prepared in accordance with current guidelines promulgated by the Illinois Board of Higher Education.

The Planning Statements consist of the University's plans and priorities for the budget year and short-range future, and include a five-year program development schedule.

Responses have been developed to institutional planning issues and statewide issues identified by the Illinois Board of Higher Education.

The Program Review information consists of review summaries of each academic and nonacademic program review completed in FY-83, a listing of academic and nonacademic reviews to be reported in FY-86, a five-year projected schedule of academic program reviews, and a schedule of nonacademic program reviews.



New Program Requests for Fiscal Year 1985 include a Doctor of Philosophy degree, Major in Geology, in the College of Science; and an Associate of Applied Science degree, Major in Aviation Flight, School of Technical Careers.

Expanded/Improved Program Requests for Fiscal Year 1985 are for the following programs:

- College of Engineering and Technology
- Department of Computer Science
- School of Law Expansion, Continuation
- School of Technical Careers Expansion
- Special Analytical Study--Computing Affairs
- Special Analytical Study--Instructional and Research Equipment
- Special Analytical Study--Support Cost

The new state resources requested for New Program Requests, Expanded/Improved Program Requests, and Special Analytical Studies are \$2,172,570 for FY-85.

In summary, the Planning Documents present the priorities and goals of the University for FY-85 and a planned schedule of new directions for the short-range future.

Rationale for Adoption

These documents provide a comprehensive and systematic plan for the utilization of resources and initiation of programming for FY-85 by SIUC. They are the official documents by which the University communicates its priorities, plans, and resource needs to the Office of the Chancellor, the Board of Trustees, and the IBHE. Finally, they provide evidence of an ongoing planning process which identifies new directions in which the University may move while assessing the current status of existing programs.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officials are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

The Planning Statements have been developed from priorities and goals outlined in planning documents and achievement reports submitted by instructional and academic support units. Identification of the plans and priorities has involved input by students, faculty, departmental executive officers, and deans.

The program reviews have been conducted in a systematic fashion with representation from every segment of concerned personnel on the campus as well as input from experts outside of the University. The recommendations which are a part of each review summary have been concurred in by the program directors, chairpersons, and deans affected.

The New Program and Expanded/Improved Program requests herewith submitted were proposed by appropriate faculty or staff in the respective academic and service units. Departmental executive officers or program directors concur in the requests and recommend approval. With the exception of the Special Analytical Study--Computing Affairs (which was developed under the auspices of the System Computer Policy Committee), the Undergraduate Teaching and Curriculum Committee and/or the New Programs Committee of the Graduate Council have reviewed the new program requests and recommend approval.

The Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research and the President of SIUC recommend approval of the Planning Documents submitted herewith.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That, subject to authority reserved to this Board to make such modifications, changes, or corrections herein as it deems appropriate in reviewing subsequent RAMP Documents for FY-85, the RAMP Planning Documents for Fiscal Year 1985 for Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and are hereby approved as presented to the Board this date; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System shall take appropriate steps to accomplish filing of these materials with the Illinois Board of Higher Education in accordance with policies of The Southern Illinois University System.

#### SIUC NEW PROGRAM REQUESTS SUMMARIES

##### Doctor of Philosophy, Major in Geology

\$82,800

A proposal for a Ph.D. with a major in Geology was initially submitted in 1978. Since the original submission, the University has substantially upgraded the quality of the program and research productivity by the faculty, and the physical facilities have been completely renovated. The existing programs in Geology have been reviewed by internal and external consultants, and the recommendation by both groups is that the present request be submitted for approval.

##### Associate of Applied Science, Major in Aviation Flight

-0-

The request is a revision of requests submitted in FY-78, FY-79, and FY-80. The present request documents the market demand for professional pilots and addresses the concern of program duplication which the IBHE raised with earlier submissions. The proposed program makes use of existing in-place facilities, courses and instructional staff, thereby complementing and enhancing existing programs in Aviation/Avionics Technology. The program is targeted to serve primarily Illinois residents and provisions have been made to work cooperatively with institutions belonging to the Southern Illinois Collegiate Common Market. Because

existing facilities will be used to house the program and primary instructional costs will be recovered via flight fees associated with required courses, no new state resources are requested to support the program.

TOTAL NEW PROGRAM REQUESTS \$82,800

SIUC EXPANDED/IMPROVED PROGRAM REQUESTS

College of Engineering and Technology \$ 768,000

This request is for new resources to enable the College of Engineering and Technology to respond to steady growth in enrollments and the resulting increases in the student/faculty ratio and to expand the scope of its programs. The present student to faculty ratio of approximately 36 to 1 is well above the national average in engineering and technology colleges, as well as above that of the University as a whole. Continued and increasing faculty under-staffing in the College could jeopardize reaccreditation in upcoming re-evaluation visits. Engineering and technology graduates are in very high demand and, in the past two years, externally supported research has increased five-fold. Without new resources, the quality of both instruction and research will suffer, and the College will not be able to continue to produce the quality and quantity of engineering and technology graduates needed by the state and nation. This Expanded/Improved Program request will allow the College to supply an increasing number of graduates for the '80's, as well as improve the technology program, and move in the direction of doctoral degree programming within the College.

Computer Science \$ 160,000

Expansion of the Department of Computer Science is requested because of the large enrollment increase which has occurred in the past nine years. From its beginning in 1974 with 23 majors, the department has grown to 671 undergraduate majors as of Fall, 1982. This growth, coupled with the rapidly increasing number of service courses provided by the Department of Computer Science, has been primary in contributing to the need for additional equipment and an increase in the number of teachers.

School of Law \$ 214,000

This request is for new resources to continue the expansion of the SIUC School of Law. Because of the financial crisis facing the State of Illinois, the School of Law has not received new state appropriations for the second and third years of the three-year expansion following appropriation of \$172,800 in FY-81. New appropriations of \$214,000 for FY-85 will permit the School to continue its proposed enrollment expansion and provide a high quality program for our student body.

School of Technical Careers

\$ 189,000

This request for additional resources is to enable STC to respond to enrollment demands, accreditation standards, and to SIUC promotion/tenure standards. The present STC faculty have student contact hours well above the University as a whole. Continued understaffing could well jeopardize reaccreditation in upcoming re-evaluation visits.

Graduates in all of the STC divisions continue to be in high demand and will continue to be so for the next decade. If STC faculty are expected to meet general University, and in particular STC, guidelines for promotion/tenure, then teaching loads need to be reduced to allow for research and service time. Without new resources the quality of instruction, research, or service will suffer and it will continue to be difficult to maintain a stable faculty.

## TOTAL EXPANDED/IMPROVED PROGRAM REQUESTS

\$1,331,000

## SIUC SPECIAL ANALYTICAL STUDIES

Computing Affairs

\$ 300,000

The request represents the culmination of more than two years of study by the University to assess its current computing capacity and systematically plan for the future. In developing this request, input has been received from students, staff, and faculty. This study proposes phased acquisition of computing hardware, software, and personnel to meet the rapidly expanding demand for computer capacity throughout the University. The funds requested will permit orderly growth in computing services to support instruction, research, public service, and our management information systems. This request is part of a system-wide effort to address deficiencies in computing capabilities which have been steadily increasing during the past three years.

Instructional and Research Equipment

\$ 178,570

The equipment needs within the University become more pressing each year. As the instructional demand in such areas of high enrollment as Engineering and Computer Science increases, so does the demand for equipment. The IBHE acknowledged the need for the acquisition of new equipment and maintenance of existing equipment in FY-80, and approved our original Special Analytical Study. This request represents a continuation of the originally approved request.

Support Cost

\$ 280,200

This request was originally submitted in FY-81. The present request is a continuation and assumes new state resources in the amount of \$264,000 for FY-84.

## TOTAL SPECIAL ANALYTICAL STUDIES REQUESTS

\$ 758,770

## TOTAL NEW STATE RESOURCES REQUESTED FOR PROGRAMS, FISCAL YEAR 1985, SIUC

<u>Program</u>	<u>Requested New State Appropriations</u>
<u>New Program Requests</u>	
Doctor of Philosophy, Major in Geology	\$ 82,800
Associate of Applied Science, Major in Aviation Flight	<u>-0-</u>
Total New Program Requests	\$ 82,800
<u>Expanded/Improved Program Requests</u>	
College of Engineering and Technology	\$ 768,000
Computer Science	160,000
School of Law	214,000
School of Technical Careers	<u>189,000</u>
Total Expanded/Improved Program Requests	\$1,331,000
<u>Special Analytical Studies</u>	
Computing Affairs	\$ 300,000
Instructional and Research Equipment	178,570
Support Cost	<u>280,200</u>
Total Special Analytical Studies Requests	\$ 758,770
Total Program Request, SIUC	<u>\$2,172,570</u>

RESOURCE ALLOCATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (RAMP) PLANNING  
DOCUMENTS, FISCAL YEAR 1985 (PLANNING STATEMENTS, PROGRAM  
REVIEWS, EXPANDED/IMPROVED PROGRAM REQUESTS, AND  
SPECIAL ANALYTICAL STUDY), SIU SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Summary

The Fiscal Year 1985 Planning Documents for the School of Medicine include Planning Statements, which identify critical needs and priorities for the immediate and short-range future; information on academic and nonacademic Program Reviews; requests for Expanded/Improved Programs; and a Special

Analytical Study for Computing Services.

Rationale for Adoption

In order to fulfill its mandate to assist the people of central and southern Illinois in meeting their present and future health needs through education, research, and service, and in order to maintain its priority commitments to the enhancement of curriculum and to the recruitment and retention of physicians in downstate Illinois, the School of Medicine must continue to be innovative in its approach to curriculum and academic program development. The appended documents provide information in more detail on how the School of Medicine plans to meet these challenges, and they assist the Office of the Chancellor, the Board of Trustees, and the IBHE in carrying out their responsibility for needs assessment, planning, and program review for higher education in Illinois.

Considerations Against Adoption

The University knows of none.

Constituency Involvement

Various School of Medicine constituencies, including the faculty, have been involved in the preparation and review of this proposal. The proposal is also approved and recommended by the Dean and Provost of the School of Medicine and the President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That, subject to authority reserved to this Board to make such modifications, changes, or refinements herein as it deems appropriate in reviewing subsequent RAMP documents for Fiscal Year 1985, the RAMP Planning Documents for Fiscal Year 1985 for the Southern Illinois University School of Medicine, be and are hereby approved as presented to the Board this date; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System shall take appropriate steps to accomplish filing of these materials with the Illinois Board of Higher Education in accordance with policies of The Southern Illinois University System.

## SIU SCHOOL OF MEDICINE EXPANDED/IMPROVED PROGRAM REQUEST

Family Practice Satellite Residency Programs \$637,000

The funds requested are to support long-term educational costs associated with the Quincy, Decatur, and Belleville Family Practice Residency Centers. These costs are projected to include the state's portion of faculty salaries and other related support costs. Illinois Department of Public Health grant funds have been cut from the state budget and therefore will not be available to support the current operating and growth costs associated with the expansion of these centers. Federal funding is expected to terminate with Fiscal Year 1984. Community funds cover the on-going costs associated with resident stipends, fringe benefits, and professional liability insurance. Patient revenue from the practice of medicine should support some of the clinic costs related to this activity.

TOTAL EXPANDED/IMPROVED PROGRAM REQUEST \$637,000

## SIU SCHOOL OF MEDICINE SPECIAL ANALYTICAL STUDY

Staff and Other Support Costs for Instructional Computing \$500,000

The School of Medicine has set as its top priority the further development of undergraduate education. Being the first competency-based medical school in the country, the School of Medicine was and continues to be an innovator in the field of competency-based medical education. This study proposes an increase in computing resources to permit the School of Medicine to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of its medical education curriculum and to provide incentives for graduates to practice medicine in Illinois.

TOTAL SPECIAL ANALYTICAL STUDY REQUEST \$500,000

TOTAL NEW STATE RESOURCES REQUESTED FOR PROGRAMS, FISCAL YEAR 1985  
SIU SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

<u>Program</u>	<u>Requested New State Appropriations</u>
<u>Expanded/Improved Program Request</u>	
Family Practice Satellite Residency Programs	\$637,000
Total Expanded/Improved Program Request	\$ 637,000
<u>Special Analytical Study</u>	
Staff and Other Support Costs for Instructional Computing	\$500,000
Total Special Analytical Study Request	<u>\$ 500,000</u>
Total Program Request, SIU School of Medicine	<u><u>\$1,137,000</u></u>

RESOURCE ALLOCATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (RAMP) PLANNING  
DOCUMENTS, FISCAL YEAR 1985 (PLANNING STATEMENTS, PROGRAM  
REVIEWS, NEW AND EXPANDED/IMPROVED PROGRAM REQUESTS,  
AND SPECIAL ANALYTICAL STUDIES), SIUE

Summary

The University mission statement approved by the Board of Trustees in 1978, and included in RAMP 1980, continues to be relevant. A long range planning and budgeting process, systematically tying allocations to institutional objectives, is being implemented. The RAMP 1985 Planning Documents address a series of program and procedural matters which the University will deal with in the coming year. Institutional responses to planning issues developed by IBHE staff are included as part of the Planning Documents, and responses to questions raised by the IBHE staff based on RAMP 1984 are included as an Appendix. New program developments under consideration for the next five years are also included in these Documents.

The RAMP Program Review section includes a schedule for instructional, noninstructional academic, and nonacademic program and unit evaluations, as well as the results of program reviews.

A new program request for Fiscal Year 1985 is submitted for the Master of Science in Nursing, Specialization in Community Health Nursing. No new state funding is required for this proposal. Three program expansion requests and four special analytical studies are presented and described. One expansion request is for the School of Nursing, encompassing the undergraduate outreach program, the undergraduate generic program, and the graduate program. The other two requests are for the programs in Engineering and Construction, School of Engineering, and for the Bachelor of Science degree, major in Computer Science. The special analytical studies are for Earth Science and Geography



Science Laboratory Equipment, the School of Business, the Data Processing and Computing Center, and a supplemental salary request for Engineering.

#### Rationale for Adoption

The institutional mission received Board of Trustees approval for RAMP 1980 and continues to express the objectives of SIUE. The program evaluation procedures are responsive to the needs of the institution and to those of the Illinois Board of Higher Education. The new program request, expansion requests, and special analytical studies are appropriate to SIUE's instructional thrust, and the funding levels sought are appropriate to program needs.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

The Planning Statements have been reviewed by the appropriate administrators and staff. Internal program evaluation information is the result of extensive committee involvement through the Graduate Council and the Curriculum Council of the Faculty Senate, as well as substantial administrative consideration. The new program request and program expansion requests were evaluated and ranked by appropriate committees of the Faculty Senate and by the University Planning and Budget Council. The special analytical studies were developed and reviewed in the appropriate administrative offices in conjunction with unit representatives. The President, SIUE, recommends adoption of the RAMP Planning Documents for Fiscal Year 1985.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That, subject to authority reserved to this Board to make modifications, changes, or refinements herein as it deems appropriate in reviewing subsequent RAMP Planning Documents for FY-85, the RAMP Planning Documents for Fiscal Year 1985 for Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and are hereby approved as presented to the Board this date; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System shall take appropriate steps to accomplish filing of the materials with the Illinois Board of Higher Education in accordance with policies of The Southern Illinois University System.

## SIUE NEW PROGRAM REQUEST SUMMARY

Master of Science in Nursing, Specialization in  
Community Health Nursing \$ -0-

This is a request for the addition of a graduate level nursing specialization leading to a master's degree in Nursing with a specialization in Community Health Nursing. The need for nurses in this specialty has been expressed by employing agencies, individual nurses, and schools of nursing, which need faculty in this area. Funding for this program has been requested through the Division of Nursing, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

TOTAL NEW PROGRAM REQUEST \$ -0-

SIUE EXPANDED/IMPROVED PROGRAM REQUESTS

Nursing - Undergraduate Outreach, Undergraduate Generic, Graduate \$162,915

This request for state funding provides for (1) supplemental funding for expansion of the undergraduate outreach program for RNs in southern Illinois; (2) expansion of the generic baccalaureate program; and (3) expansion of the graduate program to offer a summer program, to provide an outreach program in Carbondale, and to offer a sub-specialization in nurse anesthesia.

Bachelor of Science, Major in Computer Science \$ 53,200

This request is for additional resources needed in order for the Computer Science program to expand opportunities for preparation leading to careers in the computer field. Resources are being requested for a faculty member, a graduate assistant, student wages, and for support lines.

Engineering - Engineering and Construction \$ 56,100

This request is for necessary resources to continue development of the undergraduate engineering, undergraduate construction, and the graduate engineering programs. The request is based on the continuing increase in enrollments. This proposal also reflects the possibilities for expansion which exist now that a separate School of Engineering has been established.

TOTAL EXPANDED/IMPROVED PROGRAM REQUESTS \$272,215

## SIUE SPECIAL ANALYTICAL STUDIES

Earth Science and Geography Science Laboratory Equipment \$ 65,365

In the School of Social Sciences, the Department of Earth Science, Geography, and Planning is involved in scientific cartographic, environmental planning, and earth resource studies to meet the demands of students and to better serve the region. This study proposes additions to the equipment inventory that will permit the department to maintain and improve these studies. The equipment is needed for normal replacement, for new equipment for modernization, and to meet preliminary needs for science laboratories which are to be provided in a new space reallocation.

School of Business Outreach Activities \$215,900

This proposal requests supplementary funding to offer upper division course work in Business at off-campus sites within the SIUE service area. The proposed sites are on or adjacent to the campuses of the community colleges in southwestern Illinois. The courses to be offered build on lower division work available at the community colleges.

Data Processing and Computing Center \$500,000

The Data Processing and Computing Center provides personnel and computing resources to support instruction, research, and administrative programs. This study proposes additions to staff and to the hardware and software inventory that will permit the unit to maintain and improve such support to the University. The new resource needs result from rapid growth of computing usage within the disciplines of Computer Science, Engineering, and Business; the emergence of computing demand in disciplines such as Education, Humanities, and the Social Sciences; growing demand for computer-literate graduates to meet the needs of area businesses and industry and the continuing requirement for providing cost effective University administrative information services.

School of Engineering - Salary Enhancement \$ 45,660

The inability of SIUE to offer salaries comparable to those available in similar higher education institutions limits its capacity to hire and retain competent faculty, with resultant problems of program quality and enrollment limitation. The availability of excellence in instruction, graduate and undergraduate, in this field is of special importance to the region and is a central focus of the University.

## TOTAL SPECIAL ANALYTICAL STUDIES REQUESTS \$826,925

## TOTAL NEW STATE RESOURCES REQUESTED FOR PROGRAMS, FISCAL YEAR 1985, SIUE

<u>Program</u>	<u>Requested New State Appropriations</u>
<u>New Program Request</u>	
Master of Science in Nursing, Specialization in Community Health Nursing	\$ -0-
Total New Program Request	\$ -0-
<u>Expanded/Improved Program Requests</u>	
Nursing - Undergraduate Outreach, Undergraduate Generic, Graduate	\$162,915
Bachelor of Science, Major in Computer Science	53,200
Engineering - Engineering and Construction	<u>56,100</u>
Total Expanded/Improved Program Requests	\$ 272,215
<u>Special Analytical Studies</u>	
Earth Science and Geography Science Laboratory Equipment	\$ 65,365
School of Business Outreach Activities	215,900
Data Processing and Computing Center	500,000
School of Engineering - Salary Enhancement	<u>45,660</u>
Total Special Analytical Studies	<u>\$ 826,925</u>
Total Program Request, SIUE	<u><u>\$1,099,140</u></u>

Chancellor Shaw thanked Dr. Howard Webb, System Academic Officer, for preparation of the Executive Summary accompanying the RAMP Planning Documents for Fiscal Year 1985. He stated that a lot of work goes into the preparation of these documents and that the Universities had done an outstanding job in their program reviews. Dr. Shaw stated that the SIU System is seen as doing one of the better jobs in this area. He added that both campuses

were participating in the development of academic priorities; the SIUC administration is now considering the report and SIUE will be doing the same thing in the fall.

Mr. Rowe stated that these RAMP documents were superior to any that he had seen and was particularly impressed with the progress that had been made in the discussions with the IBHE.

Mr. Norwood stated that one of SIUC's new program requests, the Associate of Applied Science, Major in Aviation Flight, will have gone before the IBHE four times. He stated the flight training program was an excellent one, that our program is second to none, and that the IBHE should be made aware of that. He reported that J. Lynn Helms, the Federal Aviation Administration's Administrator, will be coming to campus on July 16 to present SIUC officials with the FAA Airway Science Curriculum Certification and to dedicate a program. He repeated that the importance of this new program request should be stressed and should be a very high priority in our negotiations with IBHE.

Dr. Wilkins moved approval of the resolutions as presented. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matter was presented:

SALARY INCREASE PLANS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1984

Summary

This matter presents for Board approval salary increase plans for Fiscal Year 1984. These plans do not intend to set forth specific salary recommendations for each employee, but rather to set forth general policies and parameters within which employee salary increases will be made. A report of salary increases made will be submitted to the Board for its information.

Salary increase plans have been prepared by each University and the Office of the Chancellor. They are attached for SIUE, SIUC, and the Office of the Chancellor as Exhibits A, B, and C, respectively. The plans have been developed to accommodate various possible percentages of salary increase funds

which might be allocated in the appropriation process. As of this writing, the appropriation bill for FY-84 has not completed the legislative process.

#### Rationale for Adoption

Board policy requires approval of salary increase plans for each University and the Office of the Chancellor.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

At SIUE, guidelines for faculty employees are based upon the faculty salary plan adopted in 1981. The plan was developed by the Faculty Senate, the Academic Deans, the Provost, and the President. Guidelines for professional staff employees were developed by the University Staff Senate, the Director of University Personnel Services, and the President. Guidelines for civil service open range employees were developed by the University Staff Senate, the Director of University Personnel Services, and the President.

Guidelines for persons holding assistantships were developed by the Vice-President and Provost and the President in consultation with the Dean of the Graduate School and with the Graduate Council.

Guidelines for student workers were developed by the Vice-President and Provost and the President in consultation with the Director of Student Work and Financial Assistance.

The SIUC Faculty and Administrative/Professional Staff proposal represents a compromise of the varying constituency group views. The administration submitted a series of proposals to these groups for review and comment. The Faculty Senate, the Graduate Council, and the Administrative/Professional Staff Council each responded with a recommendation. These recommendations were not fully compatible with one another. The salary plan presented here attempts to respond to and balance the concerns of these groups.

The SIUC civil service proposal has been shared with the Civil Service Employees Council. They have been asked to respond by July 1, 1983, if they have any concerns.

School of Medicine proposals have been reviewed by the Dean's Executive Committee and by appropriate constituency groups.

Constituency involvement for the Office of the Chancellor is not applicable.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the Salary Increase Plans for

Fiscal Year 1984, as presented (attached as Exhibits A, B, and C), be approved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the implementation date of these plans shall be consistent with the date indicated for salary increase allocations in the appropriation process.

EXHIBIT A

Salary Increase Plans for Fiscal Year 1984, SIUE

1. Guidelines for Faculty Employees

Salary increases for faculty employees shall be determined in accord with the Faculty Salary Plan approved by the President on March 13, 1981. The principal elements of the plan are the following:

- a. Of the full state allocation for salary increases for faculty, expressed as a percentage, three percent shall be allocated for merit increases and the remainder shall be allocated for "standard increment" (SI) increases. Each school or equivalent unit shall receive for distribution a salary increase allocation proportionate to its salary base.
- b. The awarding of SI increases and the amount of individual merit increases shall be based upon an evaluation process in which each faculty member's performance is reviewed in accord with procedures adopted by each school or equivalent unit. The review process must include an evaluation by an elected peer review body. A statement of minimum performance expectations for its faculty members shall be approved by a majority of the faculty members of each school or equivalent unit.
- c. Each faculty member is responsible for providing materials to be used in the evaluation. The chairperson or other individuals responsible for the evaluation are obligated to make their recommendations on the basis of the evidence provided and other performance data and material routinely available to the school or unit.
- d. The SI increase shall be awarded as a percentage increase in the individual's monthly base salary for each faculty member performing at least at the minimum satisfactory level.
- e. Each school or equivalent unit shall define a policy and procedure, including an elected peer review body, to be used in the determination and distribution of merit increases. The policy must include a definition of the relative emphasis to be placed on teaching, research, University service, and public service for purposes of merit salary increases. There is no automatic right to a merit increase and, therefore, merit increases shall not be determined on an across-the-board basis.

- f. Since there are differing levels of performance, there should be differing levels of merit increases. The salary plan recommends that units establish at least three merit levels. Each school or equivalent unit shall place individuals performing above the minimum acceptable level into their respective merit categories using the unit's evaluation procedures. Each school or equivalent unit shall determine the relative weights for each of the merit categories.
- g. Insofar as possible, internal reallocations should be used to fund the merit portion of the salary plan by using money accruing from retirement of individuals at higher salary levels and hiring individuals at lower salary levels.
- h. The majority of the faculty of a school or equivalent unit may request from the Vice-President and Provost permission for the unit to be exempted from the University salary plan for faculty and to use a salary increase distribution system commensurate with the mission and goals of that unit, as well as with market value. Any such distribution system must demonstrate through a process and rationale that the unit's criteria and procedures are rigorous and demanding of faculty excellence.

## 2. Guidelines for Professional Staff Employees

- a. Salary increase monies equivalent to the approved percentage of increase applied against the total professional staff personal services appropriation shall be available for distribution to professional staff employees. When the percentage of increase becomes known, decisions concerning across-the-board, longevity, and outstanding service increases will be made in consultation with the University Staff Senate.
- b. Across-the-board increases, subject to the conditions of 2-d below, will be distributed as follows: those persons employed as of June 30, 1983, who have been employed during each month of FY-83 shall be eligible for the full percentage determined as the across-the-board increase. Those persons who have served less than the full 1983 fiscal year shall be eligible for an increase on the prorated basis of one twelfth of the percentage of the across-the-board increase for each month of service completed between July 1, 1982, and June 30, 1983.
- c. A portion of the monies generated by the approved percentage of increase may be utilized for the purpose of recognizing longevity and/or particularly outstanding service to the University.
- d. In accord with the professional staff rules and regulations, the supervisor of each professional staff employee must conduct, during the winter quarter, an oral and written performance evaluation based upon the individual's written job description and any mutually agreed upon developmental goals. If the employee's performance has



been demonstrably unsatisfactory and the supervisor can document that it has not improved, the supervisor may recommend to the Vice-President and Provost or functional area Director (with copies to the employee) that no salary increase be awarded to the employee. In such case, a second performance review will be conducted during the summer quarter to determine whether the employee has overcome demonstrable deficiencies noted at the time of the winter quarter evaluation. If sufficient progress has been made, the supervisor may recommend that a salary increase, in accordance with the original percentage basis, be granted.

### 3. Guidelines for Civil Service Open Range Employees

- a. Salary increase monies equivalent to the approved percentage of increase applied against the total civil service open range personal services appropriation shall be available for distribution to civil service open range employees whose performance has been satisfactory. When the percentage of increase becomes known, decisions concerning across-the-board, market movement, and longevity increases will be made in consultation with the Staff Senate.
- b. A portion of the monies generated by the approved percentage of increase shall be distributed across-the-board as a percentage of base salary. Those persons who are employed as of June 30, 1983, and who have been employed during each month of FY-83 shall be eligible for the full percentage determined as the across-the-board increase. Those persons who have served less than the full 1983 fiscal year shall be eligible for an increase equal only to the amount identified as market movement.
- c. A portion of the monies generated by the approved percentage of increase may be used to adjust the civil service salary structure to reflect consideration of market movement.
- d. A decision may be made to utilize a portion of the available monies for the purpose of longevity increases to be effective January 1, 1984, for employees who have completed eight continuous years of service during calendar year 1983.
- e. In accordance with civil service employee evaluation policies at SIUE, the supervisor of each civil service open range employee must conduct annually an oral and written performance evaluation based on the individual employee's written job description and any mutually agreed upon developmental goals. If an employee's performance was demonstrably unsatisfactory at the time of the evaluation and the supervisor documents that the employee's performance has not improved, the supervisor may recommend through channels to the Vice-President and Provost or functional area Director (with copies to the employee) that no salary increase be granted to the employee. In such a case, a second performance evaluation will be conducted during the summer quarter to determine whether the employee has overcome the deficiencies noted at

the time of an annual evaluation. If sufficient progress has been made, the supervisor may recommend, through channels to the Vice-President and Provost or functional area Director, that a salary increase, in accordance with the foregoing provisions, be granted.

4. Guidelines for Persons Holding Graduate Assistantships

The salary ranges of stipends for persons holding graduate assistantships will not be adjusted. Within its resources the University will remain committed to the funding of assistantships for qualifying graduate students.

5. Guidelines for Student Workers

Wage rates for student workers will not be adjusted. The University will take advantage of the College Work Study Program and also utilize other available means to maximize opportunities for student employment.

EXHIBIT B

Salary Increase Plans for Fiscal Year 1984, SIUC

A. Guidelines for Faculty and Administrative/Professional Staff, except those at the School of Medicine

1. Up to 1% of the appropriate salary increase base will be reserved for promotions and equity and market adjustments. If promotion, equity, and market adjustments total less than the amount reserved, the balance will be added to the merit and across-the-board adjustments in equal amounts.
2. The remainder of the salary increase money will be distributed as follows:
  - a. 50% across-the-board
    - (1) 1/2 as a percentage
    - (2) 1/2 as equal dollar amounts
  - b. 50% merit

Eligibility

Employees who were on the payroll March 31, 1983, or prior to that date will be eligible for an increase.

Exceptions

Unit administrators may elect to recommend that an individual receive no salary increase. Each such recommendation will be made in writing to the appropriate Vice-President and will include a justification for the recommendation.

B. Guidelines for Faculty and Administrative/Professional Staff, School of Medicine

If salary increase funds allocated by the state average 3.6% or greater, funds will be distributed as follows:

1. Up to 1% will be reserved for equity adjustments and promotions.
2. The remainder of the funds will be available in each responsibility area for distribution. The appropriate unit administrator will, in making his/her recommendation, utilize salary administration principles of merit, cost of living, internal equity, and market value. The Dean and Provost will review salary increase recommendations with unit administrators.

If salary increase funds allocated by the state average 3.5% or less, funds will be distributed as follows:

1. Up to 1% will be reserved for equity adjustments and promotions.
2. The remainder of the funds will be distributed across-the-board as a percentage.

Eligibility

Employees who were on the payroll March 31, 1983, or prior to that date will be eligible for an increase.

Exceptions

Unit administrators may elect to recommend that an individual receive no salary increase. Each such recommendation will be made in writing to the Dean and Provost and will be accompanied by a justification for the recommendation.

C. Guidelines for Civil Service Range Employees

If funds allocated by the state average 4.5% or less, the funds will be distributed across-the-board as a percentage.

If funds allocated by the state average 4.6% to 5.5%, 80% of the funds will be distributed across-the-board as a percentage. The remaining funds (20%) will be available to unit administrators to be distributed on the basis of merit.

If funds allocated by the state average 5.6% to 6.5%, 75% of the funds will be distributed across-the-board as a percentage. The remaining funds (25%) will be available to unit administrators to be distributed on the basis of merit.

If funds allocated by the state average 6.6% to 8.0%, 60% of the funds will be distributed across-the-board as a percentage, 20% of the funds

will be available to unit administrators to be distributed on the basis of merit, and 20% will be distributed across-the-board as a dollar amount.

If funds allocated by the state average 8.1% or more, 45% of the funds will be distributed across-the-board as a percentage, 30% of the funds will be available to unit administrators to be distributed on the basis of merit, and 25% will be distributed across-the-board as a dollar amount.

A chart summarizing the proposal follows:

<u>Average State Funds Available</u>	<u>Amount to be Distributed Across-the-Board as a Percentage</u>	<u>Amount to be Distributed as Merit</u>	<u>Amount to be Distributed as a Dollar Amount</u>
0 to 4.5%	100%	---	---
4.6 to 5.5%	80%	20%	---
5.6 to 6.5%	75%	25%	---
6.6 to 8.0%	60%	20%	20%
8.1 or more	45%	30%	25%

#### Review

Merit increase recommendations will be reviewed by the Director of Personnel.

#### Eligibility

Employees who were on the payroll March 31, 1983, or prior to that date will be eligible for an increase.

#### Exceptions

Unit administrators may elect to recommend that an individual receive no salary increase. Each such recommendation will be made in writing to the Director of Personnel, with a copy to the administrator of the appropriate responsibility area, and will be accompanied by a justification for the recommendation.

#### D. Guidelines for Graduate Assistants

Graduate Assistant salary funds will be increased by the percentage of salary increase funds allocated in the appropriation process.

#### E. Guidelines for Student Workers

The current schedule of rates will be maintained for student workers.

EXHIBIT C

Salary Increase Plans for Fiscal Year 1984 for Appointed  
Board Officers and Professional Staff, and Civil  
Service Range Employees in the Office of the Chancellor

1. Guidelines for Appointed Board Officers and Professional Staff in the Office of the Chancellor
  - a. The Chancellor recommends that the full state allocation for salary increases, expressed as a percentage, be distributed to appointed Board officers and professional staff.
  - b. The Chancellor further recommends that the first 1% allocated be distributed on an across-the-board equal dollar basis and that the remaining allocation be distributed on a merit basis.
2. Guidelines for Civil Service Range Employees in the Office of the Chancellor
  - a. The full state allocation for salary increases, expressed as a percentage, will be distributed to civil service range employees in the Office of the Chancellor.
  - b. The Chancellor further recommends that the first 1% allocated be distributed on an across-the-board equal dollar basis and that the remaining allocation be distributed on a merit basis.

Mr. Elliott moved approval of the resolution as presented with the addition of a 4-1/2 percent increase for the Chancellor and the Presidents. He added that these increases, like all the others, are contingent upon the Governor's approval of the appropriations. The motion was duly seconded.

Mr. Norwood complimented the IBHE for their help with the budget and the tax increase. Dr. Wilkins pointed out that the tax increase is for one year only and that it will be a lot tougher next year.

Dr. Herbert Donow, President of the Faculty Senate, SIUC, stated that the Faculty Senate had reservations about the plan presented by the President, SIUC.

The motion being duly made and seconded, after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

Under Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System, Dr. Shaw stated that this was the first Board meeting scheduled more than ten days after the Board's receipt of his report on the Application for Appeal of James D. Gibson, SIUE, for which recommendation was that the Application for Appeal be refused. He explained that for such cases VI Bylaws 2-F provided that unless a majority vote to override his recommendation was enacted at this meeting, the Application for Appeal would be deemed to have been denied, and that the action which was the subject of the grievance would stand. He said that no motion to concur in his recommendation was necessary, but that if any Board member desired to grant the appeal notwithstanding his recommendation, this was the meeting at which such a motion would need to be made.

The Chair asked if there was such a motion; hearing none, the Chair declared that the Chancellor's decision would stand.

Chancellor Shaw gave the following report on FY 1984 Planning:

As indicated in my July 5th letter to you, the most recent legislative session resulted in a 20 percent temporary income tax increase and a one percent increase in the sales tax. This compromise tax increase package provided us with a funding level far better than would have been the case under Doomsday; it increases our revenues over Fiscal Year 1983 at \$75 million. Fiscal Year 1985 is not going to be an easy year. If one assumes a five percent growth rate in the economy, Fiscal Year 1985, is basically a year where there will be very little, if any, new GRF dollars. We should feel very good about what the legislature and the Governor has done in the sense that they have averted a crisis situation. But the pressure remains on us to attempt to get additional resources and to do an even better job in our planning and priority setting. We basically have a hold the line budget which enables us to make some progressive moves in the economic development area. We go into Fiscal Year 1984 relieved, but also aware that we need to do a considerable amount of planning to get ready for Fiscal Year 1985.

Regarding legislative activity, there are four items of special interest to us among the new capital appropriations provided in the omnibus capital bill. Two of these items, \$332,600 for the rehabilitation of underground electrical distribution system at Carbondale and \$348,800 for the replacement of roofs on various buildings at Edwardsville, were provided for in the Governor's original capital budget recommendations. The other two, \$5,182,200 for the construction of a dental education facility at Alton and

\$1,571,500 for the Food for Century III program at Carbondale, were added to the omnibus bill. Related to economic development, several pieces of legislation are awaiting gubernatorial action. Senate Bill 713 enables the University of Illinois and SIU to develop high technology and industrial parks and provides us with the legal means of doing that. Related to that enabling legislation are two SIU appropriations which were included in the final Conference Report for Senate Bill 374, our operating budget. The first is \$500,000 for an Economic Development Research and Training Center at SIUE. This Center would serve as a vehicle for developing, conducting, and funding applied research and training projects that will serve the metro-east region. The second appropriation, also for \$500,000, is for a Materials Technology Center at SIUC. This Center results directly from the efforts of the Governor's High Technology Task Force, and now Commission on Science and Technology, which focuses on the application of coal as a raw material in chemical feedstock and on a number of other areas in material science and technology. House Bill 1550 would allow the University to retain certain funds in its treasury generated by discoveries, inventions, patents, and copyrightable works. This legislation will now affect us if the Governor signs it. There are three other appropriation measures which are very significant to us. The first measure provides for an appropriation of \$750,000 to the Department of Public Health for grants to public and private agencies under the Family Practice Residency Act. Funding for family practice residency has been in some jeopardy because of cutbacks from federal and state funds. Senate Bill 378 also includes an appropriation for nearly \$790,000 to the Department of Public Health for grants to medical students under the Family Practice Residency Act. This is a program that the IBHE and the University would be very interested in having funded as it would provide much needed financial aid to minorities interested in a medical education. Also included in Senate Bill 378 is an appropriation to the Veterans Commission for the Veterans Scholarship Program in an amount over \$6.5 million. This amount represents full funding for the Veterans Scholarship Program. You'll recall that we've had a longstanding problem when the Veterans Office Commission wouldn't receive full funding. If the Governor signs it, it will ease our situation considerably. This has been a very successful and a very difficult year for us. I'd like to give credit to the Presidents, their staffs, Dean Keith Sanders, Clyde Choate, Bob Bruker, and the people at the School of Medicine. We have cooperated as never before and the Board of Higher Education and the other systems have never seen this level of cooperation.

The Chair announced that a news conference had been scheduled immediately following the regular meeting in the Mississippi Room, and that lunch would be served in Ballroom A.

Mr. Norwood moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 10:57 a.m.



Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary

## CONTENTS

Roll Call . . . . .	60
Trustee Reports . . . . .	60
Committee Reports . . . . .	62
Executive Committee . . . . .	62
Finance Committee . . . . .	62
Architecture and Design Committee . . . . .	63
Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, June and July, 1983, SIUC and SIUE . . . . .	64
Information Report: Approval of Reasonable and Moderate Extensions and Off-Campus Program Locations . . . . .	64
Amendment to Required Rules Under Administrative Procedure Act . . . . .	66
Amendment of Policy for Naming Physical Components of the University [Amendment to 6 <u>Policies of the Board</u> B-2-a-4)-a)] . . . . .	69
Project Approval, Approval of Plans and Specifications, and Authority for the Award of Contracts: Parking Lot Construction, SIUE . . . . .	70
Abolition of Degree Program: Bachelor of Science in Engineering, Major in Engineering Science, School of Engineering, SIUE . . . . .	71
Approval of Minutes of the Meeting held July 13, 1983 . . . . .	77
Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC . . . . .	77
Proposal to Amend Merit Board Resolution Relating to Employee Benefits . . . . .	78
Annual Internal Budget for Operations, Fiscal Year 1984 . . . . .	79
Amendments to Resource Allocation and Management Program (RAMP) Planning Documents, Fiscal Year 1985: . . . . .	89
Expanded/Improved Program Requests and Special Analytical Studies, SIUE . . . . .	89
Expanded/Improved Program Requests and Special Analytical Studies, SIUC . . . . .	92
Resource Allocation and Management Program (RAMP) Submissions, Fiscal Year 1985: . . . . .	94
Operating Budget Request . . . . .	94
Capital Budget Priorities . . . . .	98



Project Development Approval and Authority to Seek Architectural  
Services: Proposed Project for Increased On-Campus Single Student  
Housing, SIUE . . . . . 105

Designation of the "Sam M. Vadalabene Center for Health, Recreation,  
and Physical Education," SIUE . . . . . 107

Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE . . . . . 109

The regular monthly meeting of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University convened on Thursday, September 8, 1983, at 10:35 a.m., in the Ballroom of the University Center, Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville. The regular Chairman and Secretary being present, the meeting was called to order. The following members of the Board were present:

Mr. David Berry  
 Mr. Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
 Ms. Sharon Hutcherson  
 Mrs. Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
 Mr. William R. Norwood  
 Mr. Harris Rowe, Chairman  
 Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman  
 Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.

The following member was absent:

Mrs. Crete B. Harvey

Executive Officers present were:

Mr. Earl E. Lazerson, President, SIUE  
 Dr. Kenneth A. Shaw, Chancellor of the SIU System  
 Dr. Albert Somit, President, SIUC

Also present was Mrs. Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary of the Board.

The Secretary reported a quorum present.

NOTE: Copies of all background documents furnished to the Board in connection with the following matters have been placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

Under Trustee Reports, Ms. Sharon Hutcherson reported that she had attended the SIUC Commencement on August 6, 1983.

Mrs. Kimmel reported that she had attended the Illinois Board of Higher Education meeting on September 7, 1983, at Western Illinois University. She reported a discussion of appropriations for the coming year and how we had fared in the legislature. She mentioned a statement having been made concerning the reduction in money for veterans scholarships and scholarships dealing with public health. Mrs. Kimmel reported that members of the IBHE

had been briefed on the amount of money that was being released for projects; just because the funds had been approved by the legislature did not mean that they will be available, because the state will very carefully monitor the amount of money which can be released for projects in order not to overspend its anticipated revenue. She stated that the IBHE had admonished all universities to use care in assigning priority to their requests, especially for capital investments, in their 1985 RAMP projects. She reported that the major part of the meeting was spent talking about a document considering public university admission and general education requirements, wherein the requirements of the various state universities had been organized for their study, along with background information. She noted that the quality of education in the schools and colleges was of great concern and is creating a lot of public interest and public criticism. She stated that a copy of that document had been distributed to members of the Board of Trustees and contained therein were recommendations that all universities should consider. She stated that it is the intent of the IBHE that each university assume the responsibility of reviewing its own requirements for admission and take the responsibility for coming to grips with whether its staff believes that the entrance and general education requirements are adequate. She reported that later this fall or early spring each university will be asked to give evidence regarding its accomplishments and then the final recommendations by the IBHE will be discussed and considered by the IBHE. She commented that there were problems which will be difficult to cope with; one is the problem of access as weighed against the raising of requirements to provide academic excellence. She stated that this was the main topic of discussion and it will be a major issue in coming meetings.

Mr. Rowe stated his concern regarding the access question as it related to entrance and general education requirements. He commented that he was unclear as to the IBHE's authority in this area and asked that this matter, along with the Board's Statutes, be reviewed by the Chancellor's staff and a report given.

Ms. Hutcherson asked whether the IBHE had given its report on the access study. She was informed that it had not and that the access report was not listed in its goals for next year.

Mr. Norwood reported that the State Universities Retirement System was meeting today. He stated that the Board was diversifying investments and investment managers and being more critical of results.

Under Committee Reports, Dr. Wilkins had no report for the Executive Committee.

Mr. Elliott, Chairman of the Finance Committee, said that the Committee had met in the International Room of the University Center at 8:00 a.m. that morning. He gave the following report:

The Finance Committee recommends approval of Item L, Annual Internal Budget for Operations, Fiscal Year 1984, and Item N (1), Resource Allocation and Management Program (RAMP) Submissions, Fiscal Year 1985: Operating Budget Request, and asks that they not be placed on the omnibus motion. The Committee received information on Item Q, Project Development Approval and Authority to Seek Architectural Services: Proposed Project for Increased On-Campus Single Student Housing, SIUE. From the financial standpoint, the matter was approved but we felt it was more in the area for the Architecture and Design Committee and referred it to them for consideration. The Committee considered Item J, Proposal to Amend Merit Board Resolution Relating to Employee Benefits. This matter grants additional time to short-term employees that have major medical problems during this time. It should be noted that this applies to range civil service employees. The Merit Board has a policy which is recommended for fringe benefits. This is a suggested amendment to that policy. The Merit Board itself does not fix fringe benefits. They are fixed by the governing boards. However, a number of years ago the Merit Board had extensive considerations to come up with a recommendation to try and maintain uniformity among the university systems. SIU has tried in a general way to follow that recommendation. I don't think any system is absolutely 100% in line with the recommendations but most are very close. So that the recommendation today is

to amend the Merit Board recommendation back to the system. It does not put the policy into effect. It is not desirable to put it in effect at this time because it should be a part of the uniformity recommendation among the various systems. We are not adopting it today. We are recommending that it become a uniform policy in the state so that we then can consider and if we consider it appropriate adopt it. This Item J was recommended for approval and we recommend that it be placed on the omnibus motion. The quarterly audit reports were submitted and reviewed. We were informed that the FY 1983 compliance audit is almost complete and we will be invited to a conference with the auditors possibly in October.

Regarding Item J, Mr. Van Meter stated that he had a problem with additional benefits that did not have projected costs listed. He stated that technically the matter would come back to the Board, but in essence we were supporting this matter with our vote.

Mr. Elliott suggested that this matter be removed from the omnibus motion so that additional information could be provided.

Mr. Van Meter, Chairman of the Architecture and Design Committee, said that the Committee had met on Thursday, September 8, 1983, at 9:25 a.m., in the Board Room of the University Center. He gave the following report:

The Committee discussed and approved Item N (2), Resource Allocation and Management Program (RAMP) Submissions, Fiscal Year 1985: Capital Budget Priorities, and Item P, Project Approval, Approval of Plans and Specifications, and Authority for the Award of Contracts: Parking Lot Construction, SIUE. The Committee recommends that Item P be included in the omnibus motion. The Committee had a good discussion regarding Item Q, Project Development Approval and Authority to Seek Architectural Services: Proposed Project for Increased On-Campus Single Student Housing, SIUE. The Committee recommends approval of Item S, Designation of the "Sam M. Vadalabene Center for Health, Recreation, and Physical Education," SIUE, and that it be placed on the omnibus motion. The Library Storage Facility at SIUC was discussed and it was reported that the Capital Development Board took another step in asking for the release of funds. They are now able to go forward with negotiations and we hope to hear something soon regarding their negotiations. The Committee discussed the report of the engineers regarding McAndrew Stadium. The Committee has asked that the administration carefully follow the recommendations regarding crowd control and other suggestions contained in the report.

Mr. David Berry asked that Items Q, Project Development Approval and Authority to Seek Architectural Services: Proposed Project for Increased On-Campus Single Student Housing, SIUE, and S, Designation of the "Sam M. Vadalabene

Center for Health, Recreation, and Physical Education," SIUE, be removed from the omnibus motion.

The Chair explained the procedure for the Board's omnibus motion, and he proposed that, after discussion, there would be taken up the following matters:

REPORTS OF PURCHASE ORDERS AND CONTRACTS,  
JUNE AND JULY, 1983, SIUC AND SIUE

In accordance with III Bylaws I, and procedures effective April 1, 1980, summary reports of purchase orders and contracts awarded during the months of June and July, 1983, were mailed to the members of the Board in advance of this meeting, copies were placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees, and these reports are hereby submitted for information and entry upon the minutes of the Board with respect to the actions of the Executive Committee.

INFORMATION REPORT: APPROVAL OF REASONABLE AND MODERATE  
EXTENSIONS AND OFF-CAMPUS PROGRAM LOCATIONS

This report lists all actions in the category of reasonable and moderate extensions and all requests for off-campus program locations since the last report on April 14, 1983.

1. Further information on the April 14, 1983, report:

Bachelor of Science with a Major in Industrial Technology in Elgin, Illinois.

The IBHE approved this request at its May, 1983 meeting.

2. Reasonable and Moderate Extensions Approved by the Chancellor Since April 14, 1983:

Abolition of the Specialization in Music History-Literature within the Undergraduate Major in Music, SIUC.

Addition of a Specialization in Piano Pedagogy within the Bachelor of Music Degree Program, SIUC.

Addition of a Concentration in Piano Education Arts within the Master of Music Degree Program, SIUC.

Restructure of the Baccalaureate Major in Physical Education to include specializations in Athletic Training, Dance Pedagogy, Dance Performance/Choreography, Physical and Special Education, and Teacher Education, SIUC.

Merging of existing units to form an Office of Academic Services, SIUE.

Addition of a Specialization in Security Management within the Baccalaureate Major in Administration of Justice, SIUC.

Abolition of the Specialization in Country Living within the Baccalaureate Major in General Agriculture, SIUC.

Change in Title of Office of Cultural Arts and University Museums to University Museums, SIUE.

3. Requests for Off-Campus Program Locations Approved by the Chancellor Since April 14, 1983:

Master of Science, Major in Nursing, Medical-Surgical Specialization, in the Carbondale area, SIUE.

This request is now being reviewed by the IBHE staff.

AMENDMENT TO REQUIRED RULES UNDER ADMINISTRATIVE  
PROCEDURE ACT

Summary

This matter would approve an amendment to The Southern Illinois University System agency internal rules that are filed with the Secretary of State pursuant to the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act.

Rationale for Adoption

The Illinois Administrative Procedure Act requires that various state entities, including The Southern Illinois University System, file information with the Secretary of State. A general statement of the internal organization of the body is among the information required to be filed.

Since The Southern Illinois University System agency internal rules were last amended in 1979, several administrative organization changes have been implemented. This action would bring the System rules up-to-date.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

Not pertinent to this matter.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the attached Rule 1 of The Southern Illinois University System agency internal rules, filed with the Office of the Secretary of State in accord with the provisions of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act, be and is hereby approved.




BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY  
 RULE 1: BOARD OF TRUSTEES INTERNAL ORGANIZATION

Rule 1.01 Current Description of the Agency's Organization With Necessary  
 INTERNAL Charts Depicting Same:

- (a) The Board of Trustees is composed of seven members appointed by the Governor, by and with the consent of the Senate, and two nonvoting student members selected by the respective students at the Carbondale and Edwardsville campuses. A Chancellor is selected by the Board as its chief executive and administrative authority and a President is selected by the Board upon the recommendation of the Chancellor to operate each campus. The Carbondale operation includes jurisdiction over small ancillary sites in St. Clair, Williamson, and Union Counties and the School of Medicine headquartered in Springfield. The Edwardsville operation has jurisdiction over the East St. Louis Center and the School of Dental Medicine in Alton and small ancillary sites in Madison and St. Clair Counties. Personnel of both campuses conduct extension and adult education throughout the State as needs require. Each President has under him a Chief Officer for Academic Affairs, for Business Affairs, for Students, and for Area Services with the title of Vice-President or Director and such other staff as may be authorized and required.

(b)



Rule 1.02 Current Procedures on How the Public Can Obtain Information or  
INTERNAL Make Submissions or Requests on Subjects, Programs, and Activities  
of the Board.

Communicate with the offices noted for the type of information desired:

(a) Board of Trustees policies:

Office of the Chancellor, Southern Illinois University,  
Colyer Hall, Carbondale, Illinois 62901

(b) Admission to resident credit enrollment:

Admissions and Records Office SIUC, Woody Hall Carbondale, Illinois 62901	Admissions and Records Office SIUE, Rendleman Building Edwardsville, Illinois 62026
---	---

(c) Admission to other instructional programs:

Division of Continuing Education SIUC, Washington Square C Carbondale, Illinois 62901	Office of Continuing Education SIUE, Rendleman Building 1330 Edwardsville, Illinois 62026
--	---

(d) All other, the Office of the Chancellor [See (a) above] or:

President, SIUC Anthony Hall Carbondale, Illinois 62901	President, SIUE Rendleman Building Edwardsville, Illinois 62026
---	---

Rule 1.03 Materials to Aid Users in Finding and Using the Agency's  
INTERNAL Collection of Rules

- (a) Regulations Governing Procurement and Bidding are on file with the Secretary of State.

Rule 1.04 Rule-making Procedures  
INTERNAL


(From Bylaws, Article VI, Board Agenda Policy and Procedures)

- (a) Section 1. Presentations by the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System and the Presidents (6/12/80).
- A. The Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System may place any item on the Board agenda which that officer determines should be presented (6/12/80).
  - B. The Presidents shall present items to the Board of Trustees through the Chancellor. Matters judged

imperative for Board consideration by a President but which the Chancellor does not wish to recommend to the Board may be appealed by the President to the Chairperson of the Board who shall report the decision to the Board for its concurrence or rejection (6/12/80).

- C. The Chancellor shall establish and publish deadlines for items for the Board agenda (6/12/80).

(b) Flow chart:



AMENDMENT OF POLICY FOR NAMING PHYSICAL COMPONENTS  
OF THE UNIVERSITY  
[AMENDMENT TO 6 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B-2-a-4)-a)]

Summary

The proposed amendment would delete from System policy the present qualification that in naming physical components of the University for public persons those persons be no longer living, and permit physical components to be named for public persons, living or deceased. Campus policies could either be amended to conform to this amendment or continue their present parallel restrictions.

Rationale for Adoption

SIUE desires to name the Multi-Purpose Building for a living public person and believes that its reasons are sufficiently grounded to warrant requesting the Board to relax its policy, whereupon it is the intent of SIUE to propose a parallel relaxation of its campus policy for the Chancellor's approval. In no other case than a public person is there a requirement that the namesake of a building be deceased, including eleemosynary donors. A public person's political support can be as important as a donor's monetary support, in the case of public institutions.

Considerations Against Adoption

The purpose of the present restriction is to require the advantage of a historical perspective before naming a building after a person who is not directly connected with the institution either as Trustee, staff member, student or donor of substantial funds.

### Constituency Involvement

The SIUE Building and Facility Naming Committee has approved the naming of the Multi-Purpose Building for a living public person. This proposal is necessary to implement that action. SIUC is not constrained to alter its present policy so there has been no constituency involvement from that University.

### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That 6 Policies of the Board B-2-a-4)-a) be and is hereby amended as follows:

#### B. Physical Facilities

##### 2. Policy for Naming Physical Components of the University

##### a. Southern Illinois University System Policy

- 4) The policies established by the Universities shall include the following considerations:
  - a) Physical components of the University may be named for notable members of the University faculty, staff, and student body; for distinguished former members of the Board; for donors of substantial funds; or for public persons of the state or nation, or of any country.

#### PROJECT APPROVAL, APPROVAL OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, AND AUTHORITY FOR THE AWARD OF CONTRACTS: PARKING LOT CONSTRUCTION, SIUE

### Summary

This matter seeks project approval, approval of plans and specifications, and authority for the award of contracts by members of the Executive Committee of the Board for construction of a parking lot west of the Multi-Purpose Building, SIUE. Funding for the project, in the amount of \$175,000, would be from revenue derived from parking fees and related sources at SIUE.

The project was initially approved by this Board at its June 9, 1983 meeting, and was subsequently approved by the IBHE at its July, 1983 meeting. The project would provide for construction of a 350 space parking lot including bus parking accommodations, installation of high mount lighting and control gates, and modifications to existing walks and drives to provide access to the new lot.

This matter requests that, upon recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee, members of the Executive Committee of the Board be authorized to award contracts to expedite completion of the project. If site preparation for the project is undertaken before winter weather begins, the project can be completed as soon as spring weather permits.

Rationale for Adoption

Plans and specifications for the project were developed by SIUE plant operations personnel. The plans and specifications have been filed with the Office of the Board of Trustees. Mr. Charles Pulley, AIA, has reviewed the plans and specifications for this project and recommends their approval.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

This project was reviewed and approved by the Physical Facilities Committee of the University Planning and Budget Council, SIUE. It is recommended for adoption by the Directors of Planning and Resource Management and of Supporting Services and by the President, SIUE.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The capital project to construct a parking lot west of the Multi-Purpose Building, SIUE, be and is hereby approved as presented to the Board this date, with a budget of \$175,000 funded from SIUE parking fees and related sources being approved for the project.
- (2) Plans and specifications for the said capital project be and are hereby approved as presented to the Board this date, and officers of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville are authorized to proceed with bidding the said project in accord with plans and specifications hereby approved.
- (3) Members of the Executive Committee of the Board be and are hereby authorized to award contracts in connection with the project herein approved.
- (4) The President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

ABOLITION OF DEGREE PROGRAM: BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN  
ENGINEERING, MAJOR IN ENGINEERING SCIENCE, SCHOOL  
OF ENGINEERING, SIUE

Summary

This matter proposes abolishing the Bachelor of Science in Engineering degree program, major in Engineering Science, School of Engineering, SIUE.

### Rationale for Adoption

The Engineering Science degree program consists only of courses offered in the current disciplinary programs of Civil Engineering and Electrical Engineering. The Engineering faculty believes that training in one of the other Engineering majors would prepare students better than would the broad-base Engineering Science program. No faculty are presently assigned to this program and no separate courses are listed. The program description was removed from the undergraduate catalog published in the summer of 1980, at which time admissions to the program were suspended. This action came about as a result of recommendations of an internal review conducted in 1979-80. There will be no effect on other programs in the University resulting from the termination of this program. The last program majors completed graduation requirements at the end of Fall Quarter, 1982.

### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

### Constituency Involvement

This proposal was initiated in the Department of Engineering and Technology when it was a unit in the School of Science and Technology. When the division of the School occurred in May, 1983, the newly-formed School of Engineering continued to support termination of the program. It has been endorsed by the Acting Dean of the School of Engineering, by appropriate departmental faculties, and by the Faculty Senate, SIUE. It is recommended for approval by the Vice-President and Provost and by the President, SIUE.

### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the Bachelor of Science in Engineering degree, major in Engineering Science, School of Engineering, SIUE, be and is hereby abolished; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That this action be reported to the staff of the Illinois Board of Higher Education.



September 8, 1983  
Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville

73

*Faculty Senate*

July 22, 1983

MEMO TO: Earl Lazerson  
FROM: Jerry Hollenhorst, President  
Faculty Senate  
SUBJECT: Policy Recommendation  
Curriculum Council #3-83/84  
Termination of Program - Engineering Science

The enclosed resolution is hereby presented as a policy recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jerry Hollenhorst".

Jerry Hollenhorst, President  
Faculty Senate

enclosure

cc: Barbara Teters, Vice President and Provost (original attached)  
Tom Bouman, Chairperson, Curriculum Council

June 2, 1983

EDWARDSVILLE FACULTY SENATE POLICY RECOMMENDATION

CURRICULUM COUNCIL #3-83/84

Termination of Program for Major Concentration in Engineering Science

The Curriculum Council recommends approval of the request for termination of a major concentration in Engineering Science as proposed in the attached Form 92B submitted by the School of Science and Engineering.



SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY AT EDWARDSVILLE  
REQUEST FOR TERMINATION OF AN ACADEMIC PROGRAM

Requests for termination of an entire degree, certificate, or academic major should use this form as a cover sheet.

This is to request termination of an academic program, as follows:

Degree title Bachelor of Science in Engineering  
 Degree granting unit Science and Engineering  
 Major(s) in Engineering Science  
 Minor(s) in \_\_\_\_\_  
 Specialization(s) in \_\_\_\_\_

The specific academic program to be terminated is: Engineering Science

ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS IN THE SPACE PROVIDED OR WITH APPROPRIATE ATTACHMENTS.

1. Submit an analysis of the program to be terminated, including: See Attached Sheet
  - a. Reason(s) for terminating the program.
  - b. Characteristics of the current clientele.
  - c. Projections of clientele were the program to be continued.
  - d. Methods planned to serve clients currently involved in the program.
  - e. Effect of the termination on other programs in the institution.
  - f. Effect (academic and fiscal) of the termination on other activities of the sponsoring unit.
  - g. A list of regular and elective courses to be dropped in connection with the termination. (These requests should be submitted via Forms 90B.)
2. List the academic units with which this request has been collated and attach the units' responses. None
3. Attach pertinent sections of previous program reviews and/or special analytic studies supporting the requested termination.

APPROVED:

	Date
Department or Faculty Chairperson <u><i>John J. Hanna</i></u>	<u>1/18/83</u>
School Curriculum Committee <u><i>J. Edmund W. White</i></u>	<u>2/15/83</u>
School Dean <u><i>J.P. Anderson</i></u>	<u>2/16/83</u>
Curriculum Council Chairperson <u><i>James D. Bowen</i></u>	<u>6/2/83</u>
Graduate School Dean or General Studies _____	_____
Vice President and Provost _____	_____

RECORD:

Date

Favorable action Board of Trustees \_\_\_\_\_  
 Favorable action State Board of Higher Education \_\_\_\_\_  
 Effective date for implementation \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRIBUTION:

WHITE to Director of Admissions and Records, BLUE to Chairperson, PINK to Vice President and Provost, CANARY to Dean of School, GREEN to University Graphics and Publications, GOLDENROD to Dean of Graduate School or Director of General Studies

## ATTACHMENT NO. 1

Request for Termination of an Academic Program  
Major in Engineering Science  
January 19, 1983

The "Program Review Recommendation for the Bachelor of Science in Engineering Degree, Major in Engineering Science" as it appeared in the 1982 RAMP Planning Document forwarded to the Illinois Board of Higher Education states:

"It is recommended that the Department of Engineering should suspend admission to the Engineering Science Program, until such time as either the program is reformulated or a decision is made to remove the program from the University inventory. It is further recommended that the Department continue to investigate the possibility of its major revision making it a viable undergraduate evening program capable of accreditation. If a revised program is not accredited, it should be stated clearly in the catalog description that this program cannot be used to meet state registration requirements."

Rationale. It is reported that, nationally, most Engineering Science programs are being eliminated. The Engineering faculty generally believes that training in one of the other Engineering specializations or a major in one of the sciences with an Engineering minor would prepare students as well as the broad-based Engineering Science program. However, there may be a backlog of potential students for whom an evening engineering program is appropriate, but insufficient in sustainable numbers to separately support, civil, industrial, and electrical at levels capable of attaining accreditation."

Considerable thought and consideration was given to the program objectives during the Program Review of 1979/80 which resulted in the recommendation noted. In an Engineering faculty meeting on November 30, 1979, it was decided to not expand the Engineering Science program and to reassign faculty to the Civil Engineering and Electrical Engineering programs. The Engineering Science program then consisted only of courses offered by the current disciplinary programs. No faculty are presently assigned to this program and no separate courses are listed. There will be no effect on other programs in the University resulting from the termination of this program.

The program was removed from the undergraduate catalog and students were not encouraged to enter the program. Students in the program were counselled and arrangements made for those who wanted to complete their work. The last two majors completed graduation requirements at the end of the Fall Quarter, 1982.

It is recommended the concentration Engineering Science Program be eliminated effective as soon as administratively possible.

Termination: BSE: Major in Engineering Science

This action was initiated by the Department of Engineering and Technology (later the School of Engineering, SIUE.) It abolishes the undergraduate degree program Engineering Science. As of Winter Quarter, 1983, there are no program majors enrolled. The School of Engineering continues to offer undergraduate degree programs in Civil Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Industrial Engineering, and Construction. There are no resource implications in this decision.

Mr. Norwood moved the reception of Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, June and July, 1983, SIUC and SIUE, and of Information Report: Approval of Reasonable and Moderate Extensions and Off-Campus Program Locations; the ratification of Changes in Faculty-Administrative Payroll, SIUC and SIUE; and the approval of Minutes of the meeting held July 13, 1983; Amendment to Required Rules Under Administrative Procedure Act; Amendment of Policy for Naming Physical Components of the University [Amendment to 6 Policies of the Board B-2-a-4)-a)]; Project Approval, Approval of Plans and Specifications, and Authority for the Award of Contracts: Parking Lot Construction, SIUE; and Abolition of Degree Program: Bachelor of Science in Engineering, Major in Engineering Science, School of Engineering, SIUE. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC, President Somit reported that two distinguished professors had been named under a new program to recognize and award outstanding scholarly achievement by senior faculty members. He stated that Herbert Fink, Professor of Art, and W. D. Klimstra, Professor of Zoology and Director, Cooperative Wildlife Research Laboratory, were the first recipients of this honor and the title will be Distinguished Professor. He continued that these two appointments would set an impressive standard for future nominees.

The following matter was presented:

PROPOSAL TO AMEND MERIT BOARD RESOLUTION  
RELATING TO EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

Summary

In order to try to keep Civil Service fringe benefits which are controlled by governing boards as nearly uniform as possible, the Merit Board in June, 1970 approved an Employee Benefits Resolution to which the Board of Trustees agreed in September, 1970. SIUC and SIUE wish to propose an amendment to that resolution, looking toward a subsequent amendment of their Civil Service sick leave provisions should the Merit Board agree. The proposal is that, when all vacation and personal leave as well as sick leave has been exhausted, and when the treating physician certifies to the medical condition, the University may at its discretion grant additional sick leave not to exceed 20 days per fiscal year.

Rationale for Adoption

The proposal is primarily for the benefit of persons who have not been employed long enough to have accumulated sick leave under the general rules. Faculty-staff begin each year, including their first year, with 60 calendar days of sick leave in addition to any cumulative benefit earned in previous years. Civil Service employees, under the present Merit Board Resolution and University Policy, begin work with no sick leave entitlement at all, and only pick it up at the rate of approximately 1 day per month of employment. Thus, for example, a person employed for 6 months who suffers a month's (22 working days) disability would have 6 days paid leave and 16 days unpaid. Assuming that the employee had not taken any of the vacation earned in the 6 months of employment, he could take vacation in order to remain in pay status 6 days more, but then under the present policy the employee would be in non-pay status for 10 days. The proposal would permit the University to cover those 10 days (or any other number up to 20) when it is satisfied that a true hardship exists.

Similarly, when a longer-term employee suffers such a disability that all the accumulated sick leave is consumed, there would be discretion to extend the paid leave by up to 20 days also.

The mention of exhaustion of personal leave is to accommodate other systems; at both SIUE and SIUC personal leave has been melded into the vacation leave.

Considerations Against Adoption

Every extension of sick leave entails some costs, of course. The occasions for use of this extraordinary leave should be rare and the costs therefore minimal.

Constituency Involvement

This proposal was generated by the SIUC Joint Benefits Committee and Civil Service Employees Council. It was approved by the SIUE Staff Senate, although that Senate preferred another version in which the additional sick leave would be available prior to the exhaustion of vacation leave.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the following Proposal to Amend Merit Board Resolution Relating to Employee Benefits be and is hereby approved and recommended for enactment by the Merit Board governing the Universities Civil Service System of the State of Illinois:

"Art. IV Paid Leave

"Sec. C Sick Leave

"6. When an employee has exhausted all Vacation and Personal Leave and Sick Leave but is unable to return to work because of a medical condition attested to by the employee's primary attending physician, at the discretion of the employer up to 20 additional days of paid leave may be granted in any single fiscal year."

Mr. Van Meter asked for a dollar figure of the cost in the event the resolution was passed, and for clarification concerning to whom the resolution applied. Mr. Elliott stated that it would rarely apply to other than entry level employees. After considerable discussion, Mr. Elliott moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matter was presented:

ANNUAL INTERNAL BUDGET FOR OPERATIONS, FISCAL YEAR 1984

Summary

This matter submits for approval the Annual Internal Budget for Operations, Fiscal Year 1984. The document includes estimates of all funds expected to be available during the fiscal year for Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, and the Office of the Chancellor, and makes allocations for the use of these funds. A review describing the contents of the document in some detail is attached.

Submission of the Annual Internal Budget for Operations, Fiscal Year 1984, at this meeting is in accordance with the Board of Trustees' schedule for budget matters. A printed and bound copy of the document was mailed to

each member of the Board of Trustees in advance of this meeting and, upon approval by the Board, a copy will be placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

#### Rationale for Adoption

The Board of Trustees is the legal custodian for all funds belonging to and under the control of its Universities. As such, and in accordance with the Statutes of the Board of Trustees, approval of the Annual Internal Budget for Operations is a Board action necessary to meet established responsibilities.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

None is known to exist.

#### Constituency Involvement

There is no unique System constituency involved with this matter. Each University and the Office of the Chancellor developed its respective section of the document in accordance with the intent of the appropriation act and the applicable policies of the Board of Trustees. SIUC has reviewed its proposed budget plans with the President's Budget Advisory Committee. SIUE developed its budget plans with the participation of the University Planning and Budget Council.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the Southern Illinois University Annual Internal Budget for Operations, Fiscal Year 1984, as presented and described in the printed copy of the Internal Budget document in Schedules A-1 through A-5, including footnotes describing reserve requirements, be approved.

### REVIEW OF ANNUAL INTERNAL BUDGET FOR OPERATIONS

#### FISCAL YEAR 1984

The Annual Internal Budget for Operations describes the estimated total revenue sources and the spending plans of The Southern Illinois University System by major functions and activities for Fiscal Year 1984. The primary source of funding is appropriations from the State of Illinois. This source accounts for about 65.0 percent of the total operating budget. State appropriated funds consist of general revenue funds (tax dollars) and income fund collections (derived primarily from tuition and fees). General revenue funds will support about 50.4 percent of the projected Fiscal Year 1984 budget while income fund collections are expected to support about 14.6 percent. The status of state appropriated funds has been reported to the Board at various times during the past legislative session. The remaining 35.0 percent of expected revenue comes from nonappropriated funds. These funds include revenues received in support of research and other programs sponsored by governmental entities and private foundations and corporations; revenues

received as reimbursement of indirect costs on these sponsored programs; revenues received from operation of revenue bond financed auxiliary enterprises, principally housing and student center operations; and revenues received from other self-supporting auxiliary enterprises and activities which are funded primarily by student fees and operating charges.

The Southern Illinois University Internal Budget for Operations for Fiscal Year 1984 estimates revenue from all sources to be \$266,210,396, an increase of \$10,946,039 or 4.3 percent over the Fiscal Year 1983 revised budgeted revenues. Following is information for each University and the Office of the Chancellor which summarizes changes in budget levels for appropriated and nonappropriated funds:

	<u>SIUC</u>	<u>SIUE</u> (\$ in Thousands)	Office of the Chancellor	<u>System Total</u>
<u>State Appropriated Funds</u>				
FY 1984	\$121,836.3	\$49,950.7	\$1,036.9	\$172,823.9
FY 1983	<u>114,754.6</u>	<u>46,549.4</u>	<u>988.1</u>	<u>162,292.1</u>
Change	\$ 7,081.7	\$ 3,401.3	\$ 48.8	\$ 10,531.8
% of Change	6.2%	7.3%	4.9%	6.5%
<u>Nonappropriated Funds</u>				
FY 1984	\$ 74,166.8	\$19,219.7	\$ ---	\$ 93,386.5
FY 1983	<u>75,856.3</u>	<u>17,115.9</u>	<u>---</u>	<u>92,972.2</u>
Change	\$ (1,689.2)	\$ 2,103.8	\$ ---	\$ 414.3
% of Change	(2.3%)	12.3%	---%	.4%
<u>Combined Funds</u>				
FY 1984	\$196,003.1	\$69,170.4	\$1,036.9	\$266,210.4
FY 1983	<u>190,610.9</u>	<u>63,665.3</u>	<u>988.1</u>	<u>255,264.3</u>
Change	\$ 5,392.2	\$ 5,505.1	\$ 48.8	\$ 10,946.1
% of Change	2.8%	8.6%	4.9%	4.3%
<u>Appropriated Funds</u>				

Schedule A-1 of the attached 1983-84 Internal Budget for Operations presents the income budget allocations of the state appropriation amount among

SIUC, SIUE, and the Office of the Chancellor. The following comments and attached Table 1 summarize the changes made in state appropriations:

#### ADJUSTMENTS TO THE FY-1983 APPROPRIATION BASE

##### Salary Annualization

This adjustment provides funds (on 90 percent of base) to annualize the cost of the 3 percent salary increase appropriated for faculty and staff in Fiscal Year 1983.

##### Comparative Cost Analysis

On the basis of an IBHE analysis of instructional costs, a negative adjustment to the budget base was recommended for each university that showed an overfunding exceeding 5 percent of that university's adjusted instructional cost base. The adjustment equals 33.3 percent of the overfunding amount indicated by the IBHE cost analysis. Of the four universities affected, SIUE received the largest budget base adjustment, a reduction of \$497,600.

##### Implementation of Financial Guidelines

These adjustments reflect changes in the scope of certain self-supporting university activities, such as instructional programs purchased by a corporation or offered on a military base. Since Fiscal Year 1980, such activities have been included in the appropriations process in order to implement the Financial Guidelines of the Legislative Audit Commission. Revenues from these activities are deposited into the appropriate university Income Fund and expenditures are made from appropriations passed by the General Assembly. Adjustments to the appropriations base and to the estimated revenues to be deposited into the Income Fund are frequently required when these programs become larger or smaller. SIUC and SIUE were recommended for positive base adjustments of \$310,600 and \$176,200, respectively.

##### Health Program Base Adjustments

Based upon a recent review of education for the health professions, the IBHE has adopted resolutions to the effect that medical school enrollments should not exceed 1980 entering class levels, dental enrollments should be reduced, and future programmatic initiatives in health should be financed within the existing resources committed to these programs. Accordingly, the Fiscal Year 1984 recommendations include base adjustments to existing programs in medicine and dentistry to reflect enrollment decreases and to help finance programmatic improvements.

The negative base adjustment of \$250,000 to the Southern Illinois University School of Medicine reflects a reduction of previously financed enrollment increases. A negative adjustment of \$200,000 was recommended for the Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville School of Dental Medicine to reflect savings of \$125,000 from planned enrollment decreases and \$75,000 from program cost reductions.



### Adjustments for Loss of Tuition Revenues

Negative base adjustments were recommended by the IBHE to reflect the loss of tuition revenues due to declining student enrollments in Fiscal Year 1983 and anticipated enrollment decreases in Fiscal Year 1984. Without these adjustments general revenue funds would replace the tuition revenues lost due to enrollment decreases.

Decreases in enrollments during Fiscal Year 1983 are not reflected in the Fiscal Year 1982 comparative cost analysis. Declining enrollments, however, will contribute to higher unit costs in those institutions which are already above the statewide average. For this reason, tuition revenues lost due to Fiscal Year 1983 enrollment decreases have not been replaced at campuses where Fiscal Year 1982 costs are more than 2.5 percent above average. This adjustment will help avoid the need for large comparative cost reductions in later years.

Base adjustments were also recommended to avoid replacing tuition revenues lost due to projected enrollment decreases in Fiscal Year 1984. Those institutions which have lower than average Fiscal Year 1982 instructional costs have been exempted from this base adjustment.

SIUE was recommended for a base reduction of \$34,400 based upon its FY-83 enrollment decrease, while SIUC was recommended for a base reduction of \$798,400 based upon its projected loss of tuition revenue in FY-84.

### Tuition Waiver Adjustments

In the Spring of 1971, the Illinois Board of Higher Education adopted a policy to reduce the number of institutional tuition waivers to 2 percent of undergraduate student enrollment. Statutory waivers and waivers for civil service staff are excluded from this limitation. The budget recommendations include base adjustments to three universities where anticipated Fiscal Year 1984 institutional waivers would exceed 2 percent of tuition revenues. SIUC was recommended for a negative base adjustment of \$404,600. The effect of these adjustments is to assure that state appropriations do not provide indirect support for tuition waivers above the 2 percent guideline.

### Other Adjustments

SIUC was recommended for a negative base adjustment of \$194,900 because of its allocation of off-campus program tuition revenue to their cost recovery programs during FY-83. Also, two negative technical adjustments were recommended for vacated space at SIUC (\$216,500) and for nonrecurring activities at SIUE (\$65,000).

## RECOMMENDED INCREASES

### Salary Increases

The 4.5 percent (on 95 percent of base) being recommended will, hopefully, offset inflation projected for FY-84, but it will not begin to

restore the purchasing power and relative competitiveness of university salaries lost in Fiscal Year 1983 and earlier years.

#### General Cost

The increase being recommended for this portion of universities' budgets is 3 percent. This percent will partially offset currently projected cost increases for FY-84, but it will not address our backlog of needs accumulated in recent years.

#### Utility Cost

The recommended increase is 80 percent of the original IBHE recommended increase which was based on projected increases of 20 percent for natural gas, 15 percent for electricity, 12 percent for steam, 8 percent for fuel oil, and 6 percent for coal and other utility expenditures. The average utility rate increase recommended for each university varies depending upon the mix of fuels used at each campus.

Utility cost continues to be a major concern for SIU. Even with the efforts made to conserve energy usage, we have had to reallocate funds from other university activities to meet rising utility costs.

#### Physical Plant Maintenance for New Buildings

This recommendation provides funds at the rate of \$1.79 per gross square foot for operating costs of these buildings, excluding utilities. Additional funds are provided for utilities based upon an analysis of projected utility costs for each building, again only 80 percent of the original IBHE recommendation is included.

#### Program, Institutional Support, and Materials Technology Center, SIUC

These recommendations include funds to upgrade engineering programs, for research and instructional equipment, to improve instruction in high enrollment demand areas, and to enhance programs for economic development. These activities are identified in Table 2.

#### Retirement Contributions

This appropriation reflects 60 percent of gross benefit payout requirements plus \$1.9 million to implement the provisions of Senate Joint Resolution 33, which intends to restore funds reduced from retirement appropriations during FY-83 in order to cope with the state's revenue short-fall.

#### Nonappropriated Funds

Nonappropriated funds estimated to be available in Fiscal Year 1984 amount to \$93,386,500, an increase of \$414,300 (.4 percent) over such revenues budgeted in Fiscal Year 1983. Nonappropriated funds consist of four fund groups, the budgets for which are contained in Schedules A-2 through A-5 of the attached Fiscal Year 1984 Internal Budget for Operations. Schedule A-2 (Restricted Nonappropriated Funds) contains an estimate of revenues expected

from governmental entities and private foundations and corporations for the support of various research, instructional, and other programs. The specific use of these funds is restricted by contractual agreement with the sponsoring agency. Schedule A-3 (Unrestricted Nonappropriated Funds) includes revenues received by the University for which no specific use is identified. Primarily, these revenues represent reimbursement of indirect costs of sponsored programs included in Schedule A-2. Schedule A-4 (Revenue Bond Auxiliary Enterprises) identifies estimated revenues from operation of revenue bond financed facilities, primarily housing and student center operations. Schedule A-5 (Other Auxiliary Enterprises and Activities) includes estimated revenues from other self-supporting auxiliary enterprises and activities which are funded primarily from student fees and/or operating charges. A summary of these funds by Schedule and by University, along with comparison of budgets of the previous year, is shown below:

	<u>Budgeted FY 1984</u>	<u>Budgeted FY 1983</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>% of Change</u>
<u>SIUC</u> (\$ in Thousands)				
Restricted Nonappropriated Funds (Schedule A-2)	\$22,478.0	\$23,813.0	(\$1,335.0)	(5.6)
Unrestricted Nonappropriated Funds (Schedule A-3)	3,816.6	4,532.8	(716.2)	(15.8)
Revenue Bond Auxiliary Enterprises (Schedule A-4)	20,173.0	20,257.4	(84.4)	(.4)
Other Auxiliary Enterprises and Activities (Schedule A-5)	<u>27,699.2</u>	<u>27,253.1</u>	<u>446.1</u>	<u>1.6</u>
Total - SIUC	\$74,166.8	\$75,856.3	(\$1,689.5)	(2.3)
<u>SIUE</u> (\$ in Thousands)				
Restricted Nonappropriated Funds (Schedule A-2)	\$ 7,145.5	\$ 5,533.0	\$1,612.5	29.2
Unrestricted Nonappropriated Funds (Schedule A-3)	407.0	311.1	95.9	30.8
Revenue Bond Auxiliary Enterprises (Schedule A-4)	6,380.8	5,838.0	542.8	9.3
Other Auxiliary Enterprises and Activities (Schedule A-5)	<u>5,286.4</u>	<u>5,433.8</u>	<u>(147.4)</u>	<u>(2.7)</u>
Total - SIUE	<u>\$19,219.7</u>	<u>\$17,115.9</u>	<u>\$2,103.8</u>	<u>12.3</u>
Total - SIU System	\$93,386.5	\$92,972.2	\$ 414.3	4.5

Funds classified as Other Auxiliary Enterprises and Activities (Schedule A-5) are those most affected by the Legislative Audit Commission financial guidelines. The guidelines include the requirements that these funds be budgeted in entities that are similar and rationally related; that funding of nonindented reserves for equipment replacement and development be by plan and incorporated in each entity's budget; that the Board shall approve each auxiliary enterprise or activity entity budget; and that excess funds resulting from the operation of any auxiliary enterprise or activity shall be deposited in the SIU Income Fund in the State Treasury. Schedule A-5 was developed and first used in the Fiscal Year 1978 Internal Budget for Operations. The Schedule includes footnotes that describe all reserves for which a current allocation of revenue is proposed. The beginning balance of each entity reported in Schedule A-5, when such balance exists, has been examined by the University to which it belongs for the existence of excess funds and for compliance with other guidelines. On the basis of this examination, these balances represent funds that may appropriately be rebudgeted.

Table 1

Southern Illinois University  
Summary of Changes in State Appropriated Operating Budget  
for Fiscal Year 1984  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

	<u>SIUC</u>	<u>SIUE</u>	<u>Office of the Chancellor</u>	<u>System Total</u>
FY-83 Appropriated Budget	\$114,754.6	\$46,549.4	\$ 988.1	\$162,292.1
Less Retirement Contributions	<u>4,123.1</u>	<u>1,774.2</u>	<u>32.6</u>	<u>5,929.9</u>
Appropriated Operations Budget	<u>\$110,631.5</u>	<u>\$44,775.2</u>	<u>\$ 955.5</u>	<u>\$156,362.2</u>
Adjustments to FY-83 Appropriated Operations Budget				
Salary Annualization	\$ 1,113.0	\$ 478.9	\$ 8.8	\$ 1,600.7
Comparative Cost Adjustment		(497.6)		(497.6)
Implementation of Financial Guidelines	310.6	176.2		486.8
Planned Med/Dent Reductions	(250.0)	(200.0)		(450.0)
FY-83 Enrollment Decrease		(34.4)		(34.4)
FY-84 Tuition Revenue Decrease	(798.4)			(798.4)
FY-84 Tuition Waiver Adjustment	(404.6)			(404.6)
Allocation of FY-83 Off-Campus Program Tuition	(194.9)			(194.9)
Vacated Space	(216.5)			(216.5)
Nonrecurring Activities		(65.0)		(65.0)
Total Adjustments	<u>\$ (440.8)</u>	<u>\$ (141.9)</u>	<u>\$ 8.8</u>	<u>\$ (573.9)</u>
Recommended Increases to Operations Budget				
Salary Increase	\$ 3,527.0	\$ 1,505.2	\$ 27.5	\$ 5,059.7
General Cost Increase	630.4	198.7	9.4	838.5
Utility Cost Increase	637.3	345.8		983.1
O & M for New Buildings	108.8	643.3		752.1
Program and Institutional Support	1,256.5	492.8		1,749.3
Materials Technology Center-SIUC	500.0			500.0
Total Recommended Increases	<u>\$ 6,660.0</u>	<u>\$ 3,185.8</u>	<u>\$ 36.9</u>	<u>\$ 9,882.7</u>
Net Change in Operations Budget	\$ 6,219.2	\$ 3,043.9	\$ 45.7	\$ 9,308.8
% of Change	<u>5.6%</u>	<u>6.8%</u>	<u>4.8%</u>	<u>6.0%</u>
FY-84 Appropriated Operations Budget	<u>\$116,850.7</u>	<u>\$47,819.1</u>	<u>\$1,001.2</u>	<u>\$165,671.0</u>
Retirement Contributions				
FY-84 Increase	\$ 862.5	\$ 357.4	\$ 3.1	\$ 1,223.0
FY-84 Funding Level	<u>4,985.6</u>	<u>2,131.6</u>	<u>35.7</u>	<u>7,152.9</u>
FY-84 Appropriated Budget	<u>\$121,836.3</u>	<u>\$49,950.7</u>	<u>\$1,036.9</u>	<u>\$172,823.9</u>

Table 2

Southern Illinois University  
 Recommended Increases in Program and Institutional Support  
 for Fiscal Year 1984  
 (In Thousands of Dollars)

	<u>SIUC</u>	<u>SIUE</u>	<u>Total</u>
Engineering Revitalization	\$ 375.0	\$124.8	\$ 499.8
Computer Science	142.5	85.0	227.5
Equipment	300.0		300.0
Office of Regional Research and Service	175.0		175.0
Support Costs	264.0*		264.0
Materials Technology Center	500.0		500.0
Fine Arts and Communications Equipment		150.0*	150.0
Economic Development		125.0	125.0
Rape and Sexual Abuse Care Center		8.0	8.0
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$1,756.5</u>	<u>\$492.8</u>	<u>\$2,249.3</u>

\*Financed through the reduction of tuition retained for auxiliary enterprises.

Chancellor Shaw stated that we were now to a point where almost half of the funds in the operating budget come from non-taxpayer sources, either through tuition and fees, through operations, grants, and other areas. He stated that this budget was far better than had been imagined when looking at the doomsday situation, but that it falls short of meeting our needs.

Mr. Elliott moved approval of the resolution as presented. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

The following matters were presented:

AMENDMENTS TO RESOURCE ALLOCATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (RAMP)  
PLANNING DOCUMENTS, FISCAL YEAR 1985 (EXPANDED/IMPROVED  
PROGRAM REQUESTS AND SPECIAL ANALYTICAL STUDIES), SIUE

Summary

This matter proposes amendments to the SIUE RAMP Planning Documents for FY 1985, as approved by the Board of Trustees on July 13, 1983. One amendment is a revision of the Expanded/Improved Program Request for the School of Nursing. It incorporates a request for an additional \$42,000 to support the approved undergraduate Outreach Program in Olney, Illinois, serving Health Statistical Area 05. The second amendment is an additional Special Analytical Study for Economic Development Programs in the Southwestern Illinois Region. This request is for \$90,000 to extend existing University programs in community and economic development to a major portion of SIUE's region.

Rationale for Adoption

The request for supporting funds for the Olney Outreach Program was submitted to the Board of Trustees and the Illinois Board of Higher Education for FY 1984. The IBHE staff recommended this funding request but funds were not appropriated. It is being resubmitted on the basis of continuing need. The SIUE School of Nursing has been delegated responsibility by the IBHE to develop nursing education programs in southern and southeastern Illinois. The request for additional funds to initiate a baccalaureate program at the Olney site will allow the Bachelor of Science in Nursing completion program to begin in the fall of 1984. It is expected that 100 registered nurses will begin their studies at that time. Without additional funding it is unlikely that the program can be undertaken. This revision does not change any other portion of the request previously approved by the Board.

The additional Special Analytical Study requests funding for economic development programs in the Southwestern Illinois Region. It complements a request approved last year for similar development programs in the East St. Louis region. The previous request received approval in FY-84 for \$125,000 in additional state funding. Extending development activity to a broader geographic area will enhance existing programs and promote the development of new ones. Through cooperation among the University and governmental and community agencies, economic needs can be more accurately identified and assistance directed to meet those needs. This proposal will combine the expertise and resources of educational institutions, governmental agencies, and the private sector into a unified endeavor designed to enhance the quality of education and resources available to stimulate economic development.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

The School of Nursing resubmission was developed in the School with assistance provided by the Office of the Vice-President and Provost, SIUE. It

had previously been reviewed and approved by appropriate bodies of the Faculty Senate. The economic development proposal was developed and reviewed in the Office of the Vice-President and Provost. Both proposals are recommended for adoption by the Vice-President and Provost and the President, SIUE.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That, subject to authority reserved to this Board to make modifications, changes, or refinements herein as it deems appropriate, the Board approves the revision of the Expanded/Improved Program Request for the School of Nursing and the addition of a Special Analytical Study Request for Economic Development Programs for the Southwestern Illinois Region, as presented to the Board this date; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board approves the amendments to the RAMP Planning Documents, FY 1985, SIUE, which result from this revision and addition (pages 119, 121, 173-74, 139-52c, and 203-206); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That appropriate steps shall be taken to accomplish filing of the materials approved herein with the Illinois Board of Higher Education in accordance with prevailing practices of The Southern Illinois University System.

#### SIUE EXPANDED/IMPROVED PROGRAM REQUEST

<u>School of Nursing - Undergraduate Outreach Programs (Carbondale and Olney), Undergraduate Generic Program, Graduate Programs</u>	\$204,915
---	-----------

This request for state funding provides for (1) supplemental funding for expansion of the undergraduate outreach program for registered nurses in southern Illinois, Carbondale area; (2) undergraduate outreach program for registered nurses in southern Illinois, Olney-HSA 05; (3) expansion of the generic baccalaureate program; (4) expansion of the graduate program in nursing, (5) initiation of an outreach graduate program in southern Illinois; and (6) initiation of a graduate sub-specialty in nurse anesthesia.

#### SIUE SPECIAL ANALYTICAL STUDY

<u>Economic Development Programs for the Southwestern Illinois Region</u>	\$ 90,000
---	-----------

This Special Analytical Study proposes to extend University programs in community and economic development to include the seven-county area known locally as the Southwestern Illinois Region, which comprises a major portion of the area served by SIUE. This program is designed to identify and assess the activities of all service-oriented and research programs presently in operation so as to achieve maximum results. These efforts will be carried out in cooperation with appropriate civic, social, and governmental agencies.



## TOTAL NEW STATE RESOURCES REQUESTED FOR PROGRAMS, FISCAL YEAR 1985, SIUE

<u>Program</u>	<u>Requested New State Appropriations</u>
<u>New Program Request</u>	
Master of Science in Nursing, Specialization in Community Health Nursing	\$ <u>-0-</u>
Total New Program Request	\$ -0-
<u>Expanded/Improved Program Requests</u>	
School of Nursing - Undergraduate Outreach Programs (Carbondale and Olney), Undergraduate Generic Program, Graduate Programs	\$204,915
Bachelor of Science, Major in Computer Science	53,200
Engineering - Engineering and Construction	<u>56,100</u>
Total Expanded/Improved Program Requests	\$ 314,215
<u>Special Analytical Studies</u>	
Earth Science and Geography Science Laboratory Equipment	\$ 65,365
School of Business Outreach Activities	215,900
Data Processing and Computing Center	500,000
School of Engineering - Salary Enhancement	45,660
Economic Development Programs for the Southwestern Illinois Region	<u>90,000</u>
Total Special Analytical Studies	<u>\$ 916,925</u>
Total Program Request, SIUE	<u>\$1,231,140</u>

AMENDMENTS TO RESOURCE ALLOCATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (RAMP)  
PLANNING DOCUMENTS, FISCAL YEAR 1985 (EXPANDED/IMPROVED  
PROGRAM REQUESTS AND SPECIAL ANALYTICAL STUDIES), SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes amendments to the SIUC RAMP Planning Documents for Fiscal Year 1985, as approved by the Board of Trustees on July 13, 1983. The first amendment is a revision of the Expanded/Improved Program Request for the College of Engineering and Technology. It proposes adjusting the FY-87 funding from \$323,000 to \$303,000 and deletion of the FY-88 funding. The second amendment is a revision of the Expanded/Improved Program Request for the Department of Computer Science. It proposes adjusting the FY-85 funding from \$160,000 to \$60,000 and adjusting the FY-86 funding from \$90,000 to \$47,500. The third amendment is a revision of the Special Analytical Study on Instructional and Research Equipment. It proposes adjusting the FY-86 funding from \$211,220 to \$122,440 and deletion of the FY-87 funding.

Rationale for Adoption

Subsequent to approval of the FY-85 RAMP Planning Documents, SIUC, new state resources were appropriated as follows: College of Engineering and Technology, \$375,000; Department of Computer Science, \$142,500; and Special Analytical Study on Instructional and Research Equipment, \$300,000. The proposed amendments incorporate these funds within the respective requests, and in the case of the request from the College of Engineering and Technology and the Special Analytical Study on Instructional and Research Equipment reduce the funding period by one fiscal year. The amendments do not change any other portions of the requests approved by the Board at the July 13, 1983, meeting.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

The original requests carried the involvement of the constituent faculties and deans, the Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, and the President, SIUC. The proposed amendments do not change the substance of the requests and have been recommended by the respective deans, the Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, and the President, SIUC.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That, subject to authority reserved to this Board to make modifications, changes, or refinements herein as it deems appropriate, the Board approves the revisions of the Expanded/Improved Program Requests for the College of Engineering and Technology and the Department of Computer Science, and the Special Analytical Study of Instructional and Research Equipment, as presented to the Board this date; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board approves the amendments to the RAMP Planning Documents, Fiscal Year 1985, SIUC, which result from the revisions (pages 351-52, 359-60, 361-65, 370-73, and 410-12); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That appropriate steps shall be taken to accomplish filing of the materials approved herein with the Illinois Board of Higher Education in accordance with prevailing practices of The Southern Illinois University System.

TOTAL NEW STATE RESOURCES REQUESTED FOR PROGRAMS, FISCAL YEAR 1985, SIUC

<u>Program</u>	<u>Requested New State Appropriations</u>
<u>New Program Requests</u>	
Doctor of Philosophy, Major in Geology	\$ 82,800
Associate of Applied Science, Major in Aviation Flight	<u>-0-</u>
Total New Program Requests	\$ 82,800
<u>Expanded/Improved Program Requests</u>	
College of Engineering and Technology	\$ 768,000
Computer Science	60,000
School of Law	214,000
School of Technical Careers	<u>189,000</u>
Total Expanded/Improved Program Requests	\$1,231,000
<u>Special Analytical Studies</u>	
Computing Affairs	\$ 300,000
Instructional and Research Equipment	178,570
Support Cost	<u>280,200</u>
Total Special Analytical Studies Requests	\$ 758,770
Total Program Request, SIUC	<u>\$2,072,570</u>

Chancellor Shaw commented that adjustments had been made as a result of the knowledge of the Fiscal Year 1984 budget gained since the documents were last submitted to the Board of Trustees in July. He pointed out that SIUC made some adjustments in the long-range plans for engineering and science and SIUE had put in additional dollars to support the Undergraduate Nursing Program in Olney and requested additional funding for economic development activities.

Mr. Elliott moved approval of the resolutions as presented. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matters were presented:

RESOURCE ALLOCATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (RAMP) SUBMISSIONS,  
FISCAL YEAR 1985: OPERATING BUDGET REQUEST

Summary

This matter presents The Southern Illinois University System Fiscal Year 1985 operating budget requests in summary form. The summaries will provide the basis for preparation of a voluminous set of Resource Allocation and Management Program (RAMP) forms to be submitted to the Illinois Board of Higher Education by October 1, 1983. Separate sets of RAMP forms for the operating budget requests will be submitted for the Office of the Chancellor; Southern Illinois University at Carbondale; School of Medicine, Southern Illinois University; Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville; and School of Dental Medicine, Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville in accordance with instructions issued by the Illinois Board of Higher Education. The respective summaries are included in Table A which is appended to this matter.

The guidelines used in preparation of these operating requests were approved by this Board at its July 13, 1983, meeting. Following is a review of the guidelines approved for use in the FY-85 operating budget request.

Incremental Increase Guidelines

Salary: 6.0% for all employees (on 95% of base)

General Price: 6.0%

Utilities: Carbondale - 11.1%  
SIU School of Medicine - 20.0%  
Edwardsville - 23.0%

Library Materials: 17.0%

Fire Protection: 5.0%

Other Increases

Operation and Maintenance: The School of Medicine is requesting \$153,400 in O & M funds for the Rutledge Manor facility.

Programmatic and Other: SIUC and the School of Medicine - \$3,209,600  
SIUE - \$1,231,100

Details related to these requests were set forth in back-up materials to Item J on the July agenda and Item M on today's agenda.

Financial Guidelines Adjustments

In keeping with the Board of Trustees action of December, 1982, work has proceeded with the IBHE staff to develop a new procedure for determining an appropriate method of assigning overhead charges (tuition) to the financial guideline programs. The identification of this item as a separate request this time is but one step towards this effort. An agenda item on this matter is anticipated for the October, 1983 meeting of the Board.

The numbers shown for both SIUC and SIUE represent the amounts needed to cover incremental salary increases, special salary "catch-up" increases, and general price increases for these programs. These amounts were determined by applying the same guidelines that were used for other University programs. Also included in SIUC's number is projected program expansion of \$238,200. The noted funds will have to be generated by the financial guideline programs.

Special Salary "Catch-Up"

Faculty and Staff - 4.0% (on 95% of base)  
Civil Service - 6.0% (on 95% of base)

The Fiscal Year 1982 IBHE study of faculty salaries makes clear that catch-up funds are needed. This Peer Group Study shows Carbondale to be below the median by 14.1% and Edwardsville by 0.8%. When compensation (salary plus fringe benefits) rather than salary is used, Carbondale and Edwardsville are below the median by 15.5% and 6.6%, respectively. Finally, for all universities in the state, excluding the University of Illinois, the IBHE study shows the universities to be behind the Peer Group index by 8.4% based on compensation and 5.4% based on salaries.

The latest IBHE study on civil service salaries indicates that our range employees are below comparable State Code personnel by 24.0% at SIUC, 34.6% at the SIU School of Medicine, and 19.7% at SIUE.

The evidence indicates that faculty, professional staff, and civil service employees are deserving of catch-up funds of considerable magnitude. In addition, our institutions face special problems in areas of high demand. A 4.0% catch-up for faculty and professional staff and a 6.0% catch-up for civil service employees on 95% of base are recommended. Presidential discretion should determine how much of this increase to use for general catch-up

for faculty and staff and how much to use for faculty in the high demand disciplines.

The request for employer retirement contributions is not included in the attached summary. This request will be included in the final RAMP documents, based upon guidelines provided by the State Universities Retirement System of Illinois.

#### Rationale for Adoption

The RAMP Operating Budget submission is the document required by the IBHE for communicating the University's planning decisions and resource requirements for Fiscal Year 1985. One condition of its acceptance by the IBHE is its approval by the SIU Board of Trustees.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

None is known.

#### Constituency Involvement

There is no unique System constituency involved with this matter. Each University and the Office of the Chancellor developed its respective sections of the document.

#### Resolution

WHEREAS, The Illinois Board of Higher Education requires the annual submission of the Resource Allocation and Management Program Operating Budget Request;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the Resource Allocation and Management Program Operating Budget Request of The Southern Illinois University System for Fiscal Year 1985 as summarized and presented herewith in Table A be and is hereby approved and is to be transmitted to the Illinois Board of Higher Education; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Chancellor be and is hereby authorized to adjust this Budget Request to include funding for employer retirement contributions based upon guidelines provided by the State Universities Retirement System of Illinois.

Table A

Southern Illinois University  
 Summary of Fiscal Year 1985  
 Operating Budget Request - State Appropriations

(In Thousands of Dollars)

	Excluding School of Medicine	SIUC School of Medicine	Total	Excl. School of Medicine	SIUC School of Dental Medicine	Total	Office of the Chancellor	SIU Total
FY-84 Budget Base	95,590.6	21,250.1	116,850.7	43,946.9	3,872.2	47,819.1	1,001.2	165,671.0
Requested Incremental Increases:								
Salary - 6.0% on 95% Base	3,910.3	868.6	4,778.9	1,824.2	201.2	2,025.4	38.4	6,842.7
General Price - 6%	716.6	302.8	1,019.4	389.0	18.8	407.8	19.6	1,446.8
Utilities <sup>a</sup>	882.9	112.9	995.8	761.9	--	761.9	--	1,757.7
Library Materials - 17%	299.1	69.5	368.6	68.6	5.1	73.7	--	442.3
Fire Protection - 5%	11.4	--	11.4	5.2	--	5.2	--	16.6
Total Incremental Increases	5,820.3	1,353.8	7,174.1	3,048.9	225.1	3,274.0	58.0	10,506.1
New O&M Needs	--	153.4	153.4	--	--	--	--	153.4
Programmatic and Other:								
New Programs	82.8	--	82.8	--	--	--	--	82.8
Expanded/Improved Programs	1,231.0	637.0	1,868.0	314.2	--	314.2	--	2,182.2
Other Special Needs	758.8	500.0	1,258.8	916.9	--	916.9	--	2,173.7
Total Programmatic and Other	2,072.6	1,137.0	3,209.6	1,231.1	--	1,231.1	--	4,440.7
Percentage of FY-84 Budget	2.2%	5.3%	2.7%	2.8%	--	2.6%	--	2.7%
Financial Guideline Adjustments	792.2	--	792.2	116.9	--	116.9	--	909.1
Adjustment to Dental Program	--	--	--	--	(200.0)	(200.0)	--	(200.0)
Net Increases and Adjustments	8,685.1	2,644.2	11,329.3	4,396.9	25.1	4,422.0	58.0	15,809.3
Percentage of FY-84 Budget	9.1%	12.4%	9.7%	10.0%	.6%	9.2%	5.8%	9.5%
Requested Special Salary "Catch-Up":								
Faculty/Professional Staff	1,933.6	318.5	2,252.1	774.4	100.8	875.2	19.5	3,146.8
Civil Service	1,009.9	390.9	1,400.8	509.5	44.1	553.6	7.8	1,962.2
Total Special Salary "Catch-Up"	2,943.5	709.4	3,652.9	1,283.9	144.9	1,428.8	27.3	5,109.0
Net Increases, Adjustments, and Special Salary Funds	11,628.6	3,353.6	14,982.2	5,680.8	170.1	5,850.8	85.3	20,916.3
Percentage of FY-84 Budget	12.2%	15.8%	12.8%	12.9%	4.4%	12.2%	8.5%	12.6%
TOTAL FY-85 OPERATING BUDGET REQUEST	107,219.2	24,613.7	131,832.9	49,627.7	4,042.2	53,669.9	1,086.5	186,589.3

<sup>a</sup>Carbondale 11.1%; School of Medicine 20%; Edwardsville 23%

RESOURCE ALLOCATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (RAMP) SUBMISSIONS,  
FISCAL YEAR 1985: CAPITAL BUDGET PRIORITIES

Summary

Preliminary listings of Capital Budget Requests for each University for Fiscal Year 1985 were presented to the Board at its July 13, 1983, meeting. Those lists were in the priority order established by each University; they have been merged by the Chancellor's Office into a proposed System Capital Budget priority list which is presented for the consideration and approval of the Board.

Rationale for Adoption

The low level of state capital budget funding for higher education reflects current fiscal limitations caused by the recession and by changing federal funding policies. Most of the projects proposed by the System institutions are concerned with badly needed remodeling and renovation to preserve existing facilities, with energy conservation, or with food production and research.

In merging the requests of both Universities into a single priority listing, the following project priority considerations were recognized:

- A. Previous priority accorded to projects carried over from the past.
- B. Projects of an emergency nature.
- C. Projects providing for accessibility for the handicapped and for rehabilitation, remodeling, and realignment of existing facilities, with special consideration given when preservation of the facility is a factor.

In summary, the highest priority has been assigned to high need alarm and storage remodeling at SIUC.

Following in second priority is the corrective renovation of construction deficiencies involving Buildings II and III at SIUE.

A third priority is replacement of roofs at both institutions.

And a fourth priority is the acquisition and remodeling of property in Springfield for the SIU School of Medicine.

Additional projects in the priority list deal with increasing handicapped access to buildings, developing instructional or repair facilities, and maintenance and renovation projects.

Energy Conservation and Food Production projects are listed separately at the request of the Illinois Board of Higher Education.



Considerations Against Adoption

None is known.

Constituency Involvement

Each University has worked with appropriate faculty groups within the administrative structure to develop its request for capital budget projects.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That subject to minor technical changes as may be deemed necessary by the Chancellor, the attached list of Capital Projects totaling \$9,520,500 be approved as the System Priority List for The Southern Illinois University System for Fiscal Year 1985.

Southern Illinois University  
System Capital Budget Priority List  
Fiscal Year 1985  
(Excludes Food Production and Energy Conservation)

Priorities			(Thousands)	
<u>By University</u>	<u>By System</u>	<u>Project Description</u>	<u>SIUC</u>	<u>SIUE</u>
1	1	<p><u>Renovation: Fire alarm system and fire-rated vault.</u></p> <p>This project provides for a major rehabilitation of the fire alarm system on campus at a cost of \$250,000. It also provides \$25,000 for a fire-rated vault for the Micrographics Office which provides a central storage of campus business, student, and academic records.</p>	\$ 275,000	
1	2	<p><u>Corrective Renovation, Buildings II and III</u></p> <p>This project will correct construction deficiencies in the plaza and underground hallway between Buildings II and III. A recent engineering study has concluded that settling of the west side plaza presents a real potential for severing the main high temperature and chilled water distribution lines which serve the two buildings. The probable cause is inadequate fill and compaction at the time of construction. The study also concludes that the adjacent underground hallway which houses the entering utility lines also had inadequate fill and compaction along the vertical walls and possible deficiencies in construction, sealing, and drainage. The result is extreme water seepage into the hallway and the adjacent basement level floors of the buildings. This project will (1) remove the plaza to expose the high temperature and chilled water lines which will be shrouded and then fill and compaction to ground surface level, and (2) expose the exterior walls of the underground hallway for sealing and drainage improvement and then fill and compaction to ground surface level. In addition, a new surface level sidewalk will be constructed between the buildings.</p>		\$ 390,800
1	3	<p><u>Roof Replacements</u></p> <p>This project provides for new roofs on all or parts of seven buildings.</p>	\$ 375,000	
2	4	<p><u>Roof Replacement, Buildings II and III</u></p> <p>This project will replace roofs totaling 61,200 sq.ft. on Buildings II and III. Severe winter weather more than a year ago caused extensive damage to these roofs and replacement is necessary to prevent interior deterioration. Water leaking into classrooms causes interruptions of classes and safety problems result from wet hallways, office, and work area floors.</p>		\$ 418,500
2	5	<p><u>Property Acquisition - Springfield</u></p> <p>Funds are to purchase a 1.7 acre site including buildings containing 31,075 NASF abutting the Springfield Medical Campus. The cost represents an in-house estimate of the asking price for the property. Appraisals made several years ago suggest that a lower sale price might be negotiated. Funding will also be requested for planning and remodeling the facilities to provide laboratory, laboratory support, and office areas for the School of Medicine.</p>	\$2,572,400	

September 8, 1983

10:

Priorities			(Thousands)	
By University	By System	Project Description	SIUC	SIUE
		<u>Planning - Remodeling of Property Acquisition</u>	\$ 394,700	
		This request is to plan the remodeling of the property requested in the above item. The facility will be developed into conventional laboratory facilities and office space for business and academic units of the School of Medicine. These facilities will in part replace existing rental space and relieve current congestion in clinical research and educational spaces. Renovation costs are currently estimated at \$4,275,600.		
3	6	<u>East St. Louis Center, Renovation and Remodeling</u>		\$ 238,600
		This project includes three improvements for the East St. Louis Center. The construction of shower facilities on the 7th floor for the Performing Arts Training Center will complete the renovation needed for this floor. Renovation of the 1st floor restrooms is needed to improve the present inadequate facilities available on the main floor circulation area of the building. The badly deteriorated wood main entrance exterior will be remodeled with the installation of aggregate panels. This is consistent with prior renovation that replaced windows on all floors for energy conservation.		
3	7	<u>Handicapped Access to Life Science I - Carbondale Remodeling</u>	\$ 71,000	
		This request would provide funding for modifications to entrance ways, washrooms, the elevator, and some classroom facilities to make Life Science I (Lindegren Hall) more accessible to the handicapped.		
4	8	<u>Handicapped Access to Wheeler Hall - Carbondale Remodeling</u>	\$ 220,400	
		Wheeler Hall is presently totally inaccessible to the handicapped and as such has limited use. This request would provide ramps, elevator, and washroom facilities accessible by the handicapped.		
5	9	<u>Pulliam Hall Remodeling/Planning</u>	\$ 57,000	
		These funds would provide for the initial phase of planning and design. The project budget is presently estimated at \$3,900,000. A general remodeling of the building is necessary in five stages to improve its utilization from its original design as a teacher training facility, or University School, to a facility housing approximately one-half of the offices, classrooms, and laboratories of the College of Education.		
4	10	<u>East St. Louis Satellite Dental Clinic, Remodeling and Rehabilitation</u>		\$ 592,100
		This request will permit enlarging the existing satellite clinic from 9 to 24 dental operatories. \$129,700 is included for dental chairs, cabinets, and miscellaneous equipment; and \$462,400 will be expended for remodeling approximately 4,000 NSF to accommodate additional operatories. The work will include new partitions, removal of existing plumbing and installation of new pipes and fixtures, and modifications to the HVAC system.		

Priorities			(Thousands)	
<u>By</u> <u>University</u>	<u>By</u> <u>System</u>	<u>Project Description</u>	<u>SIUC</u>	<u>SIUE</u>
6	11	<u>Wheeler Hall Renovation - Carbondale - Planning</u>  Wheeler Hall is one of the oldest buildings on the Carbondale campus. Built shortly after the turn of the century, the facility lacks modern plumbing, electrical, and environmental systems. Remodeling this building will reestablish this landmark as a functional campus facility.	\$ 112,600	
7	12	<u>Communications Building Completion - Planning</u>  These funds provide the planning and design for a remodeling project to complete the interior of the 2nd floor of the Communications Building. The total project will cost approximately \$350,000.	\$ 30,000	
8	13	<u>Farm Buildings Rehabilitation - Remodeling</u>  These funds will be used to provide needed repairs and rehabilitation to the existing buildings at the Carbondale campus.	\$ 75,000	
5	14	<u>Alton Campus, Minor Remodeling</u>  Included in this project is the replacement of two air-conditioning units and the remodeling of duct-work in Building 284 (offices for Department of Restorative Dentistry); installation of aluminum siding, gutters, and downspouts on Buildings 285 and 288, replacement of two air-conditioning units in Building 288 (offices and laboratories for Department of Diagnostic Specialties); and installation of aluminum siding, gutters, and downspouts on Building 294 (offices of Department of Pediatric Dentistry and Orthodontics).  This is the first phase of a three-year renovation plan totaling approximately \$1,300,000.		\$ 139,000
University Totals			\$4,183,100	\$1,779,000
System Total			\$5,962,100	

Southern Illinois University  
System Capital Budget Priority List  
Fiscal Year 1985  
Energy Conservation Projects

<u>Priority</u>	<u>Project Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1.	<p><u>Energy Conservation Projects</u></p> <p>This project includes energy conservation improvements at Alton, East St. Louis, and Edwardsville that were recommended in a recent energy audit of all SIUE facilities. These improvements have a calculated payback life cycle of 3.6 years or less. Improvements include converting existing terminal reheat systems to variable volume systems in four core buildings, replacing incandescent lighting with high efficiency lighting in one core building, installation of additional soffit insulation at the wall line above windows and sealing to minimize air infiltration in two core buildings. This project also includes the installation of microprocessors to operate HVAC equipment at East St. Louis and Alton, and the installation of night setback time clocks, multi-zone temperature controls, gas fired outdoor air controls, and energy efficient exterior lighting at Alton.</p>	\$1,129,400
2.	<p><u>SR<sup>3</sup> - Energy Conservation - Springfield</u></p> <p>This total request includes three energy conservation renovations to the Medical Instructional Facility at Springfield. The projects include expanding the recirculation of exhaust air, recovery of waste heat from boiler flu gases, and installation of an evaporative cooling system for supplemental and emergency cooling of vivarium facilities. All of these projects have a payback of less than five years.</p> <p>The School of Medicine is presently undergoing an energy audit under funding from a federal grant. If energy conservation projects with greater payback are suggested by the audit, that can be completed within the dollar amount requested, substitutions may be made in the final RAMP submittal.</p>	414,000
Total		\$1,543,400

Southern Illinois University  
System Capital Budget Priority List  
Fiscal Year 1985  
Food Production Projects

<u>Priority</u>	<u>Project Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1.	<u>Livestock Teaching and Research Facilities, Phase II</u>  This project is composed of four parts. A new beef finishing facility, a new swine breeding facility, a new laying unit at the poultry center, and a horse shelter. The present facilities have deteriorated significantly due to age and must be replaced.	\$ 743,000
2.	<u>Animal Waste Disposal/Site</u>  Because of current violations and possible damages, corrections must be made at the farms in order to properly drain and contain animal wastes. Waste detention ponds are to be constructed to prevent drainage from flowing into nearby creeks at the swine and beef centers.	413,000
3.	<u>Ag Research Support Units/Buildings</u>  The request provides new facilities for five farm programs. A pesticide storage area at the Agronomy Center, machine storage facilities at the Belleville Research Center and at the Agronomy Center, and additional greenhouses at the Horticulture Center are needed to accommodate expanding research work.	659,000
4.	<u>Ag Building Addition/Planning</u>  This addition will house the Agriculture Mechanization Program and the Meats Facility.	200,000
	Total	\$2,015,000

Mr. Elliott moved approval of the resolutions as presented. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matter was presented:

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL AND AUTHORITY TO SEEK  
ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES: PROPOSED PROJECT FOR  
INCREASED ON-CAMPUS SINGLE STUDENT HOUSING, SIUE

Summary

This matter grants authority to the President, SIUE, to continue development of plans for additional on-campus single student housing by renovating and converting existing apartments at the Tower Lake housing complex, constructing a dining hall facility, and accomplishing appropriate site improvements. It also grants authority to recommend to the Board retention of an architectural and engineering firm to evaluate a renovation and conversion proposal developed by University personnel and to review and refine cost estimates developed for that proposal.

As indicated in a memo to the Board from Chancellor Shaw dated August 11, 1983, the proposed project would (1) renovate 158 existing apartments by replacing the kitchen and dining area with a second bathroom and a third bedroom, thereby increasing apartment occupancy from four to six students, for a total occupancy increase of 316 students; (2) convert 88 apartments from family units to single student units for an occupancy increase of 192 students; (3) add one additional student occupant to 12 existing three-bedroom apartment units for an increase of 12 students; (4) purchase furniture for the 520 additional single student spaces created; (5) construct adjacent to the Tower Lake Commons Building a dining hall with a capacity for managing 948 meal contracts to be held by the student occupants of the renovated apartments; and (6) construct appropriate site improvements, such as parking, sidewalks, and lighting.

Financing for the proposed project would be through a revenue bond issue of approximately \$5,600,000. University personnel estimate that such a bond issue at 9% per annum with a 25-year maturity would require a basic single student monthly room rent of \$125 and a monthly meal contract rate of \$144. Assuming project completion by the beginning of academic year 1985-86, these rates will be competitive with projected rates at other public Illinois universities.

It is further proposed that if sufficient construction funds are available, modifications to enhance energy conservation and maintenance efficiency would be included in the project.

Rationale for Adoption

An earlier feasibility study and continuing demand for existing units demonstrate the need for additional on-campus student housing.

Additional on-campus housing will assist the University in maintaining access to the University for people in the southwestern Illinois region and for people beyond the region who desire to attend SIUE. On-campus housing will become increasingly important to maintaining regional access to the University as transportation costs continue to rise.

This approach to providing additional housing is recommended based on several factors. Feasibility assessments concerning construction of a new student housing development have not produced a project with an associated rent level requirement that University officers believe is reasonable for most SIUE students. Student housing preferences at the time existing campus housing was planned focused on apartment-style housing. Students in recent years have begun to express more diverse housing preferences, including a desire for traditional residence hall or suite arrangements with convenient dining facilities. University housing officers have noted that many parents of prospective students express concern that dining facilities are not immediately available to provide well-balanced, nutritional meals.

Timely completion of this phase of project planning will allow the University to proceed with the project on relatively short notice if cost projections prove feasible and future interest rates allow.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

This project was reviewed, and the general concepts approved, by the Physical Facilities Committee of the University Planning and Budget Council. The Tower Lake Resident's Association reviewed and approved the general concepts of the proposal, but expressed a desire to be involved in the final planning. This project has involved and is recommended by the Director of the University Center and Resident Life, the Directors of Development and Public Affairs, of Planning and Resource Management, and of Supporting Services, and the President, SIUE.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and is hereby authorized to continue planning for the expansion of on-campus single student housing through renovation and conversion of existing Tower Lake housing facilities, for the construction of a dining facility at the housing site, and for appropriate site improvements.
- (2) The President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and is hereby authorized to recommend to the Board of Trustees an architectural and engineering firm to review the proposed project, assess and refine cost estimates, and provide a recommendation as to project feasibility.



Mr. David Berry made the following statement:

Service operations, such as housing and the University Center, depend heavily on the support they receive from the rest of the University's ability to attract students, either by act of recruiting or by reputation for academic excellence and other outstanding achievements. Yet housing and the University Center are also part of the mix, if you will, of opportunities that students evaluate when selecting an institution of higher education. Well, if you're short a part of a commodity that you need to attract students, then you limit your ability to maintain or expand enrollments. And if you can't maintain or expand enrollments then you limit your ability to grow and adapt. By approving this project today, we will say to IBHE and this state that we must find a way to increase our base of students through additional housing, and we are investigating every option to achieve this goal. I believe we should continue our planning to expand housing and at the same time pursue other ways to attract students.

Mr. Berry moved approval of the resolution as presented. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matter was presented:

DESIGNATION OF THE "SAM M. VADALABENE CENTER FOR HEALTH,  
RECREATION, AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION," SIUE

Summary

This matter designates the Multi-Purpose Building at Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville as the "Sam M. Vadalabene Center for Health, Recreation, and Physical Education."

Rationale for Adoption

The growth and development of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville has been intertwined with the public service career of Senator Sam M. Vadalabene. Senator Vadalabene's interest in the University, its endeavors, and initiatives is well known. Over the years he has supported legislation beneficial to the development of SIUE--legislation providing the physical facilities and operating funds necessary for the institution to serve the southwestern region of Illinois. Even in difficult times, as in recent years of limited state resources, Senator Vadalabene has never wavered in his belief in the value of SIUE to the region nor in his commitment to assist the University in the accomplishment of its mission. In large part, the existence of the new structure at SIUE is a tribute to his many years of vigorous pursuit of funding for construction of the facility.

SIUE has not been the Senator's only focus of activity. The people of his district, the region, and the state have benefitted from his work on many issues, including the Tri-Cities Port District, road and park projects,

state library systems, veterans rights, bikeway development, economic development, and a host of others far too numerous to list. There is a certain similarity between the Senator's wide range of public service activities and the variety of functions served by the Multi-Purpose Building. Both address needs of the community at large as well as those of the University.

Based on his long and distinguished career of service to the University and to the people of his district, region, and state, and particularly in recognition of his long-term support of construction of the Multi-Purpose Building, University officers propose that the facility be designated the "Sam M. Vadalabene Center for Health, Recreation, and Physical Education."

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

This proposal was developed by the University Building and Facility Naming Committee, SIUE. It is recommended for adoption by the President, SIUE.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the Multi-Purpose Building, SIUE, be and is hereby designated the "Sam M. Vadalabene Center for Health, Recreation, and Physical Education," in recognition of the many significant contributions of Senator Sam M. Vadalabene to Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville and to the southwestern Illinois region.

Ms. Deb Buer, President of the Student Body, SIUE, made the following statement:

I was at the committee meeting in which the proposal to name the gym after Senator Vadalabene was forwarded to the President. The substance of the arguments in favor of the proposed matter are summarized for you. I need not repeat them. But it is appropriate now to speak to the matter as a representative of the students of SIUE. I will not say that we are always in agreement with the positions he takes on all the issues; however, it is largely through the efforts of Senator Vadalabene that there is an SIUE, the students of which I represent. And, as an interested spectator of the activities of the Illinois General Assembly, I am aware that SIUE and thus its students have a friend in Senator Vadalabene. It is fitting and appropriate that we recognize his work for our benefit. The Multi-Purpose Building is a culmination of his efforts. I offer to the Board my wholehearted support on behalf of the students of SIUE to dub our new gym the Sam M. Vadalabene Center for Health, Recreation, and Physical Education.

September 8, 1983

109

Mr. Van Meter moved the resolution as presented. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE, President Lazerson stated that Katherine Dunham, University Professor and now retired, will be honored the first week in December at the Kennedy Center. He reported that the Elderhostel Program at SIUE was one of two selected for portrayal on the CBS Morning News and that he had received a letter from CBS commending the University for its cooperation. He stated that the Harbert family of Centralia had, in their joint will, left a bequest to the University of 42 percent of their estate which is estimated to be in excess of \$300,000. He stated that the funds were to be used for Centralia Township High School graduates for their support. He reported that in approving the RAMP documents today the Board had approved a request for additional funding for outreach activities in the nursing program. He continued that several years ago the IBHE had in effect given SIUE responsibility for the southern half of the state with regard to the provision of education for registered nurses. President Lazerson commended the School of Nursing for the work they had done over the past several years and announced the first fruits of its labor. He reported that eighteen RNs had received baccalaureate degrees over the course of this summer.

The Chair announced that the October Board of Trustees meeting was scheduled for Tuesday, October 11, 1983, at SIUC.


The Chair announced that the Board of Trustees had made it a policy that the performance of the Chancellor and the Presidents be individually evaluated on a regular basis and that these evaluations provide considerable

information, and that the Board also has a procedure for a five-year review. He stated that the Board would proceed with the annual evaluations and that these evaluations provide a means by which the incumbents may review their own performance where new, short-, and long-term goals for the ensuing months can be established, and also provide an opportunity for the Board to receive comments from them and make suggestions to the three individuals. He concluded by announcing that pursuant to Article II of the Statutes, Section 5 the following evaluations have been scheduled: November, President Somit; December, President Lazerson; and February, Chancellor Shaw.

The Chair announced that a news conference had been scheduled immediately following the regular meeting in the International Room, and that lunch would be served in the Oak-Hackberry Rooms.

Mr. Van Meter moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 11:25 a.m.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary

November 9, 1983

111

Minutes of Special Meeting held November 9, 1983 . . . . . 112

November 10, 1983

CONTENTS

Roll Call . . . . .	114
Recognition of Mr. William R. Norwood . . . . .	114
Recognition of Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr. . . . .	115
Trustee Reports . . . . .	115
Committee Reports . . . . .	118
Executive Committee . . . . .	118
Finance Committee . . . . .	118
Architecture and Design Committee . . . . .	119
Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, August and September, 1983, SIUC and SIUE . . . . .	119
Authorization for Grant of Easement by Southern Illinois University Foundation, Evergreen Terrace, SIUC . . . . .	120
Schedule of Meetings of the Board of Trustees for 1984 . . . . .	121
Tuition Policy for Cost Recovery Programs [Amendment to 4 <u>Policies of the Board</u> A-3, B-4, and B-6] . . . . .	123
Approval of Minutes of the Meeting held September 8, 1983 . . . . .	126
Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC . . . . .	126
Organization of the Office of the Chancellor, Reorganization of the System Internal Audit Function [Amendment to III <u>Bylaws</u> 3-F] . . . . .	127
Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of the SIU System . . . . .	132
Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE . . . . .	134

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES  
SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY  
NOVEMBER 9, 1983

A special meeting of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University convened at 8:10 p.m., Wednesday, November 9, 1983, in the Parlor of the Holiday Inn-Alton Parkway, Alton, Illinois. The regular Chairman and Secretary being present, the meeting was called to order. The following members of the Board were present:

Mr. Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
Mrs. Crete B. Harvey  
Ms. Sharon Hutcherson  
Mrs. Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
Mr. Harris Rowe, Chairman  
Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman  
Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.

The following members were absent:

Mr. David Berry  
Mr. William R. Norwood

Executive Officers present were:

Dr. Kenneth A. Shaw, Chancellor of the SIU System  
Dr. Albert Somit, President, SIUC

Also present was Mr. C. Richard Gruny, Board Legal Counsel.

The Secretary reported a quorum present.


Mr. Elliott moved that the Board go into closed session to consider the appointment, employment or dismissal of employees or officers, to adjourn directly from the closed session with no action having been taken, and with no further open meeting. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion was carried by the following recorded vote: Aye,

November 9, 1983

113

Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, Harris Rowe, A. D.  
Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

The meeting adjourned at 10:20 p.m.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carol K. Kimmel, Secretary

The regular monthly meeting of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University convened on Thursday, November 10, 1983, at 10:10 a.m., in the Ballroom of the University Center, Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville. The regular Chairman and Secretary being present, the meeting was called to order. The following members of the Board were present:

Mr. David Berry  
Mr. Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
Mrs. Crete B. Harvey  
Ms. Sharon Hutcherson  
Mrs. Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
Mr. Harris Rowe, Chairman  
Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman  
Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.

The following member was absent:

Mr. William R. Norwood

Executive Officers present were:

Mr. Earl E. Lazerson, President, SIUE  
Dr. Kenneth A. Shaw, Chancellor of the SIU System  
Dr. Albert Somit, President, SIUC

Also present was Mrs. Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary of the Board.

The Secretary reported a quorum present.

NOTE: Copies of all background documents furnished to the Board in connection with the following matters have been placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

Mr. Rowe stated that this was the first meeting that Mr. Norwood has missed since he has been a member of the Board of Trustees. He reported that Mr. Norwood had been promoted to the rank of Captain with United Airlines, and that he was scheduled to fly for United this morning. He further reported that Mr. Norwood is one of nine finalists for the National Collegiate Athletic Association's Silver Anniversary Awards, and that five of the finalists will be chosen for the 1984 awards to be presented during the NCAA Annual Convention in Dallas, Texas, in January.



Mr. Rowe reported that Mr. Van Meter had been appointed by Governor Thompson to serve on the Chicago World's Fair Authority, the rule-making body for the 1992 World's Fair. He continued that Mr. Van Meter was the only downstater on the panel.

Mr. Rowe reported that at approximately 8:00 p.m., Wednesday, November 9, 1983, the Board of Trustees had held a special meeting at which the only business transacted was to adjourn into executive session to consider information regarding the appointment, employment or dismissal of employees or officers. He stated that the occasion was the annual evaluation of President Somit pursuant to 4 Statutes C, and that no agenda matters for today's meeting were discussed.

Mr. Rowe stated that he had received a letter from the United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney, Southern District of Illinois, in which Mr. Hess lavished praise on SIU and the staff at Carbondale for their activity which led to the recovery of monies for misconduct by former computing officers. Mr. Rowe asked that President Somit pass on the Board's gratitude to the personnel involved in this matter.

Under Trustee Reports, Mr. Elliott reported that he had attended a meeting of the Executive Committee of the Southern Illinois University Foundation on September 22, 1983. He reported that he had attended a meeting of the Administrative Advisory Committee of the State Universities Civil Service System on September 23, and a meeting of the Merit Board of the State Universities Civil Service System on September 28, 1983. He stated that the proposal to amend the Merit Board resolution relating to employee benefits passed at our last Board meeting was made to the Merit Board and referred to the Committee for discussion. He continued that the Merit Board luncheon and reception for Ruth Eidson on October 5, 1983, honored Ruth on her retirement from the

Civil Service Advisory Committee. Mr. Elliott reported that he had attended the SIU Foundation Board of Directors' meeting on October 29, 1983. He stated that the change in attitude and direction of the Foundation was very noticeable, and that it is gearing up to become a major fund-raising institution on behalf of the University, rather than just an institution to administer funds. He reported that the Foundation was reorganizing its structure, and have hired Barton and Gillette to determine when a major fund-raising activity can take place. He stated that the Investment Committee had restructured its affairs, the Washington Square property was paid for and transferred to the University, and the Treasurer's reports and audit reports were discussed. He reported that a gift of \$100,000 from the Foundation was provided to the University for scholarships to try to attract national merit scholars and other students of merit. He stated that the Foundation had given \$25,000 to start upgrading and repairing the W. Clement and Jessie V. Stone University House. Mr. Elliott stated that a Deferred Gifts Committee had been created and that one of the professors at the School of Law had agreed to work with the Committee and provide advice to attorneys, alumni, and interested people who wanted to make deferred gifts. He continued that the Foundation would provide the technical assistance free of charge as long as the University was a potential beneficiary. He stated that the Foundation was having telethons and that that program had been very well received. He stated that the Foundation Board had changed its complection in a number of ways in regard to people: it has expanded its membership, its area coverage, and has people from the Springfield area suggested by the School of Medicine. Mr. Elliott stated that he had attended the SIUC football game on October 29. He stated that he had clipped an article out of one of the newspapers that said as of two weeks ago, they had the most points scored in the season, the most touchdowns of the season, the most interceptions

of the season, the most interceptions in a game, the most wins in a season of four-year schools, the most punts for a touchdown in the season, the most consecutive extra points, and the most wins in the season for four-year institutions. Mr. Elliott stated that he had attended a meeting with Barton and Gillette on November 2. He reported that Barton and Gillette were hired by the Foundation to make a survey of the attitudes of various constituency groups toward the Foundation. He reported that the survey included guidance counselors, opinion leaders within a 200-mile radius, prospective students who did enroll, prospective students who did not enroll, and parents of prospective students and alumni. Mr. Elliott stated that 94 percent of the alums at SIUC said that the education they had received at SIUC met the expectations that they had.

Mrs. Kimmel reported that she had attended the Illinois Board of Higher Education meeting on October 4. She stated that that meeting was fundamentally an information committee meeting with a lot of reports on salary status and energy.

Mr. Rowe reported that he had attended the Illinois Board of Higher Education meeting on November 1. He stated that it was a very brief meeting. He stated that the IBHE had originally wanted the universities to report back on the recommendations on public college and university admission requirements by July of 1984, but that that has now been extended to an interim report by July of 1984 with a final report in July of 1985. He commented that there were some informational items on enrollments in Illinois, on recent higher education enrollment trends in Illinois, and that Illinois higher education was continuing to serve an even greater percentage of our population with each passing year. He stated that the IBHE had had a report on the Fiscal Year 1983 student financial aid survey, a summary of faculty salaries in Illinois

and the trends showing we have more catch-up to go through, and a reference to the fact that the budget requests were in.

With regard to the admission requirements policy, Dr. Shaw stated that he had suggested that the IBHE staff also consider the private colleges and universities as well as the public sector. He added that the IBHE had no authority to approve the private colleges and universities' admission requirements, but that they ought to be receiving and analyzing them and that they should be subject to the same public scrutiny as the publics.

Ms. Ann Greeley, President of the Graduate and Professional Student Council, SIUC, asked whether there was no tuition increase included in our budget request for the coming year. Dr. Shaw responded that at this point when we do our budget we do not project Income Fund for our tuition, but that that did not have any implication of what might or might not happen.

Dr. Wilkins reported that he had attended a meeting of the Joint Trustees Committee for Springfield Medical Education Programs on October 6, 1983. He stated that it was a rapid, packed, two-hour meeting of financing, the problems, and that it was an excellent meeting.

Under Committee Reports, Mrs. Kimmel had no report for the Executive Committee.

Mr. Elliott, Chairman of the Finance Committee, said that the Committee had met in the International Room of the University Center at 8:15 a.m. that morning. He gave the following report:

The Finance Committee met last month with the Auditor General, at his request, for a preliminary report on the results of the audit. Since the statute requires the Auditor General to have that in private, it was their meeting, they invited us, and they insisted that it be a private meeting. We will have the report in hand probably by the February meeting, and when we have the final report it will be placed on the agenda of the Finance Committee and will be discussed. The Finance Committee received an update on computing activities, discussing the possibility that an upgrade of the hardware may be started earlier than was anticipated, and that that will be placed on the

agenda for next month. The Finance Committee has asked for additional information concerning cash flow, possibility of contracts, the need for the equipment, whether the equipment will meet our needs for the next few years, and a prognostication as to what IBM is going to do. Item K, Organization of the Office of the Chancellor, Reorganization of the System Internal Audit Function [Amendment to III Bylaws 3-F], was examined and the Committee recommends it be adopted by the Board. The Committee does not recommend that it be placed on the omnibus motion. Item L, Tuition Policy for Cost Recovery Programs [Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board A-3, B-4, and B-6], in its amended form, is recommended for approval and we request that it be placed on the omnibus motion. The remaining items on the agenda were deferred until next month.

Mr. Van Meter, Chairman of the Architecture and Design Committee, said that the Committee had met on Thursday, November 10, 1983, at 9:15 a.m., in the International Room of the University Center. He gave the following report:

Most of the items contained on the Architecture and Design Committee agenda were mainly information items with the exception of Item H, Authorization for Grant of Easement by Southern Illinois University Foundation, Evergreen Terrace, SIUC, which the Committee recommends be placed on the omnibus motion. The Committee received a status report on the Library Storage Facility. Dr. Brown stated that the Capital Development Board is requesting some additional information in the form of an additional appraisal. The Committee asked that Dr. Brown give the Capital Development Board the consensus of the opinion of the Committee that they give this matter urgency so that some final resolution can be made.

The Chair explained the procedure for the Board's omnibus motion, and he proposed that, after discussion, there would be taken up the following matters:

REPORTS OF PURCHASE ORDERS AND CONTRACTS, AUGUST AND SEPTEMBER, 1983, SIUC AND SIUE

In accordance with III Bylaws 1, and procedures effective April 1, 1980, summary reports of purchase orders and contracts awarded during the months of August and September, 1983, were mailed to the members of the Board in advance of this meeting, copies were placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees, and these reports are hereby submitted for information and entry upon the minutes of the Board with respect to the actions of the Executive Committee.

AUTHORIZATION FOR GRANT OF EASEMENT BY SOUTHERN ILLINOIS  
UNIVERSITY FOUNDATION, EVERGREEN TERRACE, SIUC

Summary

This matter would provide for the Board of Trustees to give consent to the Southern Illinois University Foundation for the grant of an easement across a portion of the Evergreen Terrace property for the purpose of use of an existing sewer line by the Carbondale Park District. Permission would also be granted to the Park District to connect its sewer line with the existing University sewer system.

Rationale for Adoption

The Carbondale Park District has requested a 20-foot wide easement running for an approximate distance of 105 feet across the Evergreen Terrace property owned by the SIU Foundation. Such easement is requested for the purpose of the Park District's use, repair, and replacement of an existing sewer line running from the Evergreen Park property to the University's sewer system at a location on the Evergreen Terrace tract. Because of the future obligation of the Foundation to reconvey the Evergreen Terrace tract to the Board of Trustees, consent of the Board is sought for such a grant of an easement.

The Park District sewer line is to be used solely for the purpose of accommodating not to exceed five Park District facilities at Evergreen Park. In the event the Park District should desire to add additional facilities to the original line in the future, written permission would be required from the SIU Foundation and from the University. The Park District would also be prohibited from allowing any other use of the sewer line, and would be required to restore the premises and be responsible for any damages occurring as a result of the use, repair, or replacement of the sewer line.

University officials have determined that the projected use by the Park District would not adversely affect the University's sewer system. The proposed agreement with the Park District would provide, however, for termination of the agreement in the event utilization of the University's system should, in the judgment of the University, reach its reasonable maximum capacity.

Copies of the drawing showing the easement location and of the proposed agreements to be entered into by the Foundation and the University are attached.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

This matter has had the involvement of the SIU Foundation President and Board of Directors, the Vice-President for Campus Services, and the President, SIUC. Involvement of the various constituency groups was not deemed necessary due to the nature of the matter.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) Permission is granted to the Southern Illinois University Foundation to grant an easement to the Carbondale Park District across a portion of the Evergreen Terrace tract in the location and for the purposes set forth in this matter and in the documents presented to the Board this date; and
- (2) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale is authorized to execute in the name of the Board of Trustees an agreement with the Carbondale Park District allowing utilization of the existing University sewer system for the purposes and under the conditions stated above and in the draft agreement presented to the Board.

SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES FOR 1984

As a traditional practice and for convenience in meeting certain provisions of the Open Meetings Act, Board meetings have been scheduled on an annual basis. Custom has called for scheduling alternate meetings on alternate campuses of the University, and recent practice has identified the second Thursday of each month as the regular meeting date. Approval is requested for the schedule listed below:

February 9	SIU at Carbondale
March 8	SIU at Edwardsville
April 12	SIU School of Medicine, Springfield
May 10	SIU at Edwardsville
June 14	SIU at Carbondale
July 12	SIU at Edwardsville
September 13	SIU at Carbondale
October 11	East St. Louis Center
November 8	SIU at Carbondale
December 13	SIU at Edwardsville

Southern Illinois University - Board of Trustees  
CARBONDALE, ILLINOIS 62901



November 10, 1983

The following schedule reflects the second Thursday of each month for the meetings of the Board of Trustees for 1984:

<u>Deadline Dates for 1984 Receipt of Agenda Items</u> (Due by 5:00 p.m.)	<u>1984 Mailing Dates Agenda &amp; Matters</u>	<u>1984 Meeting Dates Board of Trustees</u> (Thursday)
Monday, January 16	Friday, January 27	SIUC - February 9
Monday, February 13	Friday, February 24	SIUE - March 8
Monday, March 19	Friday, March 30	SIU School of Medicine Springfield - April 12
Monday, April 16	Friday, April 27	SIUE - May 10
Monday, May 21	Friday, June 1	SIUC - June 14
Monday, June 18	Friday, June 29	SIUE - July 12
Monday, August 20	Friday, August 31	SIUC - September 13
Monday, September 17	Friday, September 28	East St. Louis Center - October 11
Monday, October 15	Friday, October 26	SIUC - November 8
Monday, November 12	Friday, November 30	SIUE - December 13

Meetings have not been scheduled for the months of January and August.



TUITION POLICY FOR COST RECOVERY PROGRAMS  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD A-3, B-4, AND B-6]

Summary

This matter presents a recommendation for a revised tuition policy for cost recovery programs. This revised policy would discontinue the use of in-state resident tuition rates as the determining factor for overhead charges; authorize the Chancellor and other appropriate University personnel to work with the IBHE staff to establish a fair overhead rate (this rate would be a percentage of direct program charges determined by the manner in which SIUC and SIUE budget and expend the total revenues available for these programs); require the Chancellor to report to the Board's Finance Committee annually the overhead rate to be used; and authorize the Chancellor to establish overhead rates for cost recovery programs in accordance with this resolution.

Rationale for Adoption

In October of 1974 the Board approved a policy allowing the President of SIUC to establish a charge for off-campus cost recovery programs which would be sufficient to meet the direct cost of these institutional programs. This policy also required that an amount equal to the appropriate in-state resident tuition be charged to each student and deposited in the University Income Fund to meet the overhead cost of the programs. This policy insured that there was no General Revenue subsidy for these cost recovery programs.

In effect the policy defined the following formula:

(Total charges to the student) minus (in-state tuition rate, or overhead) equals (program delivery charges, or dollars available to operate the program).

Over the years, the State of Illinois has benefited from the operation of these programs through the fact that tuition charged for the programs generated Income Fund dollars available as a substitute for General Revenue dollars.

This practice worked well in the mid 70's, so long as the total charge to students was increasing at a rate equal to or faster than the increase in tuition rates. But in the late 70's and the early 80's, the picture changed. Between 1979 and 1983, tuition at SIUC increased at a faster rate (46.6%) than total charges to cost recovery students increased (23.9%). Also, the proportion of the total charge which went to overhead increased from 25.0% to 29.6%. Whenever tuition increases faster than total charges, more dollars are taken from the cost recovery programs and placed in Income Fund for general University purposes.

Thus, SIUC was following an increasingly costly and unaffordable fiscal practice so long as increases in tuition continued to rise faster than the total program charge.

In December of 1982 the SIU Board of Trustees recognized a growing fiscal problem in connection with cost recovery programs. This problem occurs

when the percentage of the total charge for cost recovery programs available for the direct program cost becomes less while the percentage for overhead cost increases. Realizing that their current tuition policy for cost recovery programs needed revision, the Board instructed representatives from SIUC, SIUE, and the Office of the Chancellor to study various approaches to overhead charges for the cost recovery programs. The Board also instructed the Chancellor to develop and present to the Board a recommendation on this matter.

Representatives of SIUC, SIUE, and the Office of the Chancellor have worked with the IBHE staff on this study. These efforts have resulted in the staffs agreeing that cost recovery programs should continue to be self-sufficient and that overhead charges should only be applicable to the extent they are not paid directly from program delivery charges. This latter point is important because even though SIUC and SIUE both pay their total cost (direct and overhead) from funds generated by the programs, the classification of cost as direct or overhead is different in the two institutions because of the different manner in which their programs are administered. Thus, a different overhead rate is appropriate.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

None are known to exist.

#### Constituency Involvement

This proposed procedure has been reviewed by the Chancellor, the Presidents, and various financial representatives of their staffs. No direct interest of University constituency groups is involved.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The use of in-state resident tuition rates as the basis of determining overhead rates (tuition) for cost recovery programs shall be discontinued;
- (2) The Chancellor and other appropriate University personnel are authorized to work with the IBHE staff to establish an appropriate overhead rate for cost recovery programs based upon a cost analysis of these programs;
- (3) The Chancellor will report to the Board's Finance Committee annually the overhead rates to be used.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That 4 Policies of the Board A-3 be and is hereby amended to read as follows:

3. Delegation of authority to establish student fees and charges.
  - a. The Board of Trustees retains authority over the following Mandatory Fees:
    - 1) Tuition Fees
    - 2) General Student Fees
  - b. The Board of Trustees delegates to the Chancellor the authority to establish overhead rates for cost recovery programs, the receipts from which shall be deposited in the University Income Fund.
  - c. The Board of Trustees delegates to the Presidents authority over the following fees:
    - 1) Specific Student Fees within the category of Mandatory Fees
    - 2) Regulatory Fees
    - 3) Charges for Special Non-Instructional Services and Privileges

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That 4 Policies of the Board B-6 be amended as follows and relocated at 4 Policies of the Board A-5-e:

- e. Instructional Contracts. The Presidents are authorized to enter into contracts with agencies, institutions, or organizations or to establish programs for specified groups and purposes which provide instruction to non-residents of the State of Illinois at a tuition rate which is less than the then current out-of-state tuition provided that such instruction shall be delivered in facilities other than those owned or leased by Southern Illinois University.

The Presidents shall insure that such charges shall be sufficient to meet the marginal instructional costs of the program, and the Presidents in establishing such charges shall consider:

- 1) Student population served
- 2) Payment of instructional costs
- 3) Schedule of educational experiences
- 4) Travel and other support expenses
- 5) Library and other instructional resources
- 6) Special requirements

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That upon the Chancellor's approval of overhead rates for cost recovery programs, 4 Policies of the Board B-4 be and is hereby repealed without further action of this Board.

With regard to Item C, Changes in Faculty-Administrative Payroll - Carbondale, Mr. Rowe questioned whether there was no salary change for the two men who had their titles changed to distinguished professors. President Somit responded that the appointment to the title of distinguished professor carried with it a modest grant to support scholarly research, but not a salary allocation.

Mrs. Kimmel moved the reception of Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, August and September, 1983, SIUC and SIUE; the ratification of Changes in Faculty-Administrative Payroll, SIUC, SIUE, and Office of the Chancellor; and the approval of Minutes of the meeting held September 8, 1983; Authorization for Grant of Easement by Southern Illinois University Foundation, Evergreen Terrace, SIUC; Schedule of Meetings of the Board of Trustees for 1984; and Tuition Policy for Cost Recovery Programs [Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board A-3, B-4, and B-6]. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

Mr. Elliott recognized Herbert Fink and Willard D. Klimstra as the two professors from SIUC being appointed as distinguished professors.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC, President Somit commented on the tremendous accomplishments made by the SIU Foundation over the past year, and also reported on the Homecoming football game.

The following matter was presented:

ORGANIZATION OF THE OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR,  
REORGANIZATION OF THE SYSTEM INTERNAL AUDIT FUNCTION  
[AMENDMENT TO III BYLAWS 3-F]

Summary

This matter proposes the reorganization of the System Internal Audit Function to centralize that function under the authority and leadership of a full-time System Internal Auditor reporting to the Chancellor as the "chief executive officer of the agency." The Board's Bylaws would be amended to clarify that, when circumstances warrant, the System Internal Auditor will have direct access to the Presidents and the Board of Trustees. The Chancellor would be authorized to take those actions necessary to complete such a reorganization by July 1, 1984.

Rationale for Adoption

Background

Following the major administrative reorganization in 1979, Chancellor Shaw and the Presidents, with the advice of the Board of Trustees' Finance Committee, set out to strengthen the financial affairs component of the overall University organization. This process has involved the review at the System level of the audit, treasurer, control, and financial officer functions and has resulted in the organizational refinement of those functions as they relate to the Board, the Office of the Chancellor, and the Universities. Specifically, in March of 1982, the Board acted to create the position of System Internal Auditor, and the Chancellor promulgated System Guidelines for the Internal Audit Function (see Attachments A and B). In December of 1982, the Board approved a reorganization in the Office of the Chancellor to strengthen the treasurer and control functions, and the Chancellor promulgated System Guidelines for Internal Control (FA-5).

As a part of this ongoing process of review and refinement, thought has been given to additional modification of the audit function, particularly at the System level. What follows is a statement of general information regarding the audit function, a comment on factors characterizing the current organization of the function, and proposed additional modification of the function.

General Information Regarding the Audit Function

Internal auditing is a source of independent appraisal and review of organizational functions, and does not function directly in operational management. Internal auditing is a managerial tool which functions by measuring and evaluating the effectiveness of other functions. An auditor has no direct responsibility for, nor authority over, any of the activities which are the subject of review.

The objective of university internal auditing is to assist members of university management in the effective discharge of management responsibilities by furnishing analyses, appraisals, recommendations, and comments

concerning the activities reviewed. Also, typically, the internal auditor is concerned with all phases of university activities, which often involve elements other than accounting and financial records.

Currently, at SIU, the internal audit function operates at both the System and individual University levels. The System Internal Auditor reports directly to the Chancellor, and the Director of Internal Audit for each of the Universities reports to the President. The annual plan for internal audit, which is developed by the System Internal Auditor in concert with the audit Directors, is approved by the Chancellor and the Presidents. The plan is also presented to the Board of Trustees' Finance Committee.

The current System Internal Auditor position is filled on a part-time basis by an individual who also serves as the Director of Internal Audit for SIUC.

The internal audit staffs of SIUC and SIUE have varied in size during the past several years, but for Fiscal Year 1984, SIUC employed eight auditors and SIUE employed four. SIUC's audit department in Carbondale is also responsible for internal audit at the School of Medicine in both Springfield and Carbondale, and SIUE's audit department in Edwardsville is responsible for internal audit at the School of Dental Medicine in Alton. Funding for the internal audit function for Fiscal Year 1984 is:

	<u>SIUC</u>	<u>SIUE</u>	<u>SYSTEM TOTALS</u>
Personnel	\$222,012	\$125,436	\$347,448
Support Costs	7,050	7,200	14,250
TOTAL	\$229,062	\$132,636	\$361,698

#### Factors Applying to the Current Organization

Critical to the success of any internal audit function are three factors. The first is that management understands the role of internal audit as a staff function providing advice on issues of management control. The second is that information developed as a part of the internal audit process is shared with those individuals most able to utilize it. The third is that the internal audit function be established at a level within the organization guaranteeing the independence necessary to make critical findings without fear of reprisal.

The combination of current personnel and the current organization satisfy these three factors. Given the continuing review of the internal audit function during the past several years, there is little doubt that management at the highest levels understands the internal audit function in its relationship to other management functions. With respect to the second factor, sharing information, the System Guidelines promulgated in 1982 to guide the internal audit function within the University System make routine provision for audit reports to be made available to all levels of management,

including the Board of Trustees, the Chancellor, the affected President, and those individuals whose area of responsibility has been the subject of an audit (see Attachments A and C). In terms of these two important factors, the current internal audit function at SIU has successfully achieved an appropriate and effective status. While University officers are generally satisfied with the current organization, the issue of independence is one that has been the subject of Auditor General concern.

In the most recent compliance audit, for the period ending June 30, 1981, the Auditor General found that:

The Carbondale and Edwardsville Director's (sic) of Internal Audit do not report directly to the chief executive officer of the agency (the Chancellor) as required by Illinois Revised Statutes (Chapter 127, paragraph 136) but report to the respective Presidents of the campuses. The Chancellor position was established in 1979 but the reporting lines were not revised.

The Auditor General recommended that:

There should be one Internal Audit Department for the University with its Director reporting directly to the Chancellor. This should permit efficiencies through a reduction of overhead in the internal audit function (e.g., one Director of Internal Audit for both campuses) as well as improve the independence of the function (1981).

Partially in response to this finding and recommendation, the position of System Internal Auditor was created. Nonetheless, Auditor General concerns have continued regarding the independence of the audit function within the organizational structure, since the Directors of Internal Audit do not report to the "chief executive officer of the agency," the Chancellor.

The current organization benefits from close working relationships between the campus Directors of Internal Audit and the Presidents at each University. The flow of information between the campus Internal Audit Departments and the Office of the Chancellor has been enhanced by the March, 1982, Guidelines on the System Internal Audit Function, and the flow of information to management from the audit departments has not been questioned. On the other hand, by having the Directors of Internal Audit at each University report to the Presidents, and also somewhat ambiguously to the System Internal Auditor, the question of independence can be raised. While the incumbent Chancellor and Presidents have arrived at understandings that assiduously avoid problems associated with independence, the independence of the function in the long term would be more clearly assured by compliance with the Auditor General's recommendation.

The Auditor General's recommendation that overhead could be reduced by consolidating the two audit departments into a single, larger unit has yet to be fully explored. A larger unit would, however, offer greater opportunities for specialization (computing, for example) than is possible with a smaller department with equally broad responsibilities.

To resolve this question of independence in the long term and to explore the potential efficiencies of a larger, centralized audit function,

the actions proposed under the heading "Resolution" are submitted for Board consideration. They are intended to preserve the continuing communication between University administrations and the internal audit function.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

The incumbent executive officers have developed understandings regarding the current organizational structure for the internal audit function which satisfactorily accomplish the generally accepted and understood goals of an audit function. This proposed reorganization is undertaken principally to insure the long-term independence of the audit function, not as a means of addressing current concerns or problems associated with the issue of independence.

#### Constituency Involvement

This proposal was developed in the Office of the Chancellor and reviewed by the Presidents as a part of an ongoing review and evaluation of the financial affairs component of the overall University organization. The Universities' constituency groups were not consulted regarding the proposal.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The audit function within the University System be centralized under the authority and leadership of a full-time System Internal Auditor;
- (2) The System Internal Auditor report directly to the Chancellor as the "chief executive officer of the agency";
- (3) The current Departments of Internal Audit at SIUC and SIUE be combined and report directly to the System Internal Auditor;
- (4) The individual budgets for the existing audit departments be combined into a single budget for the system internal audit function;
- (5) Those personnel actions necessary to accomplish this reorganization be completed through normal personnel practices;
- (6) This proposed reorganization be reflected by modifications to the Guidelines for the Internal Audit Function, as approved by the Chancellor (see Attachment A); and
- (7) The reorganization of the internal audit function be accomplished no later than July 1, 1984.



BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That III Bylaws 3-F be amended to read as follows:

Section 3. Finance Committee.

F. In exigent circumstances and with the written concurrence of at least two Committee members and at least two other Board members, the Committee Chair may arrange a special audit directly with the System Internal Auditor or any other person qualified to conduct such an audit, with the report of such audit to be forwarded directly to the Committee Chair and Board Chair. When the System Internal Auditor becomes aware of occurrences or activities believed to constitute possible material violations of law, institutional policy, or generally accepted accounting practices, that Officer will report that awareness to the appropriate President and the Chancellor. In addition, when a possible material violation of law is involved, it will be reported to the Chairman of the Board and the Chairman of the Finance Committee by the Chancellor, or, when appropriate, by the System Internal Auditor.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System is authorized to take those actions necessary to accomplish the directed reorganization without further action of this Board.

Chancellor Shaw commented that this item proposed to centralize the function of Internal Auditor under the authority and leadership of a full-time System Internal Auditor reporting directly to the Chancellor. He stated that while the current situation works very well, it is an awkward system. He continued that the present system had provided information to improve management, insured that those who needed this information had received it, and that the Internal Audit function had operated at a level within the organization which guaranteed the necessary independence. He stated that the latter factor, independence, had prompted the recommendation for change. He stated that in the most recently released audit finding, June 30, 1981, the Auditor General recommended, "There should be one Internal Audit Department for the University with its Director reporting directly to the Chancellor." He continued that the Auditor General had expressed concern about the independence of the function. He stated that he had every reason to believe that the most recent compliance audit, the one that hasn't been published yet, will contain the same recommendation. He recommended this change which would consolidate the two audit

departments at SIUC and SIUE into a single, larger unit that would operate at the system level helping to insure independence and offering greater opportunities for specialization. Dr. Shaw reported that the Bylaws relating to the Finance Committee would need to be modified to reflect this change as will the Guidelines he promulgates to direct the Internal Audit function.

Mr. Elliott commented that whenever the Finance Committee had asked for information from the auditors it had been supplied. He stated further that the Finance Committee has had very little to say about audits because it has already been done by the time the Committee looked at the audits. He continued that the process was a good one and he could see how it had been very useful to the Presidents and the Chancellor. He concluded that the change was a solid administrative change.

In response to a question by David Berry regarding reduction in overhead by consolidation, Chancellor Shaw stated that once the consolidation has occurred comparative studies will be made to determine internal audit costs for this size budget versus other systems across the country that have internal audit functions. He continued that internal retraining would need to be made to acquire some specializations, such as computing. He stated that once that occurs, then they could see whether or not there would be some savings.

Mr. Elliott moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

Under Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System, Dr. Shaw gave the following legislative report:

The recent veto session of the General Assembly took place in two parts: one in mid-October and one in early November. From the many bills that were considered, I'm going to highlight some of them briefly.

House Bill 1530 is the Illinois Educational Labor Relations Act which creates the Educational Labor Relations Board and spells out many details applying to the employment relationship between educational employers and educational employees. The amendatory veto by Governor Thompson was accepted by the House on October 19 and by the Senate on November 2. The provisions of the bill take effect on January 1, 1984. By December we will have information for the Board regarding some features of the bill as they may affect SIU.

House Bill 775 is a bill that amends the State Article of the Pension Code and the State act in relation to finance to provide that an employee shall receive compensation in a lump sum for accumulated vacation, sick leave, or personal business leave upon death, retirement, or separation. The Governor's amendatory veto made the calculation of sick leave accrual begin on January 1, 1984. There appears to be some ambiguity in the interpretation of the bill, but it may well cause a thorough review of our sick leave policy and practice.

Senate Bill 133, as passed by the General Assembly, started life as an act in relation to contracts for public works projects, requiring all contracts made by state and local public agencies for construction, repair, and maintenance of public works to contain provisions requiring the use of metal products and other building materials manufactured or constructed in the United States and requiring that all material and products purchased by these agencies be manufactured in the United States. This Bill was amended in its entirety (by the deletion of everything after the enabling clause) to become the Illinois Domestic Products Procurement Act, containing provisions that all goods or commodities purchased by a public agency for certain activities shall be manufactured or produced in the United States. These provisions in their original form constituted H.B. 561, which was vetoed successfully by the Governor on September 23, 1983. On the same date, the Governor amendatorily vetoed S.B. 133 to make it the Steel Products Procurement Act and applied its provisions only to steel products rather than all manufactured goods or commodities.

House Bill 234 creates the Freedom of Information Act; it defines public records and bodies, specifies the records to be disclosed or exempted from disclosure, sets out the procedures for appealing the denial of access to records, and makes it a Class C misdemeanor for a public official or employee to willfully or recklessly disregard the requirements of the Act. The Bill underwent fifteen successful amendments in the House and five successful amendments in the Senate. The Governor's amendatory veto deleted the misdemeanor portion and altered and added new exemptions. Both Houses accepted the amendatory veto as of November 2, 1983.

Senate Bill 263, originally devoted to increasing the maximum scholarship award, was amended to include provisions that male applicants for any scholarship fund must submit satisfactory evidence of compliance with draft registration requirements. This provision was removed in the House and a provision added there withholding a driver's license unless proof of draft registration was submitted. The Governor's amendatory veto made the state law consistent with federal regulations. The amendatory veto was accepted by both Houses on November 2, 1983.

Senate Bill 378, amended in its entirety by Conference Committee to be "An Act making appropriations for Human Services," contained a line item of \$6,575,000 for payment of military Veterans' Scholarships at state universities and public community colleges. With a reduction veto, the Governor reduced this amount to \$3,623,400, to meet what he identified as the 1983 level of claims. Both the Senate and the House overrode this reduction veto on November 4, 1983; the original amount appropriated to Veterans' Scholarship purposes became law.

Mr. Elliott reported that the 1982-1983 Annual Report of the Board of Trustees had been received and commended Sharon Holmes for completing the task in a timely fashion. He commented that it was a big job, well done, and a good start in her first year as Executive Secretary.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE, President Lazerson reported that the Illinois State Board of Education had selected Karen Agne, who received her M.S. degree in Education at SIUE in 1972, as the 1983 Illinois Gifted Teacher of the Year, and Kathy Weber, who received her M.S. degree in Education at SIUE in 1978, as the 1983 Illinois Teacher of the Year.

The Chair announced that a news conference had been scheduled immediately following the regular meeting in the International Room, and that lunch would be served in the Oak-Hackberry Rooms with members of the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate, University Staff Senate, and Student Senators of Student Government attending.

Dr. Wilkins moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 10:52 a.m.

  
Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary

December 7, 1983 135

Minutes of Special Meeting held December 7, 1983 . . . . . 136

December 8, 1983

CONTENTS

Roll Call . . . . .	138
Trustee Reports . . . . .	139
Committee Reports . . . . .	140
Executive Committee . . . . .	140
Finance Committee . . . . .	140, 166
Architecture and Design Committee . . . . .	141
Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, October, 1983, SIUC and SIUE . .	141
Project Approval and Selection of Architect: Repair and Rehabilitation of Parking Lots, SIUC . . . . .	142
Project Approval and Selection of Architect: Repair and Rehabilitation of Campus Drives, SIUC . . . . .	143
Approval of Minutes of the Meetings held November 9 and 10, 1983 . . . . .	145
Notice of Proposed Increase: University Housing Rental Rates, SIUC [Amendment to 4 <u>Policies of the Board C-13</u> ] . . . . .	146
Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE . . . . .	148
Committees of the Board [Amendments to III <u>Bylaws</u> ] . . . . .	148
Major Computer System Upgrade, SIUC . . . . .	154
Current and Pending Matter: Authorization to Reduce Workweek [Amendment to 2 <u>Policies of the Board C</u> ] . . . . .	169
Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of the SIU System . . . . .	171
Notice of Proposed Increase: Residence Hall Rates and Apartment Rentals, SIUC [Amendment to 4 <u>Policies of the Board B-17</u> ] . . . . .	171

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES  
SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY  
DECEMBER 7, 1983

A special meeting of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University convened at 7:58 p.m., Wednesday, December 7, 1983, in the Wabash Room of the Student Center, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale. The regular Chairman and Secretary being present, the meeting was called to order. The following members of the Board were present:

Mr. David Berry  
Mr. Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
Mrs. Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
Mr. William R. Norwood  
Mr. Harris Rowe, Chairman  
Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman

The following members were absent:

Mrs. Crete B. Harvey  
Ms. Sharon Hutcherson  
Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.

Executive Officers present were:

Mr. Earl E. Lazerson, President, SIUE  
Dr. Kenneth A. Shaw, Chancellor of the SIU System

Also present was Mr. C. Richard Gruny, Board Legal Counsel.

The Secretary reported a quorum present.


Mr. Norwood moved that the Board go into closed session to consider the appointment, employment or dismissal of employees or officers, to adjourn directly from the closed session with no action having been taken, and with no further open meeting. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry; nay, none. The motion was carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A.

December 7, 1983

137

Elliott, Jr., Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr.;  
nay, none.

The meeting adjourned at 9:37 p.m.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carol K. Kimmel, Secretary

The regular monthly meeting of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University convened on Thursday, December 8, 1983, at 10:35 a.m., in Ballroom "B" of the Student Center, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale. The regular Chairman and Secretary being present, the meeting was called to order. The following members of the Board were present:

Mr. David Berry  
Mr. Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
Ms. Sharon Hutcherson  
Mrs. Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
Mr. William R. Norwood  
Mr. Harris Rowe, Chairman  
Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman

The following members were absent:

Mrs. Crete B. Harvey  
Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.

Executive Officers present were:

Mr. Earl E. Lazerson, President, SIUE  
Dr. Kenneth A. Shaw, Chancellor of the SIU System  
Dr. Albert Somit, President, SIUC

Also present was Mrs. Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary of the Board.

The Secretary reported a quorum present.

NOTE: Copies of all background documents furnished to the Board in connection with the following matters have been placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

Mr. Rowe reported that at approximately 8:00 p.m., Wednesday, December 7, 1983, the Board of Trustees had held a special meeting at which the only business transacted was to adjourn into executive session to consider information regarding the appointment, employment or dismissal of employees or officers. He stated that the occasion was the annual evaluation of President Lazerson pursuant to 4 Statutes C, and that no agenda matters for today's meeting were discussed.



Under Trustee Reports, Mrs. Kimmel reported that she had attended the Annual Meeting of the National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges on November 14-16, 1983, in Washington, D.C. She stated that she had attended a workshop conducted by Clark Kerr which contained assumptions made in a recent study regarding Chancellors, Presidents, and Trustees. She continued that it was interesting that one "given" was that trustees really preferred a weak Chancellor or President. She stated that she informed them that this Board was not that kind of Board; we are a strong Board, but we didn't want weak leaders. She stated that she thought that was an accurate analysis of the feeling of this Board. She reported that she had attended a workshop on the formation of academic affairs committees, their role, and so forth, and a workshop on academic affairs and the use of computers in academic affairs.

Mrs. Kimmel reported that she had attended the Illinois Board of Higher Education meeting on December 6. She stated that the main focus of that meeting was based on the budget planning process. She stated that they had been presented with an excellent document prepared by BHE, probably the best and most complete document that has been prepared by BHE on that subject, and she suggested that the document be submitted to the remainder of the Board of Trustees. She reported that the system heads and others had made presentations concerning the budget planning process; that most of the people felt reasonably comfortable in 1984, but that 1984 didn't give much of a cushion to make up any backlog in maintenance and salary needs, and that everyone was very concerned about what was going to happen unless an extension of the income tax was passed in the next session of the legislature. She reported that Illinois was thirty-fifth in the support per capita for higher education in this country and thirty-ninth in the amount of increase for higher education

that has taken place in the last two years in the country. She stated that all of the system heads expressed great concern with the ability to keep quality faculty in view of our financial situation in Illinois, and that the whole community of higher education in this state will be working together with great emphasis on the extension of the income tax and an increase in funding for higher education. She reported that there was discussion of the need to give more emphasis to scholarship in the awards of financial assistance. She stated that the SIU School of Medicine and the University of Illinois Medical School had been commended on the great progress they had made in minority representation in the schools.

Mr. Norwood stated that the SIU School of Medicine had done a tremendous job, had always been in the top nationally and the leader in the state, and that it continues to be the leader in the state in this regard. He reported that the SIU School of Medicine's enrollment this year was 19 percent Black and by example has shown leadership in the state and nation in demonstrating that these things can be accomplished and maintain an outstanding medical school.

Under Committee Reports, Mrs. Kimmel had no report for the Executive Committee.

Mr. Elliott, Chairman of the Finance Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Vermilion Room of the Student Center at 8:15 a.m. that morning. He gave the following report:

The Finance Committee had a lengthy discussion regarding Item J, Major Computer System Upgrade, SIUC, and by a vote of 2-1 recommends that this item be disapproved. The Committee discussed a current and pending matter, Authorization to Reduce Workweek, and recommends that the Board accept it as a current and pending matter and approve it. Item G, Notice of Proposed Increase: University Housing Rental Rates, SIUE, and Item L, Notice of Proposed Increase: Residence Hall Rates and Apartment Rentals, SIUC, were presented

and will be discussed at the next meeting of the Finance Committee. The Committee received a report on cash and investments by Don Wilson who indicated that because of a change in procedures we were getting between \$50,000 and \$75,000 additional income in investments per year. Mr. Elliott congratulated Mr. Wilson and the financial people performing this investment service. The Committee received a report on remote banking facilities and that the Black Mesa project account at the Valley National Bank had been closed. Quarterly audit reports were presented and the Committee asked for an additional report on one item. An update on student aid audits was given.

Mr. Van Meter, Chairman of the Architecture and Design Committee said that the Committee had met on Thursday, December 8, 1983, at 10:10 a.m., in the Vermilion Room of the Student Center. He gave the following report:

Item M, Project Approval and Selection of Architect: Repair and Rehabilitation of Parking Lots, SIUC, and Item N, Project Approval and Selection of Architect: Repair and Rehabilitation of Campus Drives, SIUC, were presented as one item, the Committee recommends approval of these items, and suggests that they be placed on the omnibus motion. The SIU School of Medicine gave a presentation in regard to the proposal of purchase of property, and if completed would be purchased by the Foundation and turned over to the University at some appropriate time. It was the consensus of the Committee that this was extremely valuable as far as the School of Medicine was concerned and that we would be most cooperative. We did get an acknowledgment from counsel that this was in keeping with the guidelines of the Auditor General and the work of the Foundation. The Committee received a report on several items from SIUE; the most important of which was the status report in regard to the student housing project and the selection process for the dental clinic. The Committee is extremely comfortable with the procedures that have been developed and the way that the process is going in regard to the selections. The report given in regard to the student housing selection was most informative, showed a great deal of work on the part of the campus and its committee, and the Architecture and Design Committee approves following the same procedure in regard to the dental clinic.

The Chair explained the procedure for the Board's omnibus motion, and he proposed that, after discussion, there would be taken up the following matters:

REPORTS OF PURCHASE ORDERS AND CONTRACTS, OCTOBER, 1983,  
SIUC AND SIUE

In accordance with III Bylaws 1, and procedures effective April 1, 1980, summary reports of purchase orders and contracts awarded during the month of October, 1983, were mailed to the members of the Board in advance of this meeting, copies were placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees, and these reports are hereby submitted for information and entry upon the minutes of the Board with respect to the actions of the Executive Committee.

PROJECT APPROVAL AND SELECTION OF ARCHITECT: REPAIR AND  
REHABILITATION OF PARKING LOTS, SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes project and budget approval for resurfacing and other major repairs to four existing parking lots.

Because this project has been identified as a "noninstructional capital improvement," this matter further requests the Board's specific review and approval of the financing procedure for this project prior to submission to the Illinois Board of Higher Education.

The estimated total cost for all parts of this project is \$187,000. Funding will come from traffic and parking revenue through the Parking Facilities account. State appropriations will not be required.

This matter also proposes approval for the plans and specifications to be prepared in-house by the Physical Plant Engineering Services.

Rationale for Adoption

The parking lots on the main campus require a great amount of time and material for proper maintenance due to the rapid deterioration of the parking surfaces caused by weather and normal wear. The project requested herein is another step in a series of repair and rehabilitation projects that will eventually upgrade the surfaces and the general effectiveness of all the lots. Because adequate funding is presently available in the Parking Facilities account, and in order to be adequately prepared for the 1984 summer construction season, this request for project approval is being submitted at this time.

The parking lots are:

Lot #41 - University Press	\$ 47,000
Lot #62 - Logan Drive	38,000
Lot #100 - North Washington Square	82,000
Lot #101 - North Washington Square	<u>20,000</u>
TOTAL	\$187,000

Because the nature of the work on all segments of this project causes them to be identified as noninstructional capital improvements, it is necessary to secure project and budget approval of the Board of Trustees before submitting a RAMP Capital Table 10.0 to the IBHE for its approval.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

This project has the involvement and recommendation of the Traffic and Parking Committee, the Vice-President for Campus Services, the Director of the Physical Plant, and the Director of Facilities Planning, SIUC.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The project to repair and rehabilitate the specified four existing parking lots, SIUC, be and is hereby approved at an estimated total cost of \$187,000.
- (2) Funding for this project shall be from traffic and parking revenue through the Parking Facilities account.
- (3) All parts of this project and the anticipated source of funding be and are hereby specifically approved as non-instructional capital improvements.
- (4) A supplemental Table 10.0, Plans for Noninstructional Capital Improvements, containing this project be transmitted to the Illinois Board of Higher Education and that its approval be respectfully requested thereon.
- (5) Upon recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee, authorization be granted for the architectural and engineering work to be performed by the Physical Plant Engineering Services.
- (6) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

PROJECT APPROVAL AND SELECTION OF ARCHITECT: REPAIR AND REHABILITATION OF CAMPUS DRIVES, SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes project and budget approval for resurfacing and other major repairs to fourteen segments of the campus drives and roads.

Because five segments of this project have been identified as "noninstructional capital improvements," this matter further requests the Board's specific review and approval of the financing procedure for this project prior to submission to the Illinois Board of Higher Education.

The estimated total cost for all parts of this project is \$684,000. Funding will come from traffic and parking revenue through the Parking Facilities account. State appropriations will not be required.

This matter also proposes approval for the plans and specifications to be prepared in-house by the Physical Plant Engineering Services.

Rationale for Adoption

The roads and drives on the main campus continue to present maintenance problems that can only be solved by major repair and rehabilitation programs. At its meetings of May 13, 1982 and December 9, 1982, the Board of Trustees gave its approval to repair and rehabilitation projects recommended by the campus Traffic and Parking Committee. The project recommended herein is a continuation of those initial attempts to solve serious problems of deterioration.

Because adequate funding is presently available in the Parking Facilities account, and in order to be adequately prepared for the summer construction season in 1984, this request for project approval is being submitted at this time.

The segment of campus drives are:

*Physical Plant, Travel Service	\$121,000
*Douglas Drive, west	76,000
*University Press Drive	70,000
*Dairy Center Drive	23,000
*Foundry Drive	32,000
Lincoln, Baptist Center, University Avenue	94,000
University Avenue, Mill to Grand	49,000
Lot #2, entrance	10,000
Agriculture Building Service Drive	15,000
Elizabeth Street	27,000
Pulliam Drive	56,000
Wham Drive, south to Grand	22,000
Student Center	17,000
Douglas Drive, Oakland to Lincoln Drive	<u>72,000</u>
TOTAL	\$684,000

\*Noninstructional Capital Improvements

Because the nature of the work on five segments of this project causes them to be identified as noninstructional capital improvements, it is necessary to secure project and budget approval of the Board of Trustees before submitting a RAMP Capital Table 10.0 to the IBHE for its approval.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

This project has the involvement and recommendation of the Traffic and Parking Committee, the Vice-President for Campus Services, the Director of the Physical Plant, and the Director of Facilities Planning, SIUC.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The project to repair and rehabilitate the specified fourteen segments of the main campus drives, SIUC, be and is hereby approved at an estimated total cost of \$684,000.
- (2) Funding for this project shall be from traffic and parking revenue through the Parking Facilities account.
- (3) The five segments of this project and the anticipated source of funding be and are hereby specifically approved as noninstructional capital improvements.
- (4) A supplemental Table 10.0, Plans for Noninstructional Capital Improvements, containing this project be transmitted to the Illinois Board of Higher Education and that its approval be respectfully requested thereon.
- (5) Upon recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee, authorization be granted for the architectural and engineering work to be performed by the Physical Plant Engineering Services.
- (6) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

Mr. Van Meter moved the reception of Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, October, 1983, SIUC and SIUE; the ratification of Changes in Faculty-Administrative Payroll, SIUC and SIUE; and the approval of Minutes of the meetings held November 9 and 10, 1983; Project Approval and Selection of Architect: Repair and Rehabilitation of Parking Lots, SIUC, and Project Approval and Selection of Architect: Repair and Rehabilitation of Campus Drives, SIUC. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr.; nay, none.

The following matter was presented:

NOTICE OF PROPOSED INCREASE: UNIVERSITY HOUSING  
RENTAL RATES, SIUE  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD C-13]

Summary

This matter proposes a \$13 base increase per single student station and a similar percentage increase for family housing. If approved, the increases would be effective September 1, 1984.

Rationale for Adoption

In FY-85, University Housing will require additional revenue to meet energy cost increases and the loss of retained tuition funds and to improve the housing working capital balance. These revenues will be required even though cost reduction measures, including seasonal layoff of employees, reduced student employment levels, and energy conservation actions, continue to be in effect.

For FY-85, the University projects utility cost increases of \$128,000, the loss of \$54,000 in retained tuition funds, and cost increases in personal services and other support lines of \$24,000. The rates of cost increase, where controllable by the University, have been held to the lowest feasible levels. Total personal services will increase 1 percent, building maintenance costs will increase 5 percent, and all other expenses, as a group, are projected to increase 4 percent.

In 1982, the Tower Lake Residents Association recommended that the housing working capital balance show a positive balance of \$140,000 (working capital is projected to show a negative balance of \$71,973 at the end of FY-84) by FY-86. University officers propose to work toward that recommendation by improving working capital by \$21,000 in FY-85. This action represents a \$23,000 improvement from the FY-84 change in working capital.

Total new resources required in FY-85 to offset cost increases and the loss of retained tuition and to improve the working capital balance are \$229,000. University officers propose to meet this need in the following ways.

The proposed rate increase will generate approximately \$171,000. In addition, FY-85 rent receipts will increase by \$58,000 over the FY-84 level. The increase is due to the timing of the FY-84 rate increase. FY-84 rental rates were increased September 1, 1983, and therefore revenue was improved during only 10 months of the fiscal year.

These added revenues, coupled with the actions described above, will permit the housing operation to continue maintenance programs, to meet obligations under the bonding agreement, and to improve the balance in working capital.



Considerations Against Adoption

University officers recognize the impact of increased costs on access to the University. The proposed rate increase is necessary to maintain the fiscal and physical integrity of Tower Lake Housing.

Constituency Involvement

This proposal was initiated by the Director of University Housing, SIUE, and has been reviewed with the Tower Lake Residents Association and the Student Senate. At the time of submission neither group has formally responded to the matter.

This matter is recommended for adoption by the Director of Supporting Services and the President, SIUE.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That, effective September 1, 1984, 4 Policies of the Board C-13 be and is hereby amended to read as follows:

13. Rental rates for the use and occupancy of University Housing on the campus of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville effective September 1, ~~1983~~ 1984, are as follows:

FAMILY HOUSING I

\$280 315 per month - two-bedroom, unfurnished apartment  
 \$325 365 per month - two-bedroom, furnished apartment  
 \$317 355 per month - three-bedroom, unfurnished apartment  
 \$365 410 per month - three-bedroom, furnished apartment

As a service to incoming Faculty/Staff, housing facilities will be available to them while they secure permanent housing. Faculty/Staff shall be limited to a six-month contract at a rate which is, as to each type of unit, \$100.00 higher than above.

SINGLE STUDENT HOUSING I

\$109 122 per month per student - two-bedroom, 4-student unit  
 \$218 244 per month per student - two-bedroom, 2-student unit  
 \$ 94 103 per month per student - three-bedroom, 6-student unit  
 \$182 205 per month per student - three-bedroom, 3-student unit  
 \$109 122 per month per student in double - two-bedroom, 3-student unit  
 \$164 184 per month per student in single - two-bedroom, 3-student unit

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and is hereby authorized to take all actions necessary to implement the provisions of this resolution.

The Chair stated that under the rules of the Board of Trustees this matter would be held over until the next meeting. He continued that there would be a thorough discussion of this matter at the next meeting of the Board, and that if anyone had questions there was plenty of time to contact the administrators.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE, President Lazerson stated that the IBHE, at its December meeting, had approved the Specialization in Community Health Nursing, SIUE, at the master's degree level. He continued that the School of Nursing, up to this point in time, had accrued well over a quarter of a million dollars in grants, and that one of those grants was for approximately \$150,000 for three years which will bring approximately a half million dollars into the institution. He stated that the School of Nursing was doing a first-class job in terms of carrying out its mandate with regard to providing nursing education for all of Southern Illinois and that he was very proud of its accomplishments.

The following matter was presented:

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD  
[AMENDMENTS TO III BYLAWS]

Summary

This matter proposes slight changes in the membership of two of the Board's standing committees and the establishment of a fourth standing committee which would have responsibility for academic matters. For clarity and consistency, some editorial changes are also proposed.

Rationale for Adoption

The Board approved standing committees for Architecture and Design and for Finance on May 5, 1979, and February 14, 1980, respectively. Perceiving the advantages of increases in knowledge, understanding, and involvement that the Architecture and Design and Finance Committees have provided, and recognizing that similar values can derive from a committee concerned principally with the Universities' instructional mission, several Board members suggested at the March 10, 1983, meeting that the Board consider establishing an academic matters committee. The Chairman asked the Chancellor to prepare a

report analyzing such an action and suggesting various modes for accomplishing it. Such a report was sent to Board members on August 24, 1983. In response a number of them indicated informally to the Chancellor their desire to have such a committee, what some of its responsibilities should be, and some suggestions for adjusting membership assignments for the standing committees.

Establishment of a standing Board Academic Matters Committee can provide for enhanced Board awareness of and insight into the Universities' academic enterprise. Such a Committee can, over time, come to understand not merely individual programmatic proposals but also the intricate processes of planning and review which lead to them. By doing so, the Committee can provide still another means for the Board as a whole to support and promote the interests of The Southern Illinois University System and its constituent Universities.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

Concerns have been expressed that an Academic Matters Committee might inappropriately involve itself in the academic planning process or initiate proposals without the appropriate constituency involvement.

#### Constituency Involvement

This proposal was developed in the Office of the Chancellor and reviewed and approved by the Presidents. Although time did not allow for full constituency involvement, constituency heads were asked to respond to the proposal. It was endorsed by the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate, SIUE; the Undergraduate Student Organization, SIUC; the Executive Committee of the Administrative and Professional Staff Council, SIUC; and the Executive Committee of the Civil Service Employees Council, SIUC. The Executive Committee of the Graduate Council, SIUC, had no major objections, but did express concerns about how an Academic Matters Committee would function with respect to the University planning processes. The President and six other members of the Faculty Senate, SIUC, were generally opposed to the proposal. The President of the Graduate and Professional Student Council, SIUC, could not be reached for comment.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That III Bylaws be amended to read as follows:

#### ARTICLE III. COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD.

Section 1. \* \* \* (no change)

Section 2. Architecture and Design Committee.

A. The Architecture and Design Committee shall consist of three members of the Board appointed by the Board Chair, who shall also designate one member as the Committee Chair. Members shall be appointed annually at

the meeting when Board officers are elected, with vacancies to be filled by appointment by the Board Chair as they occur. The Committee shall be staffed through the Office of the Chancellor. The Chair of the Committee will call meetings as appropriate, with the Executive Secretary handling the scheduling and appropriate notices.

B. The Committee shall be concerned with capital projects as they affect the function and appearance of the campuses. Phases of capital projects requiring Board approval shall be reviewed by the Committee at each appropriate stage of planning and prior to presentation to the Board. Review shall include but not be limited to:

1. "Master planning" considerations related to campus function and appearance, such as project location, traffic, and similar factors.
2. Processes and procedures for architect selection.
3. External appearance of projects as conceived in renderings or schematics.

C. As appropriate, the Committee shall offer comment in relation to its responsibilities during project development stages and when capital project items are presented to the Board.

### Section 3. Finance Committee.

A. The Finance Committee shall consist of three members of the Board appointed by the Board Chair, who shall also designate one member as the Committee Chair. Members shall be appointed annually following the election of Board officers, at the meeting when Board officers are elected, with vacancies to be filled by appointment by the Board Chair as they occur. The Committee shall be staffed through the Office of the Chancellor. The Chair of the Committee will call meetings as appropriate, with the Executive Secretary handling the scheduling and appropriate notices.

Remainder of Section 3 remains unchanged.

### Section 4. Academic Matters Committee.

A. The Academic Matters Committee shall consist of three members of the Board appointed by the Board Chair, who shall also designate one member as the Committee Chair. Members shall be appointed annually at the meeting when Board officers are elected, with vacancies to be filled by appointment by the Board Chair as they occur. The Committee shall be staffed by the Office of the Chancellor. The Chair of the Committee will call meetings as appropriate, with the Executive Secretary handling the scheduling and appropriate notices.

B. The Committee will be responsible for reviewing and recommending to the Board on the following matters:

1. Proposals for the establishment, allocation, and abolition of colleges, schools, divisions, departments, bureaus, and

of other educational units, and of curricula and degrees, except as authority for action on such proposals has been expressly delegated to the Chancellor.

2. The annual RAMP Planning Documents, consisting of planning statements, a five-year program development schedule, reports on program reviews completed in the preceding year, and new and expanded/improved program and other requests.
3. Responses to the annual IBHE report on program reviews at public universities.
4. Proposals for honorary degrees and distinguished service awards.

C. The Committee may also, from time to time, review other matters central to general academic concerns.

Section 5. Special Committees. \* \* \* (no change)

Chancellor Shaw stated that this matter proposed two substantive changes to the Bylaws: the first, the addition of a fourth standing committee to be concerned with academic matters, and, the second, the removal of the Board Chair as an ex-officio member of the Architecture and Design Committee and the Finance Committee. He continued that these two Committees and the new Committee will each consist of three members of the Board appointed by the Board Chair. He stated that the proposal incorporated all suggestions that Board members had made over the past six or eight months. Dr. Shaw apologized to the constituencies for the rushed manner in which their reactions were sought stating that the time constraints between development and presentation were so tight that there was no opportunity for regular review and reaction. He reported that this matter was presented at this time so that the changes will be in place when the Board Chair makes annual appointments at the February meeting of the Board of Trustees.

Mr. Rowe stated that he considered this a wise move. He continued that having the Board Chair as an ex-officio member of all committees spreads him a little thin and there could be conflicts if two of the committees met at

the same time. He stated it was the Board's intention to make sure that the two Student Trustees were assigned to a Committee.

Mr. Elliott pointed out that the Board Chair should consider it appropriate that that person serve on some Committee.

Dr. Herbert Donow, President of the Faculty Senate, SIUC, stated that neither he nor any of his colleagues at this point opposes the Academic Matters Committee. He explained that the Faculty Senate still had some unanswered questions, one being the motivation for setting up a committee of this sort. He continued that faculty believed that they were the authority on academic matters; they knew the Board had to approve and disapprove new programs, RAMP documents, etc., but that there was a possibility that a Committee such as this might symbolize, if not actually be, a usurpation of a traditional faculty role. He stated that one concern was that the language of the proposal did not make completely clear whether this Committee was merely going to review or whether it would also initiate proposals having to do with academic matters. He explained that the original draft that he had seen spoke specifically to general education requirements and admission standards and the one before you now has generalized those two terms and simply refers to a few other matters essential to general academic concerns. He suggested that it would be appropriate to grant the faculties at both Universities some time to deliberate this matter at greater length, to report back to you our reactions, and that action be taken on this matter at the March meeting of the Board of Trustees.

Mr. Elliott responded that the Board of Trustees is supposed to be involved with policy and not with administration, and drawing the line between policy and administration is a very difficult line to draw. He continued that one problem was that anything of high public interest automatically became a

matter of policy. He stated that if the Committee strays beyond what are prudent boundaries of its considerations that it should be brought to the Committee's attention and the Board's attention. He stated that he personally thought the Committee should be created at this time, having constituency input from time to time as the Committee develops what its appropriate stance is.

Mr. Norwood stated that one of the great things that has been done was the committee structure. He stated that modifications of Committee structures and responsibilities have been made and the same would hold true for the Academic Matters Committee, if modifications needed to be made.

Mrs. Kimmel stated that this Board has been slower in establishing this type of Committee, evidenced by the fact that at the AGB conference she attended in Washington, that at the table she was sitting at, ours was the only school that did not have an academic affairs committee.

Sharon Hutcherson questioned the replacement of a Student Trustee whose term would expire in June after appointments were made in February. Mr. Rowe responded that that Student Trustee's successor would automatically replace him or her on a Committee.

Dr. Robert Lehr, Chairman of the Graduate Council, SIUC, stated that the Executive Committee of the Graduate Council had met and had no major problem with the matter. He continued that they did have two concerns: one concern was the same as expressed by Dr. Donow and about initiation as a caution, and two, that in creating a new committee it would not be necessary to increase Board staff, etc., in light of our budget expenditures.

Mr. Rowe responded that Chancellor Shaw had assured the Board that no staff would be added because of the creation of this Committee.

Mr. Roland Keim, Chairman of the Administrative and Professional Staff Council, SIUC, stated that the Executive Committee had reviewed the proposal prior to his written response and subsequently the response was shared with the entire Council at their regular meeting. He stated that their response was that the reorganization of the Board's Committees and the addition of a new Committee to review academic matters appeared to be a perfectly natural action for the Board to take. He continued that the Council did not see any new reservation of authority by the Board other than the areas of review and approval in the proposal; the wording of item C which related to review of other matters central to general academic concerns left intact the Board's delegation to the faculty and the Presidents the formulation and approval of policies concerning the educational functions of the Universities; and that the Administrative and Professional Staff understood the need of the Board members to gain greater insight in academic matters and accepted the wording of item C as being limited to a review function in order to gain that greater understanding.

Mr. Norwood moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matter was presented:

MAJOR COMPUTER SYSTEM UPGRADE, SIUC

Summary

This matter seeks Board of Trustees' authorization to proceed with the acquisition of an IBM 3081-D computer for the Computing Center at Carbondale. The new computer would replace the last of two IBM 370/158 computers and significantly increase overall computer capacity at Carbondale.

The equipment would be purchased from the apparent low bidder over a five-year period at a total cost of \$2,387,952. Payments would be made in four equal annual amounts of \$596,988, with the first payment in September, 1985 (FY-86) and the final payment in September, 1988 (FY-89).



### Rationale for Adoption

**Background.** Capacity studies conducted in 1981 and updated in 1982 at the Carbondale Center revealed a significant existing shortfall in computing capacity. Projections of future computer usage revealed the potential for major, continuing capacity problems. In addition to pent-up existing demand for computing resources, projected increases in demand exceeded 20 percent per year. Complicating the issue of overall capacity was the use of aging, maintenance prone equipment (two IBM 370/158 computers) which needed replacement.

In developing solutions to these fundamental capacity problems, planning was approached at two levels. The first, a relatively short-term strategy, was to provide an immediate increase in overall capacity and to remove one of the older computers from service. The second was a longer-term strategy which would provide sufficient capacity for planned long-term growth in usage, allow the second IBM 370/158 to be removed from service, and avoid in the years immediately ahead the installation of multiple, medium-sized processors to meet immediate demand. The Carbondale planning efforts were completed in conjunction with the planning efforts of the newly-appointed systemwide Computer Policy and Computer Operations Committees. One of the earliest assumptions made by the Policy Committee was that solving capacity problems at Carbondale was the single, highest systemwide priority.

A major problem faced by SIUC in implementing the overall strategy was a lack of resources. These resource constraints were due in large measure to long-term resource commitments, made prior to 1981, to support the acquisition of already dated computer equipment. Despite these constraints, however, the Carbondale Center was able to proceed with the short-term element of the overall plan. Funding to do so was made available largely through internal economies and the cancellation of certain existing maintenance agreements.

The short-term strategy to provide an immediate increase in capacity resulted in the installation of the PRIME 750 computer in 1981 which has been utilized primarily for instructional purposes, and the installation, in 1982, of the first IBM 4341-2 to meet more general demands for capacity. In 1983, a second IBM 4341-2 was installed, allowing one of the IBM 370/158's to be taken out of service.

The heart of the long-term strategy--to provide for planned long-term growth in usage, to allow for the second aging computer to be taken out of service, and to avoid frequent incremental increases in capacity--is the acquisition of a much larger computer. Such an acquisition is identified in The Long Range Plan for Computing as needed in Fiscal Year 1985 (beginning July 1, 1984), but because of resource constraints funding did not appear available until Fiscal Year 1986 (beginning July 1, 1985). The Plan identifies an IBM 3083-E computer at a cost of approximately \$1.2 million as attainable within the projected Fiscal Year 1986 budget. In the development of The Long Range Plan, planning beyond Fiscal Year 1986 was discussed at some length, and assuming the model 3083-E was acquired in late 1985, an upgrade to that computer was tentatively planned for Fiscal Year 1988 (to a model 3083-B). That upgrade would cost an estimated \$400,000.

Upon reviewing The Long Range Plan for Computing, the University's consultants also pointed out the likely need for an upgrade to the planned

3083-E, and recommended that the Carbondale Center investigate the acquisition of a more powerful computer, the IBM 3081-D at a base cost of approximately \$2.2 million, thereby avoiding the more costly process, in the long-term, of upgrading the 3081-E. While there was obvious merit to the consultants' suggestions, the resource constraints at Carbondale appeared to limit the feasibility of acquiring such a large machine. As indicated below under the heading "Financial Aspects," the acquisition of the larger mainframe computer in the current fiscal year appears financially feasible.

Proposed System Upgrade. There are a number of standards by which overall computer capacity/performance can be measured and, while each is subject to criticism, the most referenced standard is MIPS. MIPS is shorthand for millions of instructions per second which can be executed by the computer. MIPS is, of course, a measure of potential capacity/performance. The limitations of input devices (terminals, etc.), output devices (printers, etc.), and operating system software can significantly alter overall capacity/performance.

The current central processing facility at the Carbondale Center, excluding the PRIME 750 computer which is essentially a free-standing, limited purpose machine, yields approximately 4.46 MIPS ( $370/158 = 1.54$ ;  $4341-2 = 1.46$  each). The IBM 3081-D is a 10.5 MIPS machine. Even with the removal of the 370/158, the addition of the 3081-D will yield a net increase of approximately 9 MIPS--an increase of nearly 200 percent over current capacity--and results in a total capacity/performance for the Carbondale Center of approximately 13.4 MIPS.

Assuming that the current computing capacity (4.46 MIPS) at the Carbondale Center is sufficient to meet current demand and assuming various rates of growth in demand for capacity, Attachment 1 illustrates that even with the installation of the 3081-D, the Carbondale Center could experience capacity problems again before the end of the decade. At a projected 20 percent growth rate, capacity would be saturated in 1989; at a 25 percent rate, it would be saturated in 1988; and at a 30 percent rate it would be saturated in 1987.

The unit price per MIPS of the IBM 3081-D is approximately \$210,000. The unit price per MIPS for the 3083-E (a 4 MIPS machine) is approximately \$300,000, and even with the planned upgrade to the 3083 (2 MIPS) the per unit price for the 3083 would be approximately \$267,000. When compared with the upgraded 3083, the 3081-D provides an additional 4.5 MIPS at approximately \$133,000 per MIPS.

Because, even assuming a modest rate of growth in computer usage, an additional upgrade to capacity (beyond the 3081-D) will be required before the end of the decade, the technological viability of the IBM 308X series of computers is vitally important. The University's ability to upgrade existing equipment, rather than replacing it, in order to meet future demand is a fundamental consideration. The IBM Corporation has provided written assurances regarding its commitment to this technology and its plans to offer upgrades and maintenance support to the 308X series. The University's consultants, queried again regarding their 1982 recommendations, stand by their recommendations regarding the 3081-D. These opinions and assurances have led the

administration to conclude that, in this rapidly changing field, the computer chosen as the cornerstone of future computing activities at Carbondale will remain technologically viable and upgradable in the foreseeable future.

Financial Aspects. Financing for the purchase is available from currently budgeted resources. An educational discount of 40 percent has enabled the University to obtain financing which defers the first payment until September, 1985 (FY-86). The University received nine bids on financing the acquisition, and six of the bids called for payments within budget and a September, 1985 first payment. The payment schedule for the apparent low bid is included as Attachment 2.

Conclusion. Additional, significant computer capacity is needed by SIUC in the immediate future to meet its teaching, research, and service missions in the years ahead. Funding will be available in future years to allow the immediate upgrade of Carbondale's capacity. The machine chosen as the cornerstone of Carbondale's computing efforts in the foreseeable future is the current state-of-the-art in large, mainframe computers. While its technological viability in future years cannot be guaranteed, it appears that the machine chosen will represent the leading edge in mainframe technology for the immediate future. The machine can be acquired at a relatively low cost, in relation to its performance, and will be upgradable to even larger models during the foreseeable future.

#### Considerations Against Adoption


Having acquired during the relatively recent past computer equipment that only a few years after installation was deemed "technologically obsolete," there is obvious concern on the part of the University administration and others regarding the acquisition of major new equipment. A major distinction exists, however, between the earlier acquisitions and this proposal. The equipment referred to as "obsolete" was not the state-of-the-art at the time it was acquired. As indicated above, the 3081-D is at the leading edge of computer technology.

#### Constituency Involvement

A proposal for a major computing system upgrade was initially developed by Computing Affairs at SIUC and was incorporated into The Long Range Plan for Computing Within The Southern Illinois University System by the Computer Policy Committee. This specific proposal has been shared with the Academic Computing Council at SIUC and has been reviewed by the Computer Policy Committee. The Policy Committee unanimously endorsed the proposal at its meeting of November 3, 1983.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the acquisition of a major computer system upgrade as described in Requisition No. 10087-5278 (Attachment 3) be and is hereby approved, and that a follow-up report related to the acquisition be presented to the Board of Trustees' Finance Committee at its February, 1984 meeting.



December 8, 1983

159

Southern Illinois University at Carbondale  
Computer Purchase Payments

The following schedule reflects the transition from the two largest purchase contracts to the IBM 3081D purchase. It does not include the two contracts for the IBM 4341's or two contracts for terminal purchases. These four contracts will continue to be met from currently budgeted funds as planned.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Springfield Marine</u>	<u>Security Pacific</u>	<u>3081</u>	<u>Total</u>
1984	\$231,387 <sup>1</sup>	\$571,609	-----	\$802,996 <sup>1</sup>
1985	206,008	571,609	-----	777,617
1986	180,629	-----	\$596,988	777,617
1987	-----	-----	596,988	596,988
1988	-----	-----	596,988	596,988
1989	-----	-----	596,988	596,988

<sup>1</sup> Includes a \$25,379 early payment on the Springfield Marine note.

160



Southern Illinois  
University at Carbondale  
Carbondale, Illinois  
62901

*The President*  
618/453-2341

October 24, 1983

Mrs. Carol Kimmel  
3364 Park 16th Street  
Moline, Illinois 61265

Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.  
#1 Glen-Ed Professional Park  
Edwardsville, Illinois 62025

Dear Executive Committee:


Re: Requisition No. 10087-5278  
Total Estimated Expenditure over 5-year period - \$2,865,840.00  
Computing Affairs

Enclosed is Requisition No. 10087-0022, providing for the lease/purchase of computer hardware to upgrade the computing capacity for SIUC. This equipment will be purchased over a five-year period with no down payment during the first year. The first actual payment will be due in September of 1985. Total estimated expenditure for the five-year period is \$2,865,840.00.

This upgrade is in accordance with the Five Year Planning Statement, dated November 1982. It will replace the last IBM 158 computer with a much larger, state-of-the-art IBM 3081. Improved service levels and more reliable service for instruction, research and administration should result from the additional capacity and provide computer processing power for several years without changing CPUs every year.

Funds will be available from appropriated sources, pending approval of each year's operating budget.

Competitive bids will be solicited and the purchase award will be made in accordance with standard University procurement policies. We recommend approval.

Sincerely yours,  
  
Albert Somit  
President

DEPT. USE ONLY. 161

REQ. NO.
REQ. DATE



**Purchase Requisition**  
Southern Illinois University at Carbondale

UNIVERSITY ACCOUNT TITLE Computing Affairs

UNIV ACCT NO. 2-10087

CAMPUS DELIVERY

STAT.

FUND EQ

ORDER NO. <u>10087-528</u>
BUYER <u>24</u>
DATE <u>10-3-83</u>
BID OPENING DATE
DELIVERY PROMISE
SHIP VIA
SHIP FROM
TERMS
F.O.B. POINT

Use this form only when requesting the purchase of materials or services via the Purchasing Office. Give complete description as to name, size, grade, color and catalog reference. List unit as each, dozen, box, etc., and estimated cost. Do not put the recommended source of supply in the description column. Mail or deliver four copies of this form to the Purchasing Office after all approval signatures procured.

DELIVER TO	<u>Wham</u>	<u>B30</u>	DATE REQUIRED	<u>Jan. 1, 1984</u>
BUILDING		ROOM		
FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CALL	<u>Jerry Looft</u>		<u>618/453-4361</u>	
	NAME		PHONE NO.	
FISCAL OFFICER'S COPIES, ALL DOCUMENTS, TO BE SENT TO	<u>Jerry Looft</u>			
	F. O. NAME		CAMPUS ADDRESS	

TYPE	F.Y.	SOURCE OF FUNDS	MAJ. OBJ.	MIN. OBJ.	CUSAS CODE	OBLIGATION NUMBER	L.I.C.	APPROPRIATION ACCOUNT CODE NO.
<u>5084</u>	<u>80</u>		<u>24</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>1599</u>			

ITEM #	QUANTITY	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
			Page 1		
			Southern Illinois University at Carbondale desires to contract for the lease/purchase of the equipment items listed below:		
			APPROXIMATE COST \$2,865,840		
	1	each	Model 3081 - D16 IBM Processor 16MB 9571 Dimension (778x1778MM) 9903 Power 208V 60 HZ 3 Phase 9066 Pearl White  9581 Weight Reduction		
	1	each	Model 3082 - 016 Processor Controller 1850 Channel to channel adapter 9491 3081 Model Grp D Attachment 9573 Dimension (858x1550MM) 9903 Power 208V 60HZ 3 Phase		
	1	each	Model 3089 - 001 Power Unit 9066 Pearl White 9903 Power 208V 60HZ 3 Phase		
	1	each	Model 3087 - 002 Coolant Unit - Air 9066 Pearl White 9903 Power 208V 60HZ 3 Phase		
			(CONTINUED)		

REQ. NO.
REQ. DATE



**Purchase Requisition**  
Southern Illinois University at Carbondale

ORDER NO.	10087-527
BUYER	24
DATE	10-3-83
BID OPENING DATE	
DELIVERY PROMISE	
SHIP VIA	
SHIP FROM	
TERMS	
F.O.B. POINT	

UNIVERSITY ACCOUNT TITLE	Computing Affairs	UNIV ACCT NO.	2-10087
CAMPUS DELIVERY		STAT.	
		FUND	

Use this form only when requesting the purchase of materials or services via the Purchasing Office. Give complete description as to name, size, grade, color and catalog reference. List unit as each, dozen, box, etc., and estimated cost. Do not put the recommended source of supply in the description column. Mail or deliver four copies of this form to the Purchasing Office after all approval signatures procured.

DELIVER TO	Wham	B30	DATE REQUIRED	Jan. 1, 1984
BUILDING		ROOM		
FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CALL	Jerry Looft	NAME	618/453-4361	PHONE NO.
FISCAL OFFICER'S COPIES, ALL DOCUMENTS, TO BE SENT TO	Jerry Looft	F.O. NAME		CAMPUS ADDRESS

TYPE	F.Y.	SOURCE OF FUNDS	MAJ. OBJ.	MIN. OBJ.	CUSAS CODE	OBLIGATION NUMBER	LIG.	APPROPRIATION ACCOUNT CODE NO.
------	------	-----------------	-----------	-----------	------------	-------------------	------	--------------------------------

ITEM #	QUANTITY	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
			Page 2		
	1	each	Model 3278 - A02 Display Console 4641 75-Key Opr. Console Keyboard 9513 Power Cord 4.5M 9891 Non-locking Plug		
	1	each	IBM 3880 - 002 Disk Controller 9903 - 208V 3 Phase 9063 - Classic Blue 8170 - 2 Channel Switch Pair 9192 - DASD Attach 3330/3333/3350 9193 - DASD Attach 3330 w/o FC 6550		
			Additional Hardware Requirements		
			A. Equipment must be compatible with existing hardware and software.		
			B. Hardware should be field upgradability		
			C. Dyadic capability (State of the Art)		
			D. Must be equivalent to machine described. SIU reserve right to determine equivalency.		
			E. Delivery Date January, 1984.		
			(CONTINUED)		



THIS COLUMN IS FOR PURCHASING DEPT. USE ONLY. <sup>163</sup>

REQ. NO.
REQ. DATE



**Purchase Requisition**  
Southern Illinois University at Carbondale

ORDER NO.	10087-527
BUYER	24
DATE	10-3-83
BID OPENING DATE	
DELIVERY PROMISE	
SHIP VIA	
SHIP FROM	
TERMS	
F.O.B. POINT	

UNIVERSITY ACCOUNT TITLE	Computing Affairs	UNIV ACCT. NO.	2-10087
CAMPUS DELIVERY		STAT.	
		FUND	

Use this form only when requesting the purchase of materials or services via the Purchasing Office. Give complete description as to name, size, grade, color and catalog reference. List unit as each, dozen, box, etc., and estimated cost. Do not put the recommended source of supply in the description column. Mail or deliver four copies of this form to the Purchasing Office after all approval signatures procured.

DELIVER TO	Wham	B30	DATE REQUIRED	Jan. 1, 1984
	BUILDING	ROOM		
FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CALL	Jerry Looft		618/453-4361	
	NAME		PHONE NO.	
FISCAL OFFICER'S COPIES, ALL DOCUMENTS, TO BE SENT TO	Jerry Looft			
	F.O. NAME		CAMPUS ADDRESS	

TYPE	F.Y.	SOURCE OF FUNDS	MAJ. OBJ.	MIN. OBJ.	CUSAS CODE	OBLIGATION NUMBER	LIQ.	APPROPRIATION ACCOUNT CODE NO.
------	------	-----------------	-----------	-----------	------------	-------------------	------	--------------------------------

ITEM #	QUANTITY	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
			<p align="center">Page 3</p> <p>Equipment Provider Requirements</p> <p>A. Provide software and hardware pre-installation plan include cost if applicable</p> <p>B. Provide on site field engineer include cost if applicable</p> <p>C. Provide on site technical briefings where required include cost if applicable</p> <p>D. Maintenance Support local area (75 miles)</p> <p>E. Average of two/three hour return to availability from time of call</p> <p>F. Local part supply for frequently needed parts-space will be provided by SIU</p> <p>G. Cost Estimate (if applicable) for following:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">1. De-installation of IBM 158 AP</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">2. Installation of new equipment</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">3. Maintenance cost</p> <p>H. Terms of Warranty</p> <p>I. Serial number of unit</p> <p align="center">(CONTINUED)</p>		

REQ. NO.
REQ. DATE



**Purchase Requisition**  
Southern Illinois University at Carbondale

ORDER NO.	10087-527
BUYER	24
DATE	10-3-83
BID OPENING DATE	
DELIVERY PROMISE	
SHIP VIA	
SHIP FROM	
TERMS	
F.O.B. POINT	

UNIVERSITY ACCOUNT TITLE	Computing Affairs	UNIV ACCT NO.	2-10087
CAMPUS DELIVERY		STATE	
		FUND	

Use this form only when requesting the purchase of materials or services via the Purchasing Office. Give complete description as to name, size, grade, color and catalog reference. List unit as each, dozen, box, etc., and estimated cost. Do not put the recommended source of supply in the description column. Mail or deliver four copies of this form to the Purchasing Office after all approval signatures procured.

DELIVER TO	Wham	B30	DATE REQUIRED	Jan. 1, 1984
	BUILDING	ROOM		
FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CALL	Jerry Looft	618/453-4361		
	NAME	PHONE NO.		
FISCAL OFFICER'S COPIES, ALL DOCUMENTS, TO BE SENT TO	Jerry Looft			
	F.O. NAME	CAMPUS ADDRESS		

TYPE	F.Y.	SOURCE OF FUNDS	MAJ. OBJ.	MIN. OBJ.	CUSAS CODE	OBLIGATION NUMBER	L.I.Q.	APPROPRIATION ACCOUNT CODE NO.
------	------	-----------------	-----------	-----------	------------	-------------------	--------	--------------------------------

ITEM #	QUANTITY	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
		2 26 PM '83	<p>Page 4</p> <p>Vendor Condition &amp; Terms</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Period of Time - 5 years</li> <li>2. Title will remain with lessor until final payment</li> <li>3. Liability Loss - Casualty and Liability insurance maintained by SIU-C</li> <li>4. Purchase Option - Lessor should grant SIU-C the option to purchase during term of agreement. Purchase price shall be based on payments made by SIU-C as of actual date of purchase</li> <li>5. No pre-payment penalty</li> <li>6. No down payment - this requisition . . . . . \$ 0.00</li> <li>7. Four equal payments: approx. \$716,460.00 ea. yr. First payment - September, 1985</li> </ol> <p>Succeeding payments one year apart</p> <p>Total Estimated Expenditure over the five-year period . . . \$2,865,840.00</p> <p>Details of the above and any other conditions necessary for writing of contract will be considered in order to obtain a workable agreement for benefit of SIU-C and successful bidder.</p> <p>Additional information on requirements can be obtained by contacting Charles Campbell, 618/453-4361. Questions concerning bid procedures should be directed to Steve Daron 618/453-5751.</p>		

**ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVALS**

*Leo M. [Signature]*  
FISCAL OFFICER

*[Signature]*  
VICE PRESIDENT/DIRECTOR

*[Signature]*  
PRESIDENT

**BOARD OF TRUSTEES APPROVAL**

MEMBER OF EXEC. COMMITTEE

MEMBER OF EXEC. COMMITTEE

**RECOMMENDED SOURCE OF SUPPLY**

IBM  
Clayton, MO

IPS Computer Marketing Corp.  
Paramus, NJ

MIPS  
Syracuse, NY

(&15 others)

December 8, 1983

165



Southern Illinois  
University at Carbondale  
Carbondale, Illinois 62901

Computing Affairs

September 28, 1983

MEMO

TO: Neal Spilman  
Purchasing

FROM: Leo Min *LM*  
Computing Affairs

SUBJECT: Justification for IBM 3081 Computer Requisition

The attached purchase requisition details the hardware characteristics for an up-grade in the computing capacity for SIUC. This purchase will replace the last IBM 158 computer with a much larger state of the art model. The additional capacity should provide computer processing power for several years and halt the practice of changing CPU's every year.

The IBM 3081 up-grade is in complete accordance with the Five Year Planning statement of November 1982. Improved service levels and more reliable service should accrue from elimination of the last IBM 158. Instruction, research and administration will be the beneficiaries of the improved service.

Currently, IBM is offering a 40% educational discount on the IBM 3081 model D. The lower price makes it a particularly attractive purchase at this time.

LM:fw

Attachment

Mr. Elliott moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded.

Mr. Bruce Joseph, President of the Undergraduate Student Organization, SIUC, stated that SIUC has a very high turnout of graduates in computer science and a very high placement record. He stated that unless we took a step forward on this matter we would in essence be taking a step backwards. He continued that computers are the future and without student access the University will go down in a hurry. He stated that student access to the computer system was imperative to quality education at SIUC. He concluded that denying an increase in computer access would in essence deal a crippling blow to the students.

Ms. Ann Greeley, President of the Graduate and Professional Student Council, SIUC, stated that graduate and professional students, in particular, are being trained to be researchers and scholars and to that end they really needed computer capacity at SIUC. She remarked that the Board was being asked to respond to the needs of undergraduate students, graduate and professional students, faculty, and staff.

Mr. Elliott stated that he had omitted something from the Finance Committee report in this area. So as a part of the Finance Committee report, he made the following statement:

The Finance Committee was informed this morning of restitution benefitting the University from the settlement of the Bateman-Massey litigation in the amount of \$565,935.77. Included in this figure were litigation expenses including attorneys fees and other expert fees of \$73,326.77, making a net of \$492,609.00. Of this amount, \$30,000 results from the cancellation or forgiveness of a debt to Virtual Computing. The remaining \$462,609.00 was received by counsel for the University and was, in turn, paid on the Security Pacific contract. The Finance Committee was informed that the outside legal counsel for the University and the Board's Legal Counsel have both advised that this is an appropriate disposition of these funds.

Mr. Dave Berry stated that Carbondale has reached its capacity and the limits of the viability of the machines they now have. He continued that they needed a solution quickly to meet educational and research needs and with some hesitation and some trepidation he suggested that the matter be approved.

Dr. Robert Lehr, Chairman of the Graduate Council, SIUC, stated that his remarks made in the Finance Committee meeting would stand.

Dr. Herbert Donow, President of the Faculty Senate, SIUC, asked what it would cost SIUC not to go ahead with this matter. He stated that one very real cost had to do with getting funding for funded research. He continued that there were proposals that depended very much upon the computing facilities and the saturation level might well jeopardize a proposal because of the demands for a great amount of computing. He stated that new proposals probably would not even get off the ground because computer support is so vital in some areas and that it may really be a dollar cost to the University in money for which we would not be able to qualify.

Mr. Van Meter stated that decisions such as these were really quite difficult. He continued that the Board really has to take a very long view, and not a short view, in matters of real urgency such as this one. He stated that it was hard to take that long view when a short view would serve immediate need and so help to seemingly solve it. He agreed with that as far as the students are concerned because there is only one time that they are at the University, and that's the present. He stated that there had been much discussion of the matter as it related to the whole system; that the particular needs of Edwardsville were well met, the needs at the School of Medicine were adequate, and that they were not adequate at SIUC, but we could not do anything about pulling the whole together. He continued that a temporary conclusion was made which was at that moment the very best of conclusions that

the administration could come forward with. His analysis was that we had come to a change in direction because of two circumstances: one, a discount from a vendor and, two, the Bateman-Massey settlement. He stated that he did not think the total had been studied. He asked that the Board be given the various comparisons before a decision of this magnitude could be made. He concluded that we should take this as an opportunity to stand back and come forward with the necessary comparative studies to satisfy the Board, as lay people, so that when expenditures such as this are authorized that we are all satisfied that it is money well spent both for now and in the future. For the reasons outlined, he reluctantly opposed the matter.

Mrs. Kimmel stated the Committee had been asked to do an in-depth study of the needs and to bring the Board plans for short and long range plans which they did, and that outside consultants had been asked to verify their opinions. She remarked that Dr. Min had joined us as a computer expert, had given us his views, and if she had to make suggestions as what else they do, she could not do that. She stated that she must support their recommendation, which they feel is the best at this time, the most cost effective system, and the best use of our money for the growth of this University.

Mr. Norwood made the following points: the University exists only for students; we've learned a lot about computers and specifically this computer in the last month; and we need to take a more in-depth look at this matter. He stated that more computing was needed, but that we needed to get away from the crisis situation.

Mr. Rowe stated that a motion to approve had been made and seconded. He asked for a roll call vote. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry; nay, Sharon Hutcherson.

The motion was defeated by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Carol Kimmel; nay, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr.

Mr. Elliott commented that the split vote disposed of the motion and that there was nothing unhealthy about that. He stated that the Board was much closer to a consensus than the vote would indicate. He stated that the Trustees, by their comments, have indicated that more computing capacity is needed in Carbondale, but that the Trustees have expressed some dissatisfaction about the alternatives and the long range plan and the options. He asked that the administration continue to study the matter and come back with other options as other things developed in the future.

The Chair stated that there was a Current and Pending matter, for the consideration of which unanimous consent had to be given. Mr. Elliott moved that the Board consent to consider the matter. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared that there was unanimous consent to consider.

The following matter was presented:

AUTHORIZATION TO REDUCE WORKWEEK  
[AMENDMENT TO 2 POLICIES OF THE BOARD C]

Summary

The amendment to Board policy proposed by this matter would establish a standard workweek of 37-1/2 hours for the SIU System. Implementation would occur on July 1, 1984. Under the proposal, each President would present an implementation plan to the Chancellor for approval, and the Chancellor would report the approval of each plan to the Board of Trustees.

Rationale for Adoption

The length of the standard workweek has received attention at the Universities during the past several years, particularly as other governmental employers have moved from a traditional 40-hour week to shorter workweeks. The State Department of Personnel has defined the workweek for employees under its jurisdiction as 37-1/2 hours; and with the exception of the University of Illinois at Champaign-Urbana and SIU, the 37-1/2 hour workweek has been adopted by public universities in the state.

The most common reasons cited in support of a reduced workweek are improved employee morale, a reduction in inequities in compensation that exist between University employees and those state employees under the jurisdiction of the State Department of Personnel, and an improved recruiting position for the Universities, e.g., School of Medicine personnel in Springfield work in an area where all other state public employees work a 37-1/2 hour week.

Another reason for adoption relates to the overall financial condition of the state. Given the predictions of state officials that there will be limited growth in General Revenue during the next few years, the Universities' ability to provide increased non-cash benefits would be enhanced by the adoption of this policy.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

The principal disadvantages of a reduced workweek are the loss of productive work time, predicted difficulties in scheduling round-the-clock activities, and predicted increases in costs resulting from increased overtime.

#### Constituency Involvement

The proposal presented is the result of extended discussions between the Chancellor and the Presidents and consideration by the Board of Trustees' Finance Committee. During the past several months and years, several of the Universities' constituency groups have considered the question of a reduced workweek.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That 2 Policies of the Board C be amended by addition of the following:

5. Hours of Work. On July 1, 1984, the Presidents will implement plans subject to the approval of the Chancellor for reducing the standard workweek from 40 hours to 37-1/2 hours per week. Similarly, the Chancellor may implement such plans for employees under the Chancellor's supervisory authority. Each implementation plan submitted for Chancellor approval shall indicate the groups of employees and appointees affected by the plan, hours of operation, and the estimated annualized cost of implementation, with an indication of how such costs will be met. The Chancellor shall report the approval of each plan to the Board of Trustees for its information and, as required, to the Merit Board of the State Universities Civil Service System.

Mr. Elliott stated that the Finance Committee had recommended approval of this matter. He continued that this matter had been brought up for study last spring when we were looking at the possibility of a doomsday budget, and



at a time which was inappropriate to really consider any increased expenditures. He stated that that was more the reason why it was not approved at that time than the actual policy behind it. He stated that it was appropriate at this time that we move in this direction.

Mr. Elliott moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr.; nay, none.

Under Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System, Dr. Shaw introduced Sharon Buchanan McClure, the Governmental Relations Officer in the Office of the Chancellor. He stated that Mrs. McClure has worked for the Illinois Community College Board, the House Appropriations Staff, and the Lieutenant Governor and has a considerable amount of experience in governmental relations.

The following matter was presented:

NOTICE OF PROPOSED INCREASE: RESIDENCE HALL RATES  
AND APARTMENT RENTALS, SIUC  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B-17]

Summary

This matter proposes increases in residence hall rates and apartment rentals for all University Housing areas, SIUC. The proposed increases would become effective Fall Semester, 1984, for residence halls, and on July 1, 1984, for apartment rentals.

Rationale for Adoption

The proposed increases will come two years after the last increases and are necessary to partially offset projected FY-85 cost increases. The proposed increase of 3.6 percent for double occupancy room and board is appreciably less than the last increase of 11.6 percent effective for FY-83. Similarly, the proposed student apartment rentals are being increased from 4.3 to 6.1 percent as compared to the previous increases of 11.4 percent effective for FY-83.

SIUC has continued to experience relatively high levels of occupancy, thus avoiding the financial pressure of reduced revenues. While the rate of inflation has decreased in recent years, costs do continue to rise. In addition, the lack of adequate reserves continues to exert pressure on the operating budget, requiring that needed maintenance and repair be funded from current operations.

The proposed FY-85 budgets, after base adjustments, reflect anticipated increases of 12.0 percent for salaries, 11.1 percent for utilities, no increase in wages, and 6.0 percent increase in other costs. Since the salary increase (\$300,300 above the adjusted base) is the least certain item in the projected budget, a contingency plan has been devised. If the legislature and governor should approve the full amount requested by the University, then \$150,000 would be used from the repair and replacement reserve funds to offset the cost of the budgeted repair and maintenance projects. To the extent that a lesser salary increase is finally approved, less use would be made of the reserve funds. A decision of no salary increase would reduce the FY-85 budgeted use of cash and receivables by \$150,000.

Southern Hills apartment complex and Greek Row (Small Group Housing) are part of the same funded debt operation which includes the residence halls and, as such, share in their operating experience. Evergreen Terrace, Elizabeth Apartments, and University Courts are separately funded.

The projected FY-85 budget for Evergreen Terrace shows an increase of \$45,950 over the projected expense for FY-84. Of that increase, all but \$1,450 is accounted for by increased utility costs resulting from increased rates. Both FY-84 and FY-85 budgets are projecting accrual deficits to be covered from working cash and will require careful management to avoid experiencing a cash deficit. The use of \$60,000 of Revenue Bond Fee funds in FY-83 enabled this operation to pay off its accumulated deficit and operate without a rate increase in FY-84.

The FY-85 proposed budget for Auxiliary Housing reflects decreased real estate rental due to the contract for deed on Elizabeth Street apartments being paid off in October 1984. A sharp increase in special projects is budgeted to cover much needed repair and replacement projects at Elizabeth Street and University Courts. In the absence of a reserve fund, these expenses have had to come from current operations. It is intended to establish such a reserve once the current maintenance needs have been met.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

This matter represents a compromise between two opposing considerations. On the one hand, an analysis of the cash position of each of the operations would argue for a higher increase in rates and rents. On the other hand, there is a strong desire to hold housing costs as low as possible.

#### Constituency Involvement

This matter has been distributed to all campus constituencies. Members of the Housing staff have met with each of the area councils to discuss the proposed increases and the budgets on which they are based.

The University Housing Liaison Committee has been involved in the preparation of the proposed budgets. This group comprises representatives from each of the Housing areas. Full financial information has been shared with them and priorities for special projects for repair and maintenance were set with their consultation. Minutes of the October 14, 1983, meeting state as follows:

Although not desirous of paying higher housing rates but understanding the need for the rate increases, the Committee voted unanimously to support the proposed housing rate increases.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the rents and charges heretofore established for the following University Housing shall be and are hereby changed until otherwise amended to the rate shown in the following schedule, and that 4 Policies of the Board B-17 be and is hereby amended to read as follows:

17. University Housing:

- a. Schedule of rates for University-operated single student housing at SIUC effective Fall Semester, 1982 1984:

<u>Room and Board Rates</u> (double occupancy)	<u>Semester Rate</u>	
Brush Towers	\$-1,112	\$ 1,152
Thompson Point	1,112	1,152
University Park	1,112	1,152
<u>Small-Group-Housing Greek Row</u> (individual contracts)	1,112	1,152

Room Rates

<u>Small-Group-Housing Greek Row:</u>		
Building Lease (annual rate)	\$34,980	\$36,240
Individual Contract (double occupancy, semester rate)	659	679

Single Room Increment

Increment to be added to semester rate of resident desiring a single room	\$---300	\$ 310
---	----------	--------

- b. Schedule of rates for University-operated apartment rental housing (includes utilities) at SIUC effective July 1, 1982 1984:

	<u>Monthly Rate</u>	
Southern Hills		
Efficiency - Furnished	\$195	<u>\$205</u>
One-Bedroom - Furnished	215	<u>225</u>
<u>One-Bedroom - Modified</u>		<u>225</u>
Two-Bedroom - Unfurnished	224	<u>234</u>
Two-Bedroom - Furnished	232	<u>242</u>
<u>Two-Bedroom - Modified</u>		<u>242</u>
Evergreen Terrace Apartments*		
Two-Bedroom - Unfurnished	\$246	<u>\$261</u>
Three-Bedroom - Unfurnished	268	<u>284</u>
Elizabeth Apartments	\$227	<u>\$242</u>
University Courts	\$255	<u>\$290</u>

\*Rates subject to approval of the SIU Foundation (Carbondale) and the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

The Chair stated that under the rules of the Board of Trustees this matter would be held over until the next meeting. He continued that there would be a thorough discussion of this matter at the next meeting of the Board, but that if anyone has questions there will be plenty of time to contact the administrators.

Mr. Elliott announced that in the November 9 issue of the Chronicle Southern Illinois University at Carbondale was listed as number 8 among the institutions with the largest enrollment of foreign students in the United States. He stated that the faculty and administration, both past and present, should be congratulated.

Mr. Norwood announced that Ron Kelly, Director of Airport Operations, SIUC, had been awarded the Aerospace Man of the Year from United Airlines.

The Chair announced that a news conference had been scheduled immediately following the regular meeting in the Mississippi Room, and that lunch would be served in Ballroom A.

December 8, 1983

175

Mr. Norwood moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 11:40 a.m.

*Sharon Holmes*

Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary

Minutes of Special Meeting held February 8, 1984 . . . . . 178

CONTENTS

Roll Call . . . . . 180

Annual Election of Officers, Executive Committee, and Board  
Representatives, and Annual Appointments by the Chairman . . . . . 180

Trustee Reports . . . . . 183

Committee Reports . . . . . 184

    Executive Committee . . . . . 184

    Finance Committee . . . . . 184

    Architecture and Design Committee . . . . . 184

Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, November and December,  
1983, SIUC and SIUE . . . . . 185

Project Approval and Authority to Seek Architectural Services:  
Construction of an Out-of-Doors Swimming Pool, Tower Lake  
Recreation Peninsula, SIUE . . . . . 186

Plans for Noninstructional Capital Improvements, SIUE  
(Table 10.0, Fiscal Year 1984 RAMP) . . . . . 188

Approval of Minutes of the Meetings held December 7 and 8, 1983 . . . . . 191

Increase in University Housing Rental Rates, SIUE  
[Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board C-13] . . . . . 191

Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE . . . . . 196

Notice of Proposed Tuition Rate Increases for Fiscal Year 1985 . . . . . 197

Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of the SIU System . . . . . 202

    Summary of the IBHE Operating Budget Recommendations for  
    Fiscal Year 1985 . . . . . 202

    Summary of the IBHE Capital Budget Recommendations for  
    Fiscal Year 1985 . . . . . 209

Increase in Residence Hall Rates and Apartment Rentals, SIUC  
[Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board B-17] . . . . . 210

Administrative Reorganization of the Office of the Vice-President  
for Academic Affairs and Research, SIUC . . . . . 217

Notice of Proposed Increase: Revenue Bond Fee, SIUC  
[Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board B-7 and B-14] . . . . . 222

Notice of Proposed Increase and Redefinition of the Student  
Activity Fee and Students' Attorney Program Fee, SIUC  
[Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board B-2-b-2), B-7, B-12, and B-18] . . . 225

Notice of Proposed Change: Student Medical Benefit Fee, SIUC  
[Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board B-2-b, B-7, and B-13] . . . . . 227

Notice of Proposed Athletic Fee Increase and Establishment of  
an Athletic Facility Reserve Fund, SIUC  
[Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board B-7 and B-10] . . . . . 230

Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC . . . . . 234

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES  
SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY  
FEBRUARY 8, 1984

A special meeting of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University convened at 7:48 p.m., Wednesday, February 8, 1984, in the Wabash Room of the Student Center, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale. The regular Chairman and Secretary being present, the meeting was called to order. The following members of the Board were present:

Mr. David Berry  
Mr. Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
Mrs. Crete B. Harvey  
Ms. Sharon Hutcherson  
Mrs. Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
Mr. William R. Norwood  
Mr. Harris Rowe, Chairman  
Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman

The following member was absent:

Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.

Executive Officer present was:

Dr. Kenneth A. Shaw, Chancellor of the SIU System

Also present was Mr. C. Richard Gruny, Board Legal Counsel.

The Secretary reported a quorum present.

Mr. Norwood moved that the Board go into closed session to consider the appointment, employment or dismissal of employees or officers, to adjourn directly from the closed session with no action having been taken, and with no further open meeting. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion was carried by the following recorded vote:




February 8, 1984

179

Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood,  
Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr.; nay, none.

The meeting adjourned at 10:09 p.m.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carol K. Kimmel, Secretary

The regular monthly meeting of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University convened on Thursday, February 9, 1984, at 10:15 a.m., in Ballroom "B" of the Student Center, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale. The regular Chairman and Secretary being present, the meeting was called to order. The following members of the Board were present:

Mr. David Berry  
 Mr. Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
 Mrs. Crete B. Harvey  
 Ms. Sharon Hutcherson  
 Mrs. Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
 Mr. William R. Norwood  
 Mr. Harris Rowe, Chairman  
 Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman  
 Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.

Executive Officers present were:

Mr. Earl E. Lazerson, President, SIUE  
 Dr. Kenneth A. Shaw, Chancellor of the SIU System  
 Dr. Albert Somit, President, SIUC

Also present was Mrs. Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary of the Board.

The Secretary reported a quorum present.

NOTE: Copies of all background documents furnished to the Board in connection with the following matters have been placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

Mr. Rowe reported that at approximately 8:00 p.m., Wednesday, February 8, 1984, the Board of Trustees had held a special meeting at which the only business transacted was to adjourn into executive session to consider information regarding the appointment, employment or dismissal of employees or officers. He stated that the occasion was the annual evaluation of Chancellor Shaw pursuant to 4 Statutes C, and that no agenda matters for today's meeting were discussed.

The Chair announced that the first order of business was the annual election of officers, Executive Committee, and Board representatives, and

annual appointments by the Chairman. He explained that the annual election was mandated by the Bylaws of the Board and that only voting members would participate in the process.

The Chair recognized Mr. Van Meter who nominated Harris Rowe as Chairman of the Board of Trustees. No further nominations being heard, Mr. Elliott moved that nominations be closed. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed. By secret written ballot, Mr. Rowe was re-elected Chairman.

The Chair recognized Mr. Norwood who nominated A. D. Van Meter, Jr. as Vice-Chairman of the Board of Trustees. No further nominations being heard, Mr. Elliott moved that nominations be closed. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed. By secret written ballot, Mr. Van Meter was re-elected Vice-Chairman.

The Chair recognized Mrs. Harvey who nominated Carol Kimmel as Secretary of the Board of Trustees. No further nominations being heard, Mr. Elliott moved that nominations be closed. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed. By secret written ballot, Mrs. Kimmel was re-elected Secretary.

The Chair stated that the Bylaws provide that the Chairman serves as one member of the Executive Committee. He stated that at present Mrs. Kimmel and Dr. Wilkins are serving as members of the Executive Committee.

The Chair recognized Mr. Norwood who nominated Carol Kimmel and George T. Wilkins, Jr., as members of the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees. No further nominations being heard, Mrs. Harvey moved that nominations be closed and the two nominees be elected. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared that Carol Kimmel and

George T. Wilkins, Jr. had been unanimously re-elected to serve with Harris Rowe as members of the Executive Committee.

The Chair recognized Mr. Van Meter who nominated William R. Norwood to the Board of Trustees of the State Universities Retirement System. No further nominations being heard, Mr. Elliott moved that nominations be closed. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared that Mr. Norwood had been unanimously re-elected.

The Chair recognized Mrs. Kimmel who nominated Ivan A. Elliott, Jr. to the Merit Board of the State Universities Civil Service System. Mr. Van Meter moved that nominations be closed and the nominee elected. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared that Mr. Elliott had been unanimously re-elected.

The Chair stated that the Board has a new committee, the Academic Matters Committee, and a change in the Committees' structure whereby the Chairman of the Board of Trustees does not serve as an ex-officio member of all Board Committees. Without objection, the Chairman of the Board made the following appointments:

ACADEMIC MATTERS COMMITTEE

Carol Kimmel, Chairperson  
Sharon Hutcherson  
Harris Rowe

ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN COMMITTEE

A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Chairperson  
Crete B. Harvey  
George T. Wilkins, Jr.

FINANCE COMMITTEE

Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Chairperson  
David Berry  
William R. Norwood

BOARD OF DIRECTORS, SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY FOUNDATION

Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
Alternate, Carol Kimmel

BOARD OF DIRECTORS, SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY AT EDWARDSVILLE  
FOUNDATION

George T. Wilkins, Jr.

JOINT TRUSTEES COMMITTEE FOR SPRINGFIELD MEDICAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

George T. Wilkins, Jr.

ILLINOIS BOARD OF HIGHER EDUCATION

Harris Rowe  
Alternate, Carol Kimmel

Under Trustee Reports, Mrs. Kimmel reported that she had attended the Illinois Board of Higher Education meeting on January 10. She stated that there had been discussion on the budget request and the necessity of the extension of the increased income tax rate to provide money for it. She stated that the February IBHE meeting had been cancelled and a meeting with Governor Thompson would be arranged. She reported that a meeting between members of IBHE, the universities, and the Governor had taken place and that the Governor later had chosen not to support the continuation of the temporary income tax rate increase.

Mr. Norwood reported that he had attended the meeting with the Governor wherein the need for the IBHE budget was stressed. He stated that the Governor listened very carefully, but made no commitment. He stated that the Governor had said that the funding for the State Universities Retirement System presently seemed to be at 60 percent.

Mr. Elliott reported that he had attended a meeting of the Merit Board of the State Universities Civil Service System on January 24. He

stated that that was the organization meeting wherein he was elected Chairman. He reported that in one of the hearings there was a tie vote; its Statutes didn't provide language for solving the case in a tie vote, and that the matter had been continued until the next meeting.

Dr. Wilkins reported that he had attended a meeting of the Joint Trustees Committee for Springfield Medical Education Programs on January 26. He stated that they had had an excellent meeting which consisted of two parts: first, Academic Health Centers in the future and, second, the New Madrid Fault. He explained that Dean Moy was working with the people of East St. Louis and the Red Cross in developing a strategy in case of an earthquake emergency.

Under Committee Reports, Dr. Wilkins had no report for the Executive Committee.

Mr. Elliott, Chairman of the Finance Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Illinois Room of the Student Center at 8:00 a.m. that morning. He gave the following report:

The Finance Committee discussed Item L (1), Summary of the IBHE Operating Budget Recommendations for Fiscal Year 1985. The Committee received a Notice of Proposed Tuition Rate Increases for Fiscal Year 1985, Item K, which will be held over for action next month. The Committee discussed Item G, Increase in University Housing Rental Rates, SIUE, and Item M, Increase in Residence Hall Rates and Apartment Rentals, SIUC, recommended approval, and does not recommend that these items be placed on the omnibus motion. Items O, Notice of Proposed Increase: Revenue Bond Fee, SIUC; P, Notice of Proposed Increase and Redefinition of the Student Activity Fee and Students' Attorney Program Fee, SIUC; Q, Notice of Proposed Change: Student Medical Benefit Fee, SIUC; and R, Notice of Proposed Athletic Fee Increase and Establishment of an Athletic Facility Reserve Fund, SIUC, will be held over for action next month. The Committee received a report on the Student Center Food Service Contract at SIUC, discussed the progress being made, and asked that an update be given to the Committee. Quarterly audit reports were circulated, one question was asked, and it will be answered individually. The Committee received a brief update on the sick leave policy.

Mr. Van Meter, Chairman of the Architecture and Design Committee said that the Committee had met on Thursday, February 9, 1984, at 9:15 a.m., in the Illinois Room of the Student Center. He gave the following report:

Prior to our meeting, members of the Architecture and Design Committee had a tour of the central steam plant, being introduced to the necessity for installation of a manlift. At the meeting, the Committee was given a preliminary presentation on this matter. The Library Storage Facility was discussed and progress is being made in this regard. The matter of leasing Rutledge Manor, adjacent to the SIU School of Medicine in Springfield, was presented as an informational item. The Committee appreciated the information and encouraged the University to try and make this lease with a provision for possible option to purchase. The Committee heard reports on the architect selection process and the housing project and roof renovation of the Dental Clinic. The Committee moved approval of Items H, Project Approval and Authority to Seek Architectural Services: Construction of an Out-of-Doors Swimming Pool, Tower Lake Recreation Peninsula, SIUE, and I, Plans for Noninstructional Capital Improvements, SIUE, and recommends that those items be placed on the omnibus motion.

The Chair recognized two former Student Trustees in the audience, Stan Irvin and John Rendleman.

The Chair explained the procedure for the Board's omnibus motion, and he proposed that, after discussion, there would be taken up the following matters:

REPORTS OF PURCHASE ORDERS AND CONTRACTS, NOVEMBER  
AND DECEMBER, 1983, SIUC AND SIUE

In accordance with III Bylaws 1, and procedures effective April 1, 1980, summary reports of purchase orders and contracts awarded during the months of November and December, 1983, were mailed to the members of the Board in advance of this meeting, copies were placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees, and these reports are hereby submitted for information and entry upon the minutes of the Board with respect to the actions of the Executive Committee.

PROJECT APPROVAL AND AUTHORITY TO SEEK ARCHITECTURAL  
SERVICES: CONSTRUCTION OF AN OUT-OF-DOORS SWIMMING  
POOL, TOWER LAKE RECREATION PENINSULA, SIUE

Summary

This matter would grant project approval for a capital project to construct an out-of-doors swimming pool at the Tower Lake Recreation Peninsula, and for related improvements. If approved, the President, SIUE, would undertake a search process for an architectural and engineering firm for the project and subsequently recommend to the Board retention of such a firm for the project.

The proposed project would replace the present lake facilities for swimming. It would be located adjacent to the existing bathhouse and consist of a main pool measuring 82.5' x 60' with a graduated depth of 3.5' to 5', an attached diving pool measuring 24' x 24' with a constant depth of 12', and a separated wading pool measuring 20' x 20' with a graduated depth of 1' to 2'. A concrete apron would surround the pool and a cyclone fence would enclose the entire area. The pool would be equipped with appropriate ladders, diving boards, and a floating, roll-up, protective pool cover. The proposed project also includes renovation of the existing bathhouse, construction of a new office and concessions facility, and necessary site improvements.

Funding for the project will be from SIUE Student Welfare and Recreation Trust Fund monies transferred to the Southern Illinois University Income Fund. Additional appropriation authority will be necessary to meet the total cost of the project.

Rationale for Adoption

The existing Tower Lake facilities for swimming were formed by constructing an earth berm to separate the swimming area from Tower Lake proper. Chlorination of the swimming area is provided through a perforated underwater pipe running the length of the swimming area. To avoid stagnation, there is a continuous exchange of water between the swimming area and the lake proper.

Safety factors, quality of the program, and high operation and maintenance costs for the existing facilities led to development of this proposal. Costs include upkeep of the berm which is subject to erosion, murky water conditions requiring extra lifeguards, and continuous chlorination, necessary to provide the exchange of water between the swimming area and the lake proper. The existing facilities provide poor and potentially unsafe swimming conditions because of the murky water and the barely visible chlorination pipe. The chlorinated water adversely affects fish life and other aquatic organisms.

The proposed pool will result in substantial savings in operation, eliminate the problem of chlorine in the lake, and provide a safe and



attractive environment that will complement the other recreational facilities at the Tower Lake peninsula.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

The project proposal was initiated and funding recommended by the Student Senate with assistance from the Campus Recreation Office. The general concept was approved by the Physical Facilities Committee of the University Planning and Budget Council. This matter is recommended for adoption by the Director of Planning and Resource Management, the Vice-President and Provost, and the President, SIUE.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The capital project for construction of an out-of-doors swimming pool and related improvements at the Tower Lake Recreation Peninsula, SIUE, be and is hereby approved, with a budget of \$425,000 from SIUE Student Welfare and Recreation Trust Fund monies being approved for the project.
- (2) The President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville is authorized to proceed with evaluation and selection of an architectural and engineering firm for the project for recommendation to this Board.
- (3) The President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY AT EDWARDSVILLE  
 OUT-OF-DOORS SWIMMING POOL PROPOSAL  
 COMPARATIVE COST STATEMENT  
 January 20, 1984

	FY-83	
	Actual Lake Swimming Costs	Estimated Pool Operating Costs
Lifeguards	\$23,923	\$11,281
Beach Weed Control and Grading	1,542	---
Chlorine and System Maintenance	2,196	1,800
Bathhouse Maintenance	562	500
	\$28,223 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$13,581

(1) No berm maintenance nor bottom grading and sanding was done in FY-83. The cost for this work in FY-84 for the continuation of lake swimming is estimated to be \$11,000.

PLANS FOR NONINSTRUCTIONAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, SIUE  
(TABLE 10.0, FISCAL YEAR 1984 RAMP)

Summary

The Illinois Board of Higher Education is required by its enabling Act to approve university plans for noninstructional capital improvements. The IBHE's responsibility is to determine whether projects submitted for approval are consistent with the master plan for higher education and with instructional buildings provided therein. Normally, such plans are submitted to the IBHE twice a year through use of Table 10.0 in the RAMP document. Plans may be submitted at other times of the year if necessary.

This matter would grant Board approval to seek IBHE approval of SIUE plans for noninstructional capital improvements, including review and approval of the financing. Attached is a listing of the proposed projects in the format required for submission to the IBHE.

The projects proposed for submission for IBHE approval include the project for extensions to SIUE bicycle trails and the project to construct an out-of-doors swimming pool at the Tower Lake Recreation Peninsula, SIUE. The project for bicycle trails improvements was reviewed and approved by the Architecture and Design Committee of the Board at its December meeting. The project for swimming pool construction is being submitted for Board of Trustees' approval at this meeting.

Rationale for Adoption

Noninstructional capital improvement projects result from an ongoing and essential program for remodeling, rehabilitating, equipping, and, in some instances, planning for various facilities used for functions auxiliary to and supportive of the University's primary roles. Such facilities include University

housing, student centers, parking lots, athletic, recreational, and special purpose facilities, and auxiliary enterprise and service operation facilities. Funds to finance these projects come for the most part from operating revenues of the facilities and from student fees and other fees and assessments. Good business and management practice requires that an ongoing plan be maintained to keep the facilities functional and efficient.

The projects proposed herein provide for construction of an out-of-doors swimming pool, and for improvements of campus bike trails. Funding for the projects would be from SIUE Student Welfare and Recreation Trust Fund monies. The proposed sources of funds have been reviewed by University officers and the Chancellor's staff; they are consistent with accepted interpretations of the Legislative Audit Commission University Guidelines. Additional appropriation authority must be sought to fully fund the swimming pool project.

Approval of the projects at this time does not affect other Board approval requirements.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

The projects proposed have been reviewed and approved by the Student Senate, University Planning and Budget Council, the Director of Planning and Resource Management, and the Vice-President and Provost. This matter is recommended for adoption by the President, SIUE.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the plans for noninstructional capital improvements for Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, as attached, including the anticipated source of funding, be and are hereby approved for transmittal to the Illinois Board of Higher Education with a request for that Board's approval.

Table 10.0

Plans for Noninstructional Capital Improvements

System Southern Illinois University      Institution Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville  
Campus Edwardsville

<u>Project Name/Description/Budget Category</u>	<u>Anticipated Sources of Funds</u>	<u>Total Project Cost</u>
<p>Planning and construction of an out-of-doors swimming pool on the Tower Lake Recreation Peninsula (project includes a main pool 82.5' x 60' with a graduated depth of 3.5' to 5', an attached diving pool 24' x 24' with a constant depth of 12', a separated wading pool measuring 20' x 20' with a graduated depth of 1' to 2'--surrounded by an 8' concrete apron and a fence. Project also includes renovating the existing bathhouse and construction of an office and concession facility).</p> <p>A 2.2 mile extension of campus bike trails. Portions will be asphalt and others will be limestone gravel.</p>	<p>Student Welfare and Recreation Trust Fund as appropriated to SIU Income Fund.</p> <p>Student Welfare and Recreation Trust Fund as appropriated to SIU Income Fund.</p>	<p>\$425,000</p> <p>\$ 76,700</p>

Mr. Norwood moved the reception of Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, November and December, 1983, SIUC and SIUE; the ratification of Changes in Faculty-Administrative Payroll, SIUC and SIUE; and the approval of Minutes of the meetings held December 7 and 8, 1983; Project Approval and Authority to Seek Architectural Services: Construction of an Out-of-Doors Swimming Pool, Tower Lake Recreation Peninsula, SIUE, and Plans for Noninstructional Capital Improvements, SIUE (Table 10.0, Fiscal Year 1984 RAMP). The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

The following matter was presented:

INCREASE IN UNIVERSITY HOUSING RENTAL RATES, SIUE  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD C-13]

Summary

This matter proposes a \$13 base increase per single student station and a similar percentage increase for family housing. If approved, the increases would be effective September 1, 1984.

Rationale for Adoption

In FY-85, University Housing will require additional revenue to meet cost increases, to offset the loss of retained tuition funds, and to improve the housing working capital balance. These revenues will be required even though cost reduction measures, including seasonal layoff of employees and energy conservation actions, continue to be implemented.

For FY-85, the University anticipates utility cost increases of 9 percent or \$54,000. Cost increases in personal services and other support lines will total \$28,000. The University must also offset the loss of retained tuition funds of \$54,000. Rates of cost increases, where controllable by the University, have been held to the lowest feasible levels. Total personal services will increase 1 percent, building maintenance costs will increase 5 percent, and all other costs will increase 5 percent.

In 1982, the Tower Lake Residents Association recommended that the housing working capital balance show a positive balance of \$140,000 by FY-86.

University officers propose to work toward that recommendation by improving working capital by \$56,500 in FY-85. This action represents a \$128,800 improvement from the FY-84 change in working capital, which was a negative \$72,300. But even with this FY-85 improvement the projected working capital at the end of FY-85 is a negative \$85,800.

The proposed rate increase will generate approximately \$171,000. In addition, FY-85 rent receipts will increase by \$94,000 over the FY-84 level, and \$58,000 of this increase is due to the timing of the FY-84 rate increase. FY-84 rental rates were increased September 1, 1983, and therefore revenue was improved during only 10 months of the fiscal year. It is also projected that the conversion of a portion of the family apartments to single student apartments will improve occupancy rate and thus generate \$36,000 of additional rental income.

These added revenues, coupled with the actions described above, will permit the housing operation to continue maintenance programs, to meet obligations under the bonding agreement, and to improve the balance in working capital.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers recognize the impact of increased costs on access to the University. The proposed rate increase is necessary to maintain the fiscal and physical integrity of Tower Lake Housing.

#### Constituency Involvement

This proposal was initiated by the Director of University Housing, SIUE, and has been reviewed with the Tower Lake Residents Association and the Student Senate.

This matter is recommended for adoption by the Director of Supporting Services and the President, SIUE.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That, effective September 1, 1984, 4 Policies of the Board C-13 be and is hereby amended to read as follows:

13. Rental rates for the use and occupancy of University Housing on the campus of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville effective September 1, 1984, are as follows:

#### FAMILY HOUSING I

\$315 per month - two-bedroom, unfurnished apartment  
 \$365 per month - two-bedroom, furnished apartment  
 \$355 per month - three-bedroom, unfurnished apartment  
 \$410 per month - three-bedroom, furnished apartment

As a service to incoming Faculty/Staff, housing facilities will be available to them while they secure permanent housing. Faculty/Staff shall be limited to a six-month contract at a rate which is, as to each type of unit, \$100.00 higher than above.

SINGLE STUDENT HOUSING I

\$122 per month per student - two-bedroom, 4-student unit  
\$244 per month per student - two-bedroom, 2-student unit  
\$103 per month per student - three-bedroom, 6-student unit  
\$205 per month per student - three-bedroom, 3-student unit  
\$122 per month per student in double - two-bedroom, 3-student unit  
\$184 per month per student in single - two-bedroom, 3-student unit

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and is hereby authorized to take all actions necessary to implement the provisions of this resolution.

SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY AT EDWARDSVILLE  
TOWER LAKE HOUSING  
OPERATIONAL STATEMENTS COMPARISON

	Proposed Budget <u>FY-85</u>	Revised Budget <u>FY-84</u>	Actual FY-83	Actual FY-82
INCOME:				
Operational Rent	\$1,883,000	\$1,789,000	\$1,572,709	\$1,446,513
Other Operational	46,000	46,000	47,709	47,199
Lodging-Net	<u>23,000</u>	<u>23,000</u>	<u>25,292</u>	<u>22,659</u>
OPERATIONAL INCOME	<u>\$1,952,000</u>	<u>\$1,858,000</u>	<u>\$1,645,710</u>	<u>\$1,516,371</u>
EXPENSES:				
Salaries	367,000	343,000	325,969	330,897
Student Wages	123,000	144,710	141,276	133,934
Maintenance	46,000	40,500	32,598	28,339
Total Personal Services	536,000	528,210	499,843	493,170
Utilities	650,000	596,000	440,603	447,966
Bldg. Maintenance	354,000	337,000	283,247	346,022
Other Current Expenses	<u>75,000</u>	<u>71,399</u>	<u>57,759</u>	<u>80,713</u>
OPERATIONAL EXPENSES	<u>\$1,615,000</u>	<u>\$1,532,609</u>	<u>\$1,281,452</u>	<u>\$1,367,871</u>
OPERATIONAL NET INCOME	337,000	325,931	364,258	148,500
OTHER RESOURCES:				
Interest Income	18,500	18,500	25,418	28,090
Retained Tuition	<u>0</u>	<u>53,800</u>	<u>107,650</u>	<u>157,925</u>
TOTAL RESOURCES	355,500	397,691	497,326	334,515
DEBT SERVICE - NET	<u>470,000</u>	<u>470,000</u>	<u>462,182</u>	<u>455,770</u>
CHANGE IN WORKING CAPITAL BEFORE	(114,500)	(72,309)	35,144	(121,255)
INCOME DUE TO RATE INCREASE	<u>171,000</u>			
CHANGE IN WORKING CAPITAL AFTER	56,500			
WORKING CAPITAL BEGINNING	<u>(142,275)</u>	<u>(69,966)</u>	<u>(105,110)</u>	<u>16,145</u>
WORKING CAPITAL ENDING	<u>\$ (85,775)</u>	<u>\$ (142,275)</u>	<u>\$ (69,966)</u>	<u>\$ (105,110)</u>



President Lazerson explained that the matter proposed a rate increase for Tower Lake residential housing commencing September 1, 1984. He stated that the purpose of the increase was to meet operating costs for that facility for FY-85 and to make some modest progress with regard to changing the situation with regard to working capital.

Ms. Sue Hollenhorst, Tower Lake Residents Association Board Member, Mr. Jonathan Gilbert, Vice-President of Tower Lake Residents Association and Student Senator, Mr. Ray Muniz, Student Senator, and Ms. Deb Buer, President of the Student Body made comments opposing the proposed increase.

President Lazerson responded that there had been twenty-odd meetings with students on this subject since the Fall of 1983, and that he thought that some charges had been leveled against administrative officers of the University which were really uncalled for.

Mr. Rowe stated that he took serious offense when the integrity of University officials was challenged. He continued that housing must stand on its own and that other facets of the University cannot be asked to subsidize the housing operation.

Mr. Berry stated that he feared that the price level for housing was reaching a point where students would seek substitutes for Tower Lake for both cost and possibly quality reasons. For that reason, he stated that he could not support the proposal at this rent level.

Ms. Hutcherson suggested that the Board ask the administration to scale down the amount of the increase; she noted that students in the larger units have families and are the ones who can least bear the large increase for those units; and that the purpose will be defeated if students do not move into Tower Lake.

Mr. Elliott commented that utility and maintenance costs had been discussed in the Finance Committee meeting that morning. He appreciated the tenants' position but on balance felt he had to move approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded.

In response to questions from Mr. Van Meter and Mr. Norwood, President Lazerson stated that Tower Lake occupancy runs at about 97 percent capacity, that individual unit electric metering was imminent, but that implementation of individual electric bills would require 12 to 18 months of study. With regard to the first six months of FY-84, he stated that utility usage had been underestimated by about \$40,000, which was included in the adjusted budget for FY-84. He continued that consideration of an incentive to reduce utility costs was currently under consideration and might be in place by September, and that the information gathered from the meter readings would be shared with the tenants.

The motion having been duly made and seconded, Mr. Elliott called for a roll call vote. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, none; nay, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE, President Lazerson reported that research and training grants were up by about 40 percent over the prior fiscal year at this point and he thanked the faculty and staff for the work they had done. He stated that the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs had recently approved the placement of a small business development center on the Edwardsville campus which will be operated in conjunction with the local community colleges; the center would provide

one-stop service for small businesses in southwestern Illinois. He announced that the Bachelor of Science in Social Work had received continued accreditation by the Council on Social Work and that the Department of Health and Human Services had requested that the University be the interim sponsor for Operation Headstart in Madison County, based upon similar work that has been done in St. Clair County.

The following matter was presented:

NOTICE OF PROPOSED TUITION RATE INCREASES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1985

In accord with its established policies, the Illinois Board of Higher Education at its meeting of January 10, 1984, formally recommended a 6.5 percent increase in tuition at public universities for FY-85, an increase which is commensurate with the Higher Education Price Index for Fiscal Year 1983. This recommended increase will provide about \$12.2 million of a total proposed FY-85 increase amounting to about \$73.3 million for public universities.

The balance of this matter provides a display of tuition and required fees at SIU over the past thirteen years, a presentation of current and projected FY-85 academic year tuition rates, and a comment on the characteristics of the tuition increase situation. Action by the Board of Trustees on tuition levels for FY-85 is necessary as soon as possible to support appropriation procedures in the forthcoming legislative session.

SIU System Tuition and Required Fees Since Fiscal Year 1972

Since Fiscal Year 1972, tuition and required fees at SIUC and SIUE have increased by 127.5 and 106.9 percent respectively; these increases are smaller than the 147 percent increase in the Consumer Price Index for the same period. Below is a listing of the academic-year tuition for a full-time resident undergraduate student for Fiscal Year 1972 through Fiscal Year 1984 (not included are the additional costs of housing, books and supplies, travel, incidental costs of living, etc.):

<u>SIUC</u>	<u>Tuition</u>	<u>Required Fees</u>	<u>Total Tuition and Fees</u>
1971-72	\$429.00	\$145.50	\$ 574.50
1972-73	429.00	160.50	589.50
1973-74	429.00	151.50	580.50
1974-75	428.00	150.00	578.00
1975-76	428.00	171.50	599.50
1976-77	428.00	171.50	599.50
1977-78	524.00	218.50	742.50
1978-79	524.00	218.50	742.50

<u>SIUC</u>	<u>Tuition</u>	<u>Required Fees</u>	<u>Total Tuition and Fees</u>
1979-80	\$574.00	\$283.80	\$ 857.80
1980-81	622.00	320.10	942.10
1981-82	703.20	346.80	1,050.00
1982-83	810.00	400.00	1,210.00
1983-84	894.00	413.20	1,307.20

<u>SIUE</u>	<u>Tuition</u>	<u>Required Fees</u>	<u>Total Tuition and Fees</u>
1971-72	\$429.00	\$145.50	\$ 574.50
1972-73	429.00	157.00	586.00
1973-74	429.00	165.00	594.00
1974-75	429.00	165.00	594.00
1975-76	429.00	169.00	598.00
1976-77	429.00	171.00	600.00
1977-78	519.00	171.00	690.00
1978-79	519.00	171.00	690.00
1979-80	567.00	222.90	789.90
1980-81	615.00	250.35	865.35
1981-82	696.00	259.35	955.35
1982-83	798.00	296.70	1,094.70
1983-84	882.00	306.75	1,188.75

#### Fiscal Year 1985 Tuition Rates

The attached table provides a summary of the projected FY-85 academic-year tuition rates at a general 6.5 percent increase level. The recommended rates were determined by SIUC and SIUE to be those necessary to generate the tuition dollars called for by the IBHE recommended funding level in the SIU operating budget for FY-85.

#### Comment

Review of tuition increase possibilities for FY-85 leaves no really desirable alternatives. IBHE budget formulation policies mandate a tuition increase derived from cost-of-living indexes, and persistent inflation requires persistent tuition increases in the IBHE budget recommendations. Thus the 6.5 percent increase recommended by the IBHE is in practice an acceptable increase on the basis of well-established state higher education policy.

Needed are funds to support high demand curricula; programs like engineering, computer training, and business are areas for which funding must be supplied. Equipment is a vital support and teaching factor in these and other programs and it must be up-dated, renewed, or acquired to keep pace with the striking technological developments of our time. Salaries are vital and must keep pace as closely as possible with inflation and competitive pressures. Utility funding from the state is acknowledgedly insufficient to meet the known need.

The consequences of making no tuition increase at all, or even an increase below the 6.5 percent level recommended by the IBHE, are straightforward and plain: such action would constitute a violation of a policy formulated by a state agency which is supposed to guide higher education budget making. In appropriation action the legislature could seriously question such a posture. In addition, the immediate practical effect of no tuition increase would be the loss of \$1.8 million in budgeted funding for SIU.

If the level of income funding included in the IBHE budget recommendations is not achieved, then the System faces the consequences of standing still in its efforts to meet its educational responsibilities while other systems move forward in dealing with their problems because more funding is available through their tuition increase action.

Specifically, however, the alternatives for tuition increases are quite limited. In the first place, there is no perfect solution: the dollars to be generated by any tuition increase will not provide a complete answer to the problem of scarce resources unless the increase were impossibly high (or perhaps not even then). In the second place, the increase level being recommended to the three other senior systems provides a significantly helpful level of additional resources and has the added political advantage of conforming to a statewide practice in increases and thus of not drawing special attention to an exception to the pattern of the majority of the systems. Its disadvantage, of course, is the additional cost to be met by the student; that disadvantage is an unhappy and difficult problem which marks any tuition increase in a time when some increase is absolutely necessary.

These considerations lead to the conclusion that the welfare of the System and the Universities which make up the System will best be served by a tuition increase level which supplies needed resources. A tuition increase is one way The Southern Illinois University System can derive the resources necessary to meet its responsibilities to the state, to its faculty and staff, and to its students.

Table 1  
Southern Illinois University System  
Current and Projected Academic Year Tuition Rates

	<u>FY-84 Tuition Rate</u>	<u>Recommended Increase</u>	<u>FY-85 Tuition Rate</u>
Full-Time Resident Students <sup>(a)</sup>			
SIUC			
Undergraduate and Graduate	\$ 894.00	\$ 60.00	\$ 954.00
Law	1,056.00	72.00	1,128.00
Medicine <sup>(b)</sup>	3,720.00	243.00	3,963.00
SIUE			
Undergraduate	882.00	57.45	939.45
Graduate	945.00	61.50	1,006.50
Dental	1,734.00	114.00	1,848.00

(a) Non-resident students are charged three times the relevant resident rate.

(b) Current total tuition is 9 x \$1,240.00 or \$11,160.00; proposed tuition will be 9 x \$1,321.00 or \$11,889.00.

Mr. Rowe commented that the statute giving us authority to act for Southern Illinois University clearly gives the Board of Trustees the authority to fix tuition and yet under the Board of Higher Education's budgetary authority the right to fix tuition is basically taken away from us.

Chancellor Shaw stated that there was always a reluctance to go for additional tuition increase. He reported that the 6.5 percent increase was based on last year's index of inflation under a practice which had been the Board of Higher Education's policy for three or four years. He commented that this Board and the administrations have worked very hard on the tuition policy with the IBHE, and have been successful over the years in modifying a previous approach which had pegged inflation to one-third of instructional costs. He continued that the proposed increase amounts to approximately \$1.8 million, that the Governor had decided not to support an extension of the tax increase, and that funds are going to be tight.

Ms. Hutcherson stated that the report on access from the IBHE has not been done and asked that the Chancellor's Office give the Board some idea as to how many students would decline to stay at the University if the tuition was raised to a specific amount.

Chancellor Shaw responded that his office would try to give a report, but that it would be very difficult to make that kind of estimate. He stated that in his first year with SIU he was warned that a statewide study showed that if the increase in tuition was \$90 that SIUE would lose 35 percent of its students, and that did not occur. He stated that two years ago he was warned that sizable decreases in enrollment would be seen if the increase planned by the universities went through, and enrollment went up. He stated that past trends in The Southern Illinois University System would be reviewed, but that the observations made would be quite soft.

Mr. Norwood stated that there was one time where a tuition increase was defeated, but at this point it was not a very promising alternative.

Under Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System, the following report was presented to the Board for information:

SUMMARY OF THE IBHE OPERATING BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS  
FOR FISCAL YEAR 1985

The Illinois Board of Higher Education, at its January 10, 1984 meeting, approved a Fiscal Year 1985 operations and grants budget recommendation of \$1.442 billion for higher education. This budget contains increases of \$150.2 million for all components of higher education, an 11.6 percent increase from Fiscal Year 1984. Of this increase, \$73.3 million is recommended for universities, an increase of 8.1 percent from Fiscal Year 1984 appropriations of \$903.1 million. Attached as Table I is a summary of increases for all components of higher education.

Major features of the recommendations for universities include:

ADJUSTMENTS TO THE FY-1984 APPROPRIATION BASE

Comparative Cost Analysis

On the basis of an IBHE analysis of instructional costs, a negative adjustment to the budget base is recommended for each university that showed an overfunding exceeding 5 percent of that university's adjusted instructional cost base. The adjustment equals 50 percent of the overfunding amount indicated by the IBHE cost analysis. The three universities negatively affected were Governor's State, Sangamon State, and SIUE, with the SIUE negative adjustment amounting to \$205,700.

Implementation of Financial Guidelines

These adjustments reflect changes in the scope of certain self-supporting university activities, such as instructional programs purchased by a corporation or offered on a military base. Since Fiscal Year 1980, such activities have been included in the appropriations process in order to implement the Financial Guidelines of the Legislative Audit Commission. Revenues from these activities are deposited into the appropriate university Income Fund and expenditures are made from appropriations passed by the General Assembly. Adjustments to the appropriations base and to the estimated revenues to be deposited into the Income Fund are frequently required when these programs become larger or smaller. SIUC was recommended for a negative base adjustment of \$35,200.

Health Program Base Adjustments

Based upon a recent review of education for the health professions, the IBHE has adopted resolutions to the effect that medical school enrollments



should not exceed 1980 entering class levels, dental enrollments should be reduced, and future programmatic initiatives in health should be financed within the existing resources committed to these programs. Accordingly, the Fiscal Year 1985 recommendations include base adjustments to existing programs in medicine and dentistry to reflect enrollment decreases and to help finance programmatic improvements.

The negative base adjustment of \$225,000 to the Southern Illinois University School of Medicine reflects a reduction of previously financed enrollment increases. A negative adjustment of \$200,000 is recommended for the Southern Illinois University School of Dental Medicine to reflect savings of \$125,000 from planned enrollment decreases and \$75,000 from program cost reductions. A negative adjustment of \$250,000 is recommended for the University of Illinois-Chicago Health Sciences Center campus for savings due to decreases in dental enrollments.

#### Cost Recovery Instruction

For FY-85 a positive base adjustment of \$692,600 is required for cost and salary increases and some program expansion in cost recovery instructional programs. Of the above amount SIUE will receive \$73,100 and SIUC will receive \$619,500. This adjustment is recommended on the basis of the agreement reached between SIU and IBHE relative to the funding of cost recovery programs for FY-85. This agreement is in accordance with the Board of Trustees' policy on Cost Recovery Instruction approved by the Board at its meeting of November 10, 1983.

#### Transfer of Audit Function

While there is no base adjustment for the SIU System connected with the transfer of the audit function from the campuses to the Office of the Chancellor, there are adjustments reflected in the budgets of the campuses and the Office of the Chancellor. Negative adjustments of \$255,900 and \$144,300 are recommended for SIUC and SIUE, respectively, while the Office of the Chancellor is recommended for a positive adjustment of \$400,200. This transfer implements the action of the Board of Trustees of November 10, 1983, pertaining to the Reorganization of the System Internal Audit Function.

### RECOMMENDED INCREASES

#### Salary Increases

The 7 percent (on 95 percent of base) being recommended will, hopefully, offset inflation projected for FY-85 and begin to restore the purchasing power and relative competitiveness of university salaries lost in Fiscal Year 1984 and earlier years.

#### General Cost

The increase being recommended for this portion of universities' budgets is 5 percent. This percent will offset currently projected cost increases for FY-85, but it will not address our backlog of needs accumulated in recent years.

### Utility Cost

The cost increases recommended for specific campuses are based upon projected increases of 8 percent for natural gas, 10 percent for electricity, and 6 percent for all other utility expenditures. The average utility rate increase recommended for each university varies depending upon the mix of fuels used at each campus. The range of increases was between 8.3 and 9.3 percent.

Utility cost continues to be a major concern for SIU. Even with the efforts made to conserve energy usage, we have had to reallocate funds from other university activities to meet rising utility costs.

### Library Cost

A 10 percent increase above FY-84 budgets is recommended to help restore some of the purchasing power lost in recent years due to rapid cost increase for library materials for SIUC and SIUE. This will mean respective increases of \$209,900 and \$43,800.

### Program and Institutional Support

A total of \$14,952,000 is recommended to improve the quality of academic programs at public universities. Of this total, \$2,859,300 is being recommended for SIU. Table IV details the distribution of these funds. Higher education faces several challenges that threaten quality: (1) rapidly expanding enrollments in certain fields of study are severely straining available resources in these areas; (2) new technological advances have resulted in an increasing rate of obsolescence of instructional and research equipment in many disciplines; and (3) adverse economic conditions have caused universities to defer maintenance and improvements in the facilities and laboratories that are necessary to support strong academic programs. Qualified faculty and staff and up-to-date facilities and equipment are the fundamental ingredients of quality. The specific budget recommendations for program and institutional support are intended to restore or achieve adequate funding for these basic ingredients in high priority program areas.

### SUMMARY TABLES

The attached Table II summarizes the IBHE FY-85 operating budget recommendations for each university system; Table III summarizes these recommendations for SIUC, SIUE, and the Office of the Chancellor.

In addition to the \$178.9 million being recommended for SIU as shown on Table III, one other item of interest shown on Table I should be noted. Retirement contributions are being recommended at the gross benefit payout level, resulting in a \$14.0 million recommendation for SIU. To achieve funding at the gross benefit payout level for all of higher education will require an estimated increase of \$50.2 million above the 1984 appropriation level.

TABLE I  
SUMMARY OF IBHE FY-85 OPERATING BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

Resource Requirements (in thousands of dollars)	FY-84 Appropriations	FY-85 Recommendations	Recommended Increases	
			Dollar	Percentage
Universities	\$ 903,133.7	\$ 976,442.8	\$ 73,309.1	8.1
Community Colleges	156,573.4	171,449.8	14,876.4	9.5
Illinois State Scholarship Commission	143,268.6	152,461.6	9,193.0	6.4
Financial Assistance to Private Institutions	11,495.0	12,255.0	760.0	6.6
Health Education Grants	17,703.9	18,728.7	1,024.8	5.8
Institutional Grants Program	1,989.3	2,676.8	687.5	34.6
Board of Higher Education	<u>1,641.0</u>	<u>1,743.0</u>	<u>102.0</u>	<u>6.2</u>
Subtotal - Educational Operations and Grants	\$1,235,804.9	\$1,335,757.7	\$ 99,952.8	8.1
Retirement	55,880.6	106,096.0	50,215.4	89.9
Total	\$1,291,685.5	\$1,441,853.7	\$150,168.2	11.6
<u>Source of Appropriated Funds</u>				
General Revenue Fund	\$1,056,991.7	\$1,192,506.3	\$135,514.6	12.8
Income Fund	182,014.3	194,200.9	12,186.6	6.7
Other	52,679.5	55,146.5	2,467.0	4.7

TABLE II  
SUMMARY OF IBHE FY-85 OPERATING BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS BY UNIVERSITY SYSTEM

(in thousands of dollars)	Board of Governors	Board of Regents	Southern Illinois University	University of Illinois	Total
FY-84 Appropriations	\$146,440.0	\$157,733.8	\$165,671.0	\$433,288.9	\$903,133.7
Adjustments to FY-84 Approp. Comparative Cost Adjustment	(148.3)	(255.5)	(205.7)		(609.5)
Implementation of Financial Guidelines	25.8 (38.1)	223.1 (9.4)	(35.2)	(116.3)	97.4 (38.1)
FY-85 Tuition Revenue Decrease					(67.6)
Tuition Waiver Adjustment	(58.2)				692.6
Cost Recovery Instruction			692.6		
Health Programs Reduction			(425.0)		(675.0)
Total Adjustments	(218.8)	(41.8)	26.7	(250.0)	(600.2)
Percent of Recommended Adjustments	(.1)	-		(.1)	(.1)
Recommended Increases					
Salary	7,438.9	7,751.6	7,987.8	22,008.3	45,186.6
General Cost	1,015.7	1,366.0	1,276.8	2,743.1	6,401.6
Utility Cost	787.9	904.5	811.6	3,082.9	5,586.9
Library Cost	239.3	332.5	253.7	552.5	1,378.0
Comparative Computer Center Cost	152.3				152.3
O & M For New Buildings				251.9	251.9
Program and Institutional Support	2,310.7	2,379.0	2,859.3	7,403.0	14,952.0
Total Increases	11,944.8	12,733.6	13,189.2	36,041.7	73,909.3
Percent of Recommended Increases	8.2	8.0	8.0	8.3	8.2
Net Change from FY-84	11,726.0	12,691.8	13,215.9	35,675.4	73,309.1
Percent of Net Change	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.2	8.1
FY-85 Appropriation Recommendation	\$158,166.0	\$170,425.6	\$178,886.9	\$468,964.3	\$976,442.8

TABLE III

SUMMARY OF IBHE FY-85 OPERATING BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS  
FOR SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY

(in thousands of dollars)	SIUC	SIUE	Office of the Chancellor	Total
FY-84 Appropriations	\$116,850.7	\$ 47,819.1	\$1,001.2	\$165,671.0
Adjustments to FY-84 Approp.				
Comparative Cost Adjustment		(205.7)		(205.7)
Implementation of Financial Guidelines	(35.2)			(35.2)
Cost Recovery Instruction	619.5	73.1		692.6
Reduction of Planned Medical Enrollments	(225.0)			(225.0)
Cost Reduction-Dental Enrollments		(200.0)		(200.0)
Transfer of Audit Function	(255.9)	(144.3)	400.2	-0-
Total Adjustments	103.4	(476.9)	400.2	26.7
Percent of Recommended Adjustments	.1	(1.0)	40.0	-0-
Recommended Increases				
Salary	5,577.9	2,365.1	44.8	7,987.8
General Cost	895.0	365.4	16.4	1,276.8
Utility Cost	527.9	283.7		811.6
Library Cost	209.9	43.8		253.7
Program and Institutional Support	2,186.8	672.5		2,859.3
Total Increases	9,397.5	3,730.5	61.2	13,189.2
Percent of Recommended Increases	8.0	7.8	6.1	8.0
Net Change from FY-84	9,500.9	3,253.6	461.4	13,215.9
Percent of Net Change	8.1	6.8	46.1	8.0
FY-85 Appropriation Recommendation	\$126,351.6	\$51,072.7	\$1,462.6	\$178,886.9
Source of Appropriated Funds				
General Revenue	\$ 95,079.2	\$40,170.1	\$1,462.6	\$136,711.9
Income Fund	31,272.4	10,902.6		42,175.0
Total	\$126,351.6	\$51,072.7	\$1,462.6	\$178,886.9

TABLE IV  
 SUMMARY OF IBHE FY-85 PROGRAM AND INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT  
 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY

(in thousands of dollars)	<u>SIUC</u>	<u>SIUE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Engineering & Technology	\$ 768.0	\$200.0	\$ 968.0
Computer Science	60.0	53.2	113.2
Computing Affairs	300.0	134.7*	434.7
Nursing Outreach		84.6	84.6
Law	100.0		100.0
Equipment Replacement	178.6		178.6
Support Costs	280.2**		280.2
Repair and Maintenance/Permanent Improvements	<u>500.0</u>	<u>200.0</u>	<u>700.0</u>
	\$2,186.8	\$672.5	\$2,859.3

\*Financed through the reduction of tuition retained for auxiliary enterprises.

\*\*A total of \$263.5 of this amount is financed through the reduction of tuition retained for auxiliary enterprises.

Dr. Shaw stated that the Summaries of the IBHE Operating Budget and Capital Budget Recommendations for Fiscal Year 1985 had been discussed in the Finance Committee meeting, and he had no further comments on them.

Dr. Shaw reported that he had attended the Governor's State of the State address on February 8. He stated that it was very clear that the Governor understood the direct relationship between quality higher education and the state's economy. He commented that the most specific item in the Governor's address was his recommendation for \$15 million for coal research, recognizing the economic importance of finding a way of getting sulfur out of southern Illinois coal. Finally, he reported that the Governor had said that it was his expectation that the higher education budget would be at least at last's year's level.

Dr. Shaw announced that in the last report on the application of residency status tuition policies it had been indicated that a recommendation would be made at this meeting on whether to continue or discontinue it; however, that information was still being gathered and analyzed and a matter would instead be provided in March. In conclusion, he stated that the Illinois Education Labor Relations Act, Public Act 83-1014, had become effective on January 1, and Governor Thompson had appointed the three-member labor board last week. He explained that the Act governs collective bargaining activities by educational employers and employees and extends for the first time collective bargaining rights to the faculty and staff.

In response to an inquiry by Mr. Rowe, Dr. Shaw explained that it was his understanding that the Board would be the designated employer and offered assurance that the Board will be kept apprised of things as they occur. He stated that the board appointed by the Governor has not yet

promulgated any guidelines, and that Mr. Britton, Executive Assistant to the Chancellor, has been asked to coordinate planning activities related to implementing the act.

The following matter was presented:

INCREASE IN RESIDENCE HALL RATES AND APARTMENT RENTALS, SIUC  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B-17]

Summary

This matter proposes increases in residence hall rates and apartment rentals for all University Housing areas, SIUC. The proposed increases would become effective Fall Semester, 1984, for residence halls, and on July 1, 1984, for apartment rentals.

Rationale for Adoption

The proposed increases will come two years after the last increases and are necessary to partially offset projected FY-85 cost increases: The proposed increase of 3.6 percent for double occupancy room and board is appreciably less than the last increase of 11.6 percent effective for FY-83. Similarly, the proposed student apartment rentals are being increased from 4.3 to 6.1 percent as compared to the previous increases of 11.4 percent effective for FY-83.

SIUC has continued to experience relatively high levels of occupancy, thus avoiding the financial pressure of reduced revenues. While the rate of inflation has decreased in recent years, costs do continue to rise. In addition, the lack of adequate reserves continues to exert pressure on the operating budget, requiring that needed maintenance and repair be funded from current operations.

The proposed FY-85 budgets, after base adjustments, reflect anticipated increases of 12.0 percent for salaries, 11.1 percent for utilities, no increase in wages, and 6.0 percent increase in other costs. Since the salary increase (\$300,300 above the adjusted base) is the least certain item in the projected budget, a contingency plan has been devised. If the legislature and governor should approve the full amount requested by the University, then \$150,000 would be used from the repair and replacement reserve funds to offset the cost of the budgeted repair and maintenance projects. To the extent that a lesser salary increase is finally approved, less use would be made of the reserve funds. A decision of no salary increase would reduce the FY-85 budgeted use of cash and receivables by \$150,000.

Southern Hills apartment complex and Greek Row (Small Group Housing) are part of the same funded debt operation which includes the residence halls and, as such, share in their operating experience. Evergreen Terrace, Elizabeth Apartments, and University Courts are separately funded.



The projected FY-85 budget for Evergreen Terrace shows an increase of \$45,950 over the projected expense for FY-84. Of that increase, all but \$1,450 is accounted for by increased utility costs resulting from increased rates. Both FY-84 and FY-85 budgets are projecting accrual deficits to be covered from working cash and will require careful management to avoid experiencing a cash deficit. The use of \$60,000 of Revenue Bond Fee funds in FY-83 enabled this operation to pay off its accumulated deficit and operate without a rate increase in FY-84.

The FY-85 proposed budget for Auxiliary Housing reflects decreased real estate rental due to the contract for deed on Elizabeth Street apartments being paid off in October 1984. A sharp increase in special projects is budgeted to cover much needed repair and replacement projects at Elizabeth Street and University Courts. In the absence of a reserve fund, these expenses have had to come from current operations. It is intended to establish such a reserve once the current maintenance needs have been met.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

This matter represents a compromise between two opposing considerations. On the one hand, an analysis of the cash position of each of the operations would argue for a higher increase in rates and rents. On the other hand, there is a strong desire to hold housing costs as low as possible.

#### Constituency Involvement

This matter has been distributed to all campus constituencies. Members of the Housing staff have met with each of the area councils to discuss the proposed increases and the budgets on which they are based.

The University Housing Liaison Committee has been involved in the preparation of the proposed budgets. This group comprises representatives from each of the Housing areas. Full financial information has been shared with them and priorities for special projects for repair and maintenance were set with their consultation. Minutes of the October 14, 1983, meeting state as follows:

Although not desirous of paying higher housing rates but understanding the need for the rate increases, the Committee voted unanimously to support the proposed housing rate increases.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the rents and charges heretofore established for the following University Housing shall be and are hereby changed until otherwise amended to the rate shown in the following schedule, and that 4 Policies of the Board B-17 be and is hereby amended to read as follows:

## 17. University Housing:

- a. Schedule of rates for University-operated single student housing at SIUC effective Fall Semester, 1984:

<u>Room and Board Rates</u> (double occupancy)	<u>Semester Rate</u>
Brush Towers	\$ 1,152
Thompson Point	1,152
University Park	1,152
Greek Row (individual contracts)	1,152

Room Rates

Greek Row:	
Building Lease (annual rate)	\$36,240
Individual Contract (double occupancy, semester rate)	679

Single Room Increment

Increment to be added to semester rate of resident desiring a single room	\$ 310
--	--------

- b. Schedule of rates for University-operated apartment rental housing (includes utilities) at SIUC effective July 1, 1984:

	<u>Monthly Rate</u>
Southern Hills	
Efficiency - Furnished	\$205
One-Bedroom - Furnished	225
One-Bedroom - Modified	225
Two-Bedroom - Unfurnished	234
Two-Bedroom - Furnished	242
Two-Bedroom - Modified	242
Evergreen Terrace Apartments*	
Two-Bedroom - Unfurnished	\$261
Three-Bedroom - Unfurnished	284
Elizabeth Apartments	\$242
University Courts	\$290

\*Rates subject to approval of the SIU Foundation (Carbondale) and the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

UNIVERSITY HOUSING - FUNDED DEBT  
Comparative Statement of Actual and Estimated Income and Expense  
(Accrual Basis)

	Actual FY-81	Actual FY-82	Actual FY-83	Projected FY-84	Proposed FY-85
INCOME					
Operations	\$10,415,795	\$11,326,489	\$12,895,582	\$13,023,100	\$12,773,000
Investments	60,763	213,547	173,051	167,500	168,000
TOTAL INCOME	<u>\$10,476,558</u>	<u>\$11,540,036</u>	<u>\$13,068,633</u>	<u>\$13,190,600</u>	<u>\$12,941,000</u>
EXPENSE					
Salaries	\$ 2,132,478	\$ 2,239,963	\$ 2,411,250	\$ 2,584,700	\$ 2,801,000
Wages	1,035,733	899,717	1,001,231	977,700	957,000
Less USOE	(214,361)	(207,700)	(202,889)	(210,000)	(210,000)
Food	1,881,280	1,922,428	1,889,555	2,090,000	2,169,000
Utilities	1,607,506	1,544,676	1,661,011	1,791,000	1,950,000
Maintenance - Building, Grounds & Equipment	1,194,396	1,888,899	1,641,066	1,770,100	1,833,000
Special Projects	344,473	238,235	1,153,668	901,500	919,000
Equipment Purchases	155,284	183,153	300,659	257,100	258,000
(Less Use of Reserves)	(115,918)	(392,229)	-0-	-0-	(150,000)
Administration	677,003	822,545	876,787	970,600	1,029,000
Other Current Expenses	1,320,902	1,309,304	1,395,900	1,479,100	1,542,000
Special Current Expenses	-0-	57,456	2,391	39,000	4,000
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	<u>\$10,018,776</u>	<u>\$10,506,447</u>	<u>\$12,130,629</u>	<u>\$12,650,800</u>	<u>\$13,102,000</u>
Debt Service	2,042,257	1,950,274	1,831,740	1,832,000	1,832,000
TOTAL EXPENSE	<u>\$12,061,033</u>	<u>\$12,456,721</u>	<u>\$13,962,369</u>	<u>\$14,482,800</u>	<u>\$14,934,000</u>
Use of Retained Tuition	\$ 563,000	\$ 422,000	\$ 281,000	\$ 141,000	\$ -0-
Use of Bond Retirement Fee	854,000	735,000	986,000	1,091,000	1,267,000
SURPLUS (DEFICIT)	<u>\$ (167,475)</u>	<u>\$ 240,315</u>	<u>\$ 373,264</u>	<u>\$ (60,200)</u>	<u>\$ (726,000)</u>
Use of Cash and Receivables Revenue from Proposed Rate Increases	\$ 167,475	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ 60,200	\$ 315,000
	<u>-0-</u>	<u>-0-</u>	<u>-0-</u>	<u>-0-</u>	<u>411,000</u>

FAMILY HOUSING - FHA OF 1968  
EVERGREEN TERRACE - SIUC

Comparative Statement of Actual and Estimated Income and Expense  
(Accrual Basis)

	Actual FY-81	Actual FY-82	Actual FY-83	Projected FY-84	Proposed FY-85
<b>INCOME</b>					
Rentals	\$714,564	\$816,064	\$869,512	\$880,300	\$880,300
Miscellaneous	12,755	32,408	34,072	32,500	34,500
Revenue Investments	5,510	5,117	3,273	6,000	6,000
<b>TOTAL INCOME</b>	<u>\$732,829</u>	<u>\$851,589</u>	<u>\$906,857</u>	<u>\$918,800</u>	<u>\$920,800</u>
<b>EXPENSE</b>					
General Administration	\$ 33,830	\$ 42,368	\$ 45,685	\$ 45,900	\$ 49,400
Office	128	305	678	700	700
Collection	112	-0-	-0-	200	-0-
External Auditing	5,406	5,495	6,135	3,500	3,700
Salaries	7,424	7,177	7,302	7,100	8,000
Wages (Net of USOE)	26,017	26,481	22,383	33,000	33,000
Supplies	2,779	12,911	2,999	5,700	6,000
Utilities	271,495	327,503	372,343	401,000	445,500
Telephone	1,084	925	892	1,100	1,200
Lodging Allowance	2,016	2,425	2,953	3,000	3,200
Equipment	1,693	7,685	599	5,500	4,800
Building Maintenance	117,614	150,564	146,946	184,200	188,100
Equipment Maintenance	2,493	2,836	913	1,800	1,900
Grounds Maintenance	8,405	12,564	12,974	22,900	13,700
Refuse Removal	10,440	12,807	14,938	16,900	17,900
Insurance	3,586	2,676	2,570	2,550	2,700
Transportation	2,226	3,120	2,834	3,000	3,200
Doubtful Accounts	16,600	21,608	20,942	17,000	18,000
Interest & Principle	171,833	171,833	171,833	171,833	171,833
Replacement Reserves	14,969	14,969	23,077	22,454	22,454
<b>TOTAL EXPENSE</b>	<u>\$700,150</u>	<u>\$826,252</u>	<u>\$858,996</u>	<u>\$949,337</u>	<u>\$995,287</u>
Use of Bond Retirement Fee			60,000		
<b>SURPLUS (DEFICIT)</b>	<u>\$ 32,679</u>	<u>\$ 25,337</u>	<u>\$107,861</u>	<u>\$(30,537)</u>	<u>\$(74,487)</u>
Use of Cash and Receivables	-0-	-0-	-0-	30,537	21,487
Revenue from Proposed Rate Increases	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	53,000

UNIVERSITY HOUSING - AUXILIARY HOUSING

Comparative Statement of Actual and Estimated Income and Expense  
(Accrual Basis)

	Actual FY-81	Actual FY-82	Actual FY-83	Projected FY-84	Proposed FY-85
<b>INCOME</b>					
Operations	\$64,233	\$65,711	\$80,313	\$81,350	\$81,350
Interest	-0-	-0-	828	800	800
<b>TOTAL INCOME</b>	<u>\$64,233</u>	<u>\$65,711</u>	<u>\$81,141</u>	<u>\$82,150</u>	<u>\$82,150</u>
<b>EXPENSE</b>					
Salaries	\$ 3,213	\$ 3,370	\$ 3,538	\$ 3,700	\$ 4,100
Wages	4,227	4,634	4,648	4,650	4,650
Utilities	12,641	15,258	15,736	18,400	20,400
Maintenance - Buildings, Grounds & Equipment	5,173	12,316	13,169	12,800	13,600
Special Projects	22,633	16,606	2,313	9,250	30,150
Equipment Purchases	2,485	3,012	1,034	9,200	4,000
Administration	3,416	3,858	4,271	5,100	5,400
Other Current Expenses	3,610	2,681	4,173	5,100	5,500
Special Current Expenses	-0-	-0-	-0-	800	1,800
Real Estate Rental	13,140	13,140	9,855	13,150	600
<b>TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE</b>	<u>\$70,538</u>	<u>\$74,875</u>	<u>\$58,737</u>	<u>\$82,150</u>	<u>\$90,200</u>
<b>SURPLUS (DEFICIT)</b>	<u>\$(6,305)</u>	<u>\$(9,164)</u>	<u>\$22,404</u>	<u>\$ -0-</u>	<u>\$(8,050)</u>
Revenue from Proposed Rate Increases					<u>\$ 8,050</u>

Mr. Elliott moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded.

In response to a question by Mr. Berry, Dr. Bruce Swinburne, Vice-President for Student Affairs, SIUC, stated that the students on the Housing Liaison Board had voted unanimously in favor of this. He explained that the Housing Liaison Board included student representatives from all housing areas.

Mr. Bruce Joseph, President of the Undergraduate Student Organization, SIUC, stated that the matter did have student input and was presented with their consent. He offered thanks to Sam Rinella for keeping housing rates low.

Ms. Ann Greeley, President of the Graduate and Professional Student Council, SIUC, stated that the change in amount was much smaller than that proposed at Edwardsville. President Lazerson responded that Carbondale has a mandatory bond retirement fee for its students while Edwardsville does not.

In response to questions from Ms. Hutcherson, Dr. Swinburne stated that Evergreen Terrace and Southern Hills are filled to 97 or 98 percent at this time. He commented that in the total budget for the Evergreen Terrace operation that close to 50 percent of the total rent was for utilities. He stated that the idea of individual meters has been considered, but that the students would have to bear the cost of the meters and reading the meters.

The motion being duly made and seconded, Mr. Rowe asked for a roll call vote. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry; nay, Sharon Hutcherson. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

The following matter was presented:

ADMINISTRATIVE REORGANIZATION OF THE OFFICE OF THE  
VICE-PRESIDENT FOR ACADEMIC AFFAIRS AND RESEARCH, SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes an administrative reorganization of the Office of the Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, together with certain corollary actions. Specifically, it proposes: (1) the allocation of General Academic Programs to the Associate Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research (Planning); (2) allocation of the Master of Science program in Community Development, the Division of Continuing Education, the Office of International Education, and the Office of Regional Research and Service to the Associate Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research (Services); and (3) elimination of the positions of Dean of Continuing Education, Dean of General Academic Programs, and Director of International Education. This reorganization is described in Part III of Academic Affairs and Research: A Planning Document (September, 1983).

Rationale for Adoption

This matter proposes the first formal action by the Board of Trustees necessary to implement the recommendations contained in the Planning Document. Although approval of the reorganization is an initial step by the Board of Trustees, the recommended actions are the result of a planning and priority setting process that has been underway at SIUC for three years. That process has involved the formal review of all academic programs by the Committee on Academic Priorities and the review by the Non-Academic Program Priorities Committee of those non-academic programs for which administrative responsibility rests in the Office of the Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research. The reorganization proposed here has been preceded by two other actions recommended by the President and approved by the Chancellor: (1) upgrading the position of Assistant to the Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research to Associate Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research (Services); and (2) changing the titles of two existing Associate Vice-Presidents from, respectively, (Personnel) to (Budget) and (Services) to (Planning).

General Academic Programs, to be retitled Undergraduate Academic Services, is presently responsible for the following programs and activities: General Education, the Center for Basic Skills, Special Majors, Talent Search, University Studies, the University Honors Program, the Pre-major Advisement Center, Special Supportive Services, and Upward Bound. Allocation of this unit to the Associate Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research (Planning) will provide an administrative focus for general undergraduate curricula and related matters. The noted officer is already responsible for the Office of Admissions and Records, the Clinical Center, the University Museum, Army Military Science, and Aerospace Studies. The for-credit instructional responsibilities of the Center for Basic Skills, assumed by that unit in Summer, 1977, have been reassigned to the Departments of Curriculum, Instruction and Media, English, Mathematics, and Speech Communication. This change was made:

(1) to comply with the IBHE mandate that remedial courses should not carry graduation credit; and (2) to assure that special admission students will not have to carry a course overload in order to be eligible for federal and state financial assistance. This arrangement will be in effect for at least three years, during which period it will be monitored and annually evaluated by the Director of Undergraduate Academic Services. During this time, the Center for Basic Skills will continue its academic support activities, e.g., advisement and counseling, performance monitoring, etc., and will also offer a non-credit structured study skills course that will be required for all special admission students. By the end of Fiscal Year 1986, the effectiveness of all aspects of this arrangement will be assessed and a determination made either to retain or to change it. The results of the assessment and the determination will be reported to the Chancellor and the Board.

Allocation of the units noted above in the Summary to the Associate Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research (Services) will provide an administrative focus to the University's credit and non-credit outreach and public service programs and activities, and would allow for the development of initiatives in international community development. The College of Human Resources, where the Master of Science program in Community Development is presently housed, would continue institutional responsibility for teaching, research, and service in all other areas of human resources programming. Other changes now under consideration within the College will be proposed at a later date.

Elimination of the positions of Dean of Continuing Education, Dean of General Academic Programs, and Director of International Education is expected to provide some savings in administrative costs.

The proposed reorganization will not negatively affect any tenured faculty or the academic progress of any students, and will not diminish the level of services now being provided.

An organization chart depicting the structure of the Office of the Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research after the reorganization is included as Attachment A.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

A consideration against adoption is that the reorganization results in the upgrading of a junior level position within the Office of the Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research. Nonetheless, the reorganization provides for the elimination of three senior level positions within Academic Affairs and a substantial cost savings.

#### Constituency Involvement

All recommendations contained in the Planning Document are the result either directly or indirectly of the work of the Committee on Academic Priorities and the Non-Academic Priorities Committee. The work of these committees has emphasized broad-based constituency involvement. The Planning



Document was developed by the Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research in response to the efforts of these Committees.


Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the Office of the Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, SIUC, be and is hereby reorganized by: (1) allocating responsibility for General Academic Programs to the Associate Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research (Planning); (2) allocating responsibility for the Master of Science Program in Community Development, the Division of Continuing Education, the Office of International Education, and the Office of Regional Research and Service to the Associate Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research (Services); and (3) eliminating the positions of Dean of Continuing Education, Dean of General Academic Programs, and Director of International Education; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale is authorized to take those actions necessary to implement that reorganization without further action of this Board.

ATTACHMENT A

Proposed Organization of the Office of the Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, SIUC



President Somit stated that the administrative reorganization had grown out of two fairly large scale studies conducted at Carbondale over the past two years: the study conducted by the Committee on Academic Priorities and the study conducted by the Committee on Non-Academic Priorities. He stated that Dr. John Guyon, Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, SIUC, could address this.

In response to questions from Mr. Norwood, Vice-President Guyon suggested savings in the range of \$150,000 to \$200,000, and stated that the fundamental alteration in the Center for Basic Skills was the transfer of courses to academic departments as mandated by the IBHE. He added that under the revised organization, after one semester's experience, it appeared to be performing as adequately as the previous structure. Clarification of administrative structure and procedure was then offered to Ms. Hutcherson and Mr. Norwood in response to questions, and Dr. Guyon cited the graduation rate of CBS students as some evidence of the program's success. Ms. Hutcherson then inquired why the whole of General Academic Programs was being delegated to different academic departments when the IBHE mandate only covered certain courses. The reply was that the functions other than the courses were still under one officer, now called Director rather than Dean. Ms. Hutcherson and Mr. Norwood both suggested that the Board should have been consulted prior to the changes in the CBS, rather than after the fact; Chairman Rowe pointed out that the Board had been aware of activity on this subject for more than two years and the ongoing effectiveness would be monitored. Mr. Van Meter suggested that the new Board Academic Matters Committee could monitor effectiveness, and Mr. Norwood suggested that this function should be the Committee's top priority because he felt that the move was an error and not

done with care for student outcomes. President Somit then detailed the effort and care which had led to the administration's proposal.

The motion having been made by Mr. Van Meter and duly seconded, Mr. Norwood called for a roll call vote. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry; nay, Sharon Hutcherson. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, William R. Norwood.

The following matters were presented:

NOTICE OF PROPOSED INCREASE: REVENUE BOND FEE, SIUC  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B-7 AND B-14]

Summary

This matter proposes the last of the planned increases in the Revenue Bond Fee by the amount of \$6.60 per semester for each full-time student (prorated by the hour for part-time students). With this action, all of the funds authorized by tuition retention to support the SIUC Student Center and University Housing operations will have been replaced by funds generated from this fee, making available an equivalent amount of funding in support of high priority academic program needs.

Rationale for Adoption

Tuition collected at the state universities in Illinois is deposited into the state treasury; however, by past action of the legislature, SIU is authorized to retain a portion of its tuition collections in support of the funded debt operations. This authorization, granted to attain favorable bond ratings and to support the operations, is incorporated in the covenants of the bond resolution.

More recently, the Illinois Board of Higher Education has imposed budgetary constraints on the University to eliminate the use of these retained tuition funds. Initially, the IBHE effected the reallocation of one-third of the retained tuition with a plan to reallocate the remaining two-thirds over a six-year period. In response to this situation, the Board of Trustees took action at its March 8, 1979 meeting to establish the Bond Retirement Fee (subsequently renamed the Revenue Bond Fee) at \$26.40 for FY-80 to compensate for the loss of the use of retained tuition.

The initial fee generated funds equivalent to the amount lost through the elimination of retained tuition as of the first year of the six-year plan. It was stated at that time that continuation of the planned

reallocation would require annual increases of \$6.60 in the fee for the next five years. Four of those increases have subsequently been approved, bringing the fee to \$52.80 for FY-84. This matter seeks approval of the last \$6.60 increase for FY-85.

The reduction in the amount of tuition funds retained for support of funded debt operations results in a proportionate increase in the amount of the general operating budget funded from the Income Fund. This increase has been earmarked for the enhancement of academic programs, thus serving to benefit all students. The result is, in effect, the same as if a tuition increase, or some portion of it, had been identified for the same purpose.

The reallocation of another \$264,000 of retained tuition to support academic programs is already scheduled for FY-85. The loss of these funds to the funded debt area must be made up in some way. The alternative to the recommended increase is to raise the Student Center Fee by \$3.00 and raise the annual housing charges by \$30.00 for FY-85. There are several reasons why this alternative was not chosen initially and is not now recommended:

1. Since the general student body benefits from the increased support for academic programs, those students living on campus should not pay a disproportionate amount of that cost.
2. Since the full use of retained tuition, paid by all students, is still legally pledged to the support of the funded debt operations, there is value in having a clearly defined source of funding, paid by all students, budgeted as a replacement for the reallocated use of those retained tuition funds. Should the use of retained tuition be restored, the Revenue Bond Fee would be discontinued.
3. Since housing facilities, once paid for, are sometimes converted to general University needs--such as Anthony Hall and Woody Hall--there is some merit in the concept of all students sharing some of the capital cost for these facilities.
4. Some forms of financial aid, such as ISSC grants, will pay the cost of general fees, but will not pay housing costs.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

The administration continues to feel that the use of retained tuition should be restored to the funded debt operations as authorized by existing legislation. However, the University is constrained to operate under the budgetary authority of the IBHE and consequently must take action which at times it would otherwise find undesirable.

### Constituency Involvement

This matter has been shared with all University constituencies. The problem and the proposed solution are not new and have been open to wide discussion during the past five years. The student constituencies, along with the administration, have opposed this method chosen by the IBHE to reallocate funds from auxiliary enterprises to academic programs. However, the reality of this action has been recognized.

The Graduate Student Council took action on September 29, 1982, reluctantly supporting the previous \$6.60 increase in this fee; noting, however, that the fee itself is a misplaced tuition increase. This position was reaffirmed in action taken November 9, 1983, to support reluctantly the \$6.60 increase.

The Undergraduate Student Organization took action on October 14, 1982, to approve the current method of assessing this fee. This was reaffirmed by action taken December 7, 1983, to support this final \$6.60 increase.

### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That effective with the collection of fees for Fall Semester, 1984, 4 Policies of the Board B-7 and B-14 be amended to read as follows:

7. General student fee schedule approved by the Board for Southern Illinois University at Carbondale in effect Fall Semester, 1983 1984.

<u>Hours</u>	<u>Revenue Bond Fee</u>	
1	\$-4.40	\$ 4.95
2	8.80	9.90
3	13.20	14.85
4	17.60	19.80
5	22.00	24.75
6	26.40	29.70
7	30.80	34.65
8	35.20	39.60
9	39.60	44.55
10	44.00	49.50
11	48.40	54.45
12 or more	52.80	59.40

14. Revenue Bond Fee. Commencing with the Fall Semester, 1983 1984, a Revenue Bond Fee of ~~\$52.80~~ 59.40 per semester shall be collected from each full-time student. Monies collected from this fee shall be ~~deposited with the University Treasurer~~ used to compensate for the ~~partial~~ loss of available retained SIUC tuition fees pledged in support of the Southern Illinois University Housing and Auxiliary Facilities System Revenue

~~Bonds--Proceeds-from-this-fee-shall-be-applied-toward-these purposes-within-the-Student-Center-and-University-Housing-for which-retained-tuition-funds-are-authorized, and, in such sums as may be approved by the Board from time to time, applied to the support of Evergreen Terrace Family Housing. All-use-of revenue-from-this-fee-shall-be-restricted-to-those-revenue bond-operations-located-on-the-Carbondale-campus.~~

NOTICE OF PROPOSED INCREASE AND REDEFINITION OF THE STUDENT  
ACTIVITY FEE AND STUDENTS' ATTORNEY PROGRAM FEE, SIUC  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B-2-b-2), B-7,  
B-12, AND B-18]

Summary

This matter proposes to fund the Students' Attorney Program from a flat \$2.00 Students' Attorney Program Fee rather than from a \$1.75 portion of the prorated Student Activity Fee. The Student Activity Fee will remain at the current level of \$8.55 per semester. The net result is a \$2.00 increase in fees, with \$1.75 of the increase going to support programs funded by the two student constituency groups and \$.25 added to the Students' Attorney Program.

Rationale for Adoption

This matter is proposed in response to actions taken by the Undergraduate Student Organization (USO) and by the Graduate and Professional Student Council (GPSC). The proposal has two purposes: (1) to increase funding for the Students' Attorney Program, and (2) to increase funding for student programs and organizations funded through USO and GPSC.

The Students' Attorney Program was originally funded from a flat \$1.00 refundable fee. Effective Summer Session, 1980, that fee was discontinued and the program was funded from a \$1.00 portion of the Student Activity Fee, which is nonrefundable and is prorated by credit hour. Effective Fall Semester, 1981, the Students' Attorney Program portion of the Student Activity Fee was increased to \$1.75. This proposal reestablishes the Students' Attorney Program Fee as a flat nonrefundable charge of \$2.00.

By leaving the Student Activity Fee at \$8.55, the funds generated from the \$1.75 portion will be available for allocation by USO and GPSC. Requests for funding by recognized student organizations have greatly exceeded the funds available for several years. This modest increase will assist in meeting more of those requests.

One of the strengths of SIUC is the large number of active student organizations whose activities contribute greatly to campus life as well as provide diverse opportunities for direct student involvement. Funding of these opportunities is also an investment in student retention.

### Considerations Against Adoption

If the Students' Attorney Program Fee was to be defined as a pro-rated fee rather than as a flat fee, it could remain a part of the Student Activity Fee, thus avoiding the need to establish a separate fee. As a separate fee, this will not be paid by such scholarships as the Illinois Veteran's Scholarship which pays only tuition and Student Activity Fees.

### Constituency Involvement

This matter originated from action taken by the Undergraduate Student Organization and by the Graduate and Professional Student Council.

This matter has been shared with all other constituency groups.

### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That effective with the collection of fees for Fall Semester, 1984, 4 Policies of the Board B-2-b-2), B-7, B-12, and B-18 be amended to read as follows:

2. SIUC School of Medicine.
  - b. General Fees. Students enrolled in the School of Medicine shall pay the general student fees approved by the Board (4 Policies of the Board B-7) with the following exceptions:
    - 2) ~~Effective Summer-Session, 1982~~ Effective Fall Semester, 1984, students attending the Springfield Medical Facility shall pay a Student Medical Benefit Fee of \$40.00 per semester and shall be exempt from paying:
      - a) Student Recreation Fee
      - b) Student Center Fee
      - c) Athletic Fee
      - d) Revenue Bond Fee
      - e) Students' Attorney Program Fee
7. General student fee schedule approved by the Board for Southern Illinois University at Carbondale in effect Fall Semester, ~~1983~~ 1984.



<u>Hours</u>	<u>Students' Attorney Program Fee</u>
1	\$2.00
2	<u>2.00</u>
3	<u>2.00</u>
4	<u>2.00</u>
5	<u>2.00</u>
6	<u>2.00</u>
7	<u>2.00</u>
8	<u>2.00</u>
9	<u>2.00</u>
10	<u>2.00</u>
11	<u>2.00</u>
12 or more	<u>2.00</u>

12. Student Activity Fee. Commencing with the Fall Semester, 1984, a Student Activity Fee of \$8.55 per semester shall be collected from each full-time student to be used in support of student activities and welfare.

- a. Funds generated from a ~~\$5.85~~ 7.60 portion of this fee shall be used for support of student organizations and programming.
- b. ~~Funds generated from a \$1.75 portion of this fee shall be used to support the budget of the SIUC Students' Attorney Program.~~
- e b. Funds generated from a \$.95 portion of this fee shall be used to support a program of campus safety.
- d c. That portion of the funds generated from the full \$8.55 fee paid by the medical students at the Springfield facility shall be allocated to support student organizations and programming at that location.

18. Students' Attorney Program Fee. Commencing with the Fall Semester, 1984, a Students' Attorney Program Fee of \$2.00 shall be collected from each student to support the budget of the Students' Attorney Program.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CHANGE: STUDENT MEDICAL BENEFIT FEE, SIUC  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B-2-b, B-7, AND B-13]

Summary

This matter seeks to increase the \$60.00 Student Medical Benefit Fee for Fall Semester and Spring Semester and to reduce the fee for Summer Session beginning Fall Semester, 1984. The new fee would be \$75.00 for Fall Semester, \$75.00 for Spring Semester, and \$45.00 for Summer Session. The fee for students enrolled in the School of Medicine would be \$50.00 for Fall Semester, \$50.00 for Spring Semester, and \$30.00 for Summer Session.

### Rationale for Adoption

At the end of FY-81 the Student Health Program had a cash balance of \$114,042, but in FY-82 the Student Health Program experienced a \$321,598 operating deficit after four years without a fee increase. Thus, at the end of FY-82 the cash balance was a negative \$207,556. The inflationary pressures during that time were partially offset by a decline in student usage of medical services, despite slight increases in enrollment. While the cause of this decline is not known, an increase in programmatic emphasis on wellness and the opening of the Student Recreation Center both took place during this time.

Beginning in FY-83 the SMB Fee was increased from \$45.00 to \$60.00 which helped to result in an operating surplus of \$223,199 for that year. This surplus offset the beginning negative cash balance and left a positive cash balance of \$15,643 at the end of FY-83.

Rather than seek a fee increase for FY-84, the Student Health Policy Board and the Student Health Program administration established economies resulting in \$246,046 in program savings and cuts. In addition, upon the recommendation of the Undergraduate Student Organization and the Student Health Policy Board, a \$3.00 charge was instituted for each visit to the Health Service. These measures, coupled with a positive cash balance, will permit completion of FY-84 in the black.

For FY-85, a \$410,349 deficit is projected which would be offset by funds generated from the proposed increase. Consideration was given to making changes in the coverage and to other program reductions. In a joint conference with the student leadership it was decided to leave the program as presently defined, with two exceptions. First, the \$3.00 office visit charge will be assessed for only the first eight visits per semester. Second, the maximum amount a student will have to pay for off-campus benefits (emergency, hospitalization, ambulance, etc.) will be \$200 per semester.

The differential ~~fee for Summer Session~~ is proposed on the recommendation of the student constituencies. The rationale is that the period covered in the Summer Session (May 24-August 10, 79 days) is less than that included in the Fall Semester (August 11-December 31, 143 days) and in the Spring Semester (January 1-May 23, 143 days). These inclusive dates pertain to students who are continuously enrolled. Coverage for new students begins 72 hours prior to the beginning of first day classes and will be extended for those students.

Students enrolled in the School of Medicine are assessed a lower amount on the basis that general medical care is provided through the School of Medicine.

Adoption of this fee adjustment is recommended as supporting a more economical health benefit package than is otherwise available. This health care program encourages good health among students and minimizes the risk of high medical expense.

### Considerations Against Adoption

Any increase in fees should be viewed with concern to the extent it becomes a barrier to educational opportunity. On the other hand, consideration could be given to an even larger fee increase in return for an increase in coverage benefits.

### Constituency Involvement

This matter has been shared with all constituency groups. In addition, the Student Health Policy Board (comprised of six undergraduate and three graduate appointees) has considered this matter in depth and has recommended a \$33.00 increase over the year for a continuously enrolled student.

The Undergraduate Student Organization recommended a \$40.50 increase over the year for a continuously enrolled student, along with an adjustment in the off-campus medical benefit from 90 percent coverage to 100 percent.

The Graduate and Professional Student Council recommended a \$33.00 increase over the year for a continuously enrolled student.

A joint conference with student leadership, referred to above, was held to resolve the different recommendations and attendant minor considerations in the definition of the benefits.

### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That effective with the collection of fees for Fall Semester, 1984, 4 Policies of the Board B-2-b, B-7, and B-13 be amended to read as follows:

#### 2. SIUC School of Medicine.

- b. General Fees. Students enrolled in the School of Medicine shall pay the general student fees approved by the Board (4 Policies of the Board B-7) with the following exceptions:
  - 1) Effective Summer-Session, 1982 Fall Semester, 1984, students attending the Carbondale campus shall pay a Student Medical Benefit Fee of \$40.00 per semester \$50.00 for the Fall Semester, \$50.00 for the Spring Semester, and \$30.00 for the Summer Session.
  - 2) Effective Summer-Session, 1982 Fall Semester, 1984, students attending the Springfield Medical Facility shall pay a Student Medical Benefit Fee of \$40.00 per semester \$50.00 for the Fall Semester, \$50.00 for the Spring Semester, and \$30.00 for the Summer Session, and shall be exempt from paying:

- a) Student Recreation Fee
- b) Student Center Fee
- c) Athletic Fee
- d) Revenue Bond Fee

7. General student fee schedule approved by the Board for Southern Illinois University at Carbondale in effect Fall Semester, 1983 1984.

<u>Hours</u>	<u>SMB<sup>1</sup></u>	
1	\$60-00	<u>\$75.00</u>
2	60-00	<u>75.00</u>
3	60-00	<u>75.00</u>
4	60-00	<u>75.00</u>
5	60-00	<u>75.00</u>
6	60-00	<u>75.00</u>
7	60-00	<u>75.00</u>
8	60-00	<u>75.00</u>
9	60-00	<u>75.00</u>
10	60-00	<u>75.00</u>
11	60-00	<u>75.00</u>
12 or more	60-00	<u>75.00</u>

<sup>1</sup>Rate shown applies to Fall and Spring Semesters only; Summer Session rate is \$45.00.

13. Student Medical Benefit Fee. Commencing with the Summer-Session, 1982 Fall Semester, 1984, a Student Medical Benefit Fee of \$60-00 per-semester \$75.00 for Fall Semester, \$75.00 for Spring Semester, and \$45.00 for Summer Session shall be collected from each student. Authorization is granted for the expenditure of monies collected from this fee for a comprehensive program of health care for students at SIUC.

A refund of the Student Medical Benefit Fee is authorized to the extent that the student is able to demonstrate duplicate medical coverage and such proof is presented to the Administrative Director of the Student Health Program or his designate. Similarly, a refund is authorized for those students precluded from use of the Student Health Program by unusual or extreme geographic considerations.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED ATHLETIC FEE INCREASE AND ESTABLISHMENT  
OF AN ATHLETIC FACILITY RESERVE FUND, SIUC  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B-7 AND B-10]

Summary

This matter seeks a total increase of \$8.00 in the Athletic Fee from the current full-time student rate of \$30.00 for each semester to a proposed rate of \$38.00 for each semester. This matter further proposes to establish a

Repair, Replacement, and Modernization Reserve Fund for facilities and equipment used for programs of intercollegiate athletics. A \$2.00 portion of the proposed fee increase is to be set aside to fund this reserve.

#### Rationale for Adoption

The Athletic Fee was last increased four years ago.

A \$2.00 portion of the increase is proposed to fund a reserve for the repair, replacement, and modernization of facilities and equipment used for programs of intercollegiate athletics. For example, based on its rated life and condition, the astroturf in McAndrew Stadium should be replaced in a few years. At present there is no plan for or identified source of funding for this purpose. The funding of such a reserve is simply a matter of sound fiscal practice and long-range planning. In the past, funding for athletic facilities has come primarily from state resources, usually in the form of end-of-the-year fall-out dollars. In recent years such funds have been budgeted for other purposes.

The remaining \$6.00 of the proposed increase is needed to support the operating budget of the present athletics program. Even with the proposed increase, the expense budget for FY-85 will be \$167,183 less than the budget for FY-83 and will have even less effective buying power due to continuing inflationary increases of five to six percent per year. Thus, the purpose of the increase is not to expand the athletics budget, but to maintain the present program at a reduced level of funding. Obviously, expenditures will have to be reduced from the current program to meet this proposed budget level. While a larger increase could be readily justified, this proposal seeks to remain sensitive to the financial burden being placed upon students.

The necessity for the proposed increase has arisen from several factors which have reduced the sources of funding. Chief among these are a reallocation of state funds, a projected decline in enrollment, and a net loss of guaranteed income and expense. To meet other University priorities, and upon the advice of the University's Budget Advisory Committee, \$100,000 of state support is being reallocated from athletics as a result of the fiscal pressures from insufficient general revenue funds available to the University.

Enrollment projections for FY-85 indicate a revenue loss of \$57,500 in student fees as compared to FY-84 budgeted fee revenue.

Contracts for guarantees for men's basketball and football games yielded a net revenue of \$145,500 in FY-83. Due to the scheduling, this net revenue will drop to \$48,500 in FY-84 and will be a net expense of \$46,000 in FY-85, when guarantees paid will exceed guarantees received. This consideration alone contributes to a revenue reduction of \$192,000 over the two-year period from FY-83 to FY-85. The reduction will be partly offset by projected increases in other self-generated funds, including an increase in ticket sales due to an increased number of home basketball games. The net effect is a projected decline of \$112,814 in men's self-generated revenue from FY-83 to FY-85. With the contracts already signed for FY-86 through FY-88, self-generated revenue is anticipated to increase in those years.

While use was made of working cash to balance the budgets for FY-83 and FY-84, the proposed budget for FY-85 is balanced without such funds. The \$114,924 of projected working cash represents a prudent safeguard against unanticipated circumstances.

An important but sometimes overlooked aspect of financing the inter-collegiate athletics program at SIUC is the cost of achieving equity between the men's and women's programs. For example, while the funding for the men's program increased a total of 8 percent from FY-79 to FY-84, funding for the women's program more than doubled with a 108 percent increase. That is, while the women's program increased an average of 21.6 percent annually over that period, the men's program increased an average of only 1.6 percent annually. When considering the effects of inflation, it is quite apparent that the men's program has experienced a significant decrease in purchasing power.

During FY-83 the University was subjected to a comprehensive Title IX investigation which found the University to be in general compliance. The funding to meet the equity requirements over the past several years has had to come from within the athletics program and primarily at the expense of the reallocation of resources from the men's program to the women's program. Consequently, the point to be understood is that the proposed fee increase has been necessitated not only by the reduction of revenue, but also by the costs of providing equity.

The alternatives to the proposed fee increase are: increased support from other sources, reduction of the current program or some combination of the two. Given the budget constraints placed on the University, it would be difficult to reallocate other University funds to athletics or to seek new state funding. Efforts have been and are being made to seek increased funding from external sources with some success. However, such support is not adequate to meet the new pressure.

Further reduction of the program cannot be accomplished without the elimination of some sports. That decision would entail several considerations, among which are: Title IX equity compliance, opportunity for student achievement and involvement, and the impact on the University's attractiveness to students. Certainly one consideration is the positive recognition brought to the University by athletics.

The challenge faced by the University is to find ways in which this successful and diverse program of intercollegiate athletics can continue to provide opportunities for student accomplishment and institutional recognition.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

The increase in the cost of attending the University is always a concern to the extent that it might limit access to an education. The cost must be weighed against the benefits derived from the programs being supported by that cost increase.

Constituency Involvement

This matter has been shared with all constituency groups. Much discussion and sharing of financial information has taken place with the Intercollegiate Athletic Advisory Committee and with representatives of the student constituencies.

The Graduate and Professional Student Council, on October 26, 1983, considered proposals for fee increases ranging from \$5.00 to \$10.00 which were defeated by closely split votes. A resolution opposing a \$10.00 fee increase with free student admission to athletic events was passed by a vote of 22 in favor, 19 opposed, and 3 abstaining. A resolution in favor of a \$5.00 fee increase failed by a vote of 19 in favor, 22 opposed, and 1 abstaining. Following that, a motion to oppose a \$5.00 increase failed by a vote of 20 in favor, 21 opposed, and 2 abstaining. A motion to conduct a referendum failed by a vote of 3 in favor, 28 opposed, and 4 abstaining. The final action taken was a motion to support no fee increase for FY-85. This was passed by a vote of 20 in favor, 19 opposed, and 3 abstentions.

The Undergraduate Student Organization has subsequently taken action in opposition to the proposed fee increase. The Executive Committee of the Undergraduate Student Organization proposed a resolution supporting an \$8.00 fee increase, but the matter was voted down by the Student Senate by a vote of 4 in favor, 13 opposed, with 3 abstaining.

The Intercollegiate Athletics Advisory Committee has taken action to support a \$10.00 fee increase by a vote of 12 in favor and 3 opposed.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That effective with the collection of fees for Summer Session, 1984, 4 Policies of the Board B-7 be amended to show the following schedule for the Athletic Fee:

<u>Hours</u>	<u>Athletic Fee</u> <sup>2</sup>	
1	\$-2.50	\$ 3.17
2	5.00	6.33
3	7.50	9.50
4	10.00	12.67
5	12.50	15.83
6	15.00	19.00
7	17.50	22.17
8	20.00	25.33
9	22.50	28.50
10	25.00	31.67
11	27.50	34.83
12 or more	30.00	38.00

<sup>2</sup>Effective Summer Session, 1984.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That 4 Policies of the Board B-10 be amended to read as follows:

10. Athletic Fee. In order to provide a regularized source of funding for Men's and Women's Intercollegiate Athletic programs at Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, a fee of ~~\$30.00~~ 38.00 is established for each full-time student for each semester in attendance beginning with the Summer Session, ~~1984~~ 1984.
  - a. Funds generated ~~by the~~ from a \$36.00 portion of this fee shall be distributed in a manner to provide equal opportunities for male and female athletes.
  - b. Funds generated from a \$2.00 portion of this fee shall be used to establish an "Intercollegiate Athletics Repair, Replacement, and Modernization Reserve," which shall have a maximum level to be determined by application of the Legislative Audit Commission University Guidelines. These reserve funds shall be used for repair, replacement, and modernization of facilities and equipment used for programs of intercollegiate athletics.

Mr. Rowe explained that these items would be held over until next month. He urged anyone that had questions to get those questions to the administration.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC, President Somit reported that Stanley McAnally, President of the SIU Foundation, had stated that it had received the following gifts: \$200,000 gift of machine tool equipment from Colt Industries, \$250,000 gift of computing equipment from the City National Bank of Murphysboro, and a formal agreement with a Chinese philanthropist has been entered into wherein he has contributed slightly in excess of \$200,000 to underwrite scholarships for Chinese students from Hong Kong and Taiwan. He stated that this person has asked to remain anonymous.

Mr. Norwood reported that Bob Spackman, athletic trainer and friend of the University, had passed away.



February 9, 1984

235

The Chair announced that a news conference had been scheduled immediately following the regular meeting in the Mississippi Room, and that lunch would be served in Ballroom A.

Mr. Van Meter moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The meeting adjourned at 12:05 p.m.

*Sharon Holmes*

---

Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary

## CONTENTS

Roll Call . . . . .	238
Trustee Reports . . . . .	238
Committee Reports . . . . .	240
Executive Committee . . . . .	240
Finance Committee . . . . .	240
Academic Matters Committee . . . . .	240
Architecture and Design Committee . . . . .	241
Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, January, 1984, SIUC and SIUE . .	241
Project Approval and Selection of Architect: Elevator Installation, Central Steam Plant, SIUC . . . . .	242
Selection of Architect: Improvements to Dormitory Fire Alarm System, SIUC . . . . .	243
Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contracts: Construction of a Fuel Alcohol Production Facility, SIUC . . . . .	244
Recommendation for Honorary Degree, SIUC (Fred Basolo) . . . . .	246
Change in Architectural and Engineering Firm Selection, Energy Management System, Heat Runabout Recovery System, and Localized Fume Hood Controls, Springfield Medical Facility, SIUC . . . . .	247
Waiver of Annual Meeting and Election of Directors, IEC . . . . .	249
Recommendation of Architect: Roof Replacements, SIUE . . . . .	250
Approval of Minutes of the Meetings held February 8 and 9, 1984 . . . . .	251
Increase in Revenue Bond Fee, SIUC [Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board B-7 and B-14] . . . . .	251
Increase and Redefinition of the Student Activity Fee and Students' Attorney Program Fee, SIUC [Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board B-2-b-2), B-7, B-12, and B-18] . . .	254
Change in Student Medical Benefit Fee, SIUC [Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board B-2-b, B-7, and B-13] . . . . .	257
Athletic Fee Increase and Establishment of an Athletic Facility Reserve Fund, SIUC [Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board B-7 and B-10] . . . . .	262

Current and Pending Matter: Project Approval, Selection of Architect, and Authority for Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contracts: Remodeling 913 North Rutledge, Springfield Medical Campus, SIUC . . . . . 272

Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC . . . . . 274

Tuition Rate Increases for Fiscal Year 1985 [Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board B and C] . . . . . 274

Notice: Residency Status Tuition Policies . . . . . 280

Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of the SIU System . . . . . 283

    Report on Application for Appeal of David Wagman, SIUC . . . . . 283

Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE . . . . . 284

The regular monthly meeting of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University convened on Thursday, March 8, 1984, at 10:30 a.m., in the Ballroom of the University Center, Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville. The regular Chairman and Secretary being present, the meeting was called to order. The following members of the Board were present:

Mr. David Berry  
Mr. Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
Mrs. Crete B. Harvey  
Ms. Sharon Hutcherson  
Mrs. Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
Mr. William R. Norwood  
Mr. Harris Rowe, Chairman  
Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman  
Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.

Executive Officers present were:

Mr. Earl E. Lazerson, President, SIUE  
Dr. Kenneth A. Shaw, Chancellor of the SIU System  
Dr. Albert Somit, President, SIUC

Also present was Mrs. Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary of the Board.

The Secretary reported a quorum present.

NOTE: Copies of all background documents furnished to the Board in connection with the following matters have been placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

Under Trustee Reports, Mr. Norwood reported that he had attended the State Universities Retirement System meetings on February 9 and 10. He reported that the unfunded liability, which is around \$1,640,000,000, had been discussed and cautioned that we were still in trouble as far as the unfunded liabilities were concerned. He stated that a letter regarding what the unfunded indebtedness means to different participants would be forthcoming in 4 to 5 weeks. He reported that the State Universities Retirement System was going to have an electronic fund transfer with the Springfield Marine Bank which will allow for monies to be deposited directly into recipients' accounts

and will be a safety feature. He continued that the SURS had hired several different investment managers and it was happy with the way things were going.

Mr. Norwood reported that he had attended the SIUC commencement for 75 Malaysian students from the Aircraft Power Plant class. He explained that SIUC had started a program with the Malaysian government two years ago to train air force personnel to make Malaysia self-sufficient in the maintenance area since they are getting into 20th century-type aircraft. He continued that there will be a total of 570 students who will go through the entire program. He explained that in order to work on American aircraft the graduates took FAA exams, that about 40 of the exam grades had come back, and on the three parts of the test the lowest grade on any part by any student was 85 percent, while 70 percent was the passing score.

Mrs. Kimmel reported that she had attended the Illinois Board of Higher Education meeting on March 6. She noted that Chancellor Shaw had given a report on the financial situation in the Finance Committee meeting. She stated that members of the IBHE had had a satisfactory discussion with the Governor before these allocations were made and considering the economic state of the state it was the best that could have been hoped for under the circumstances, so the allocations were approved as presented. She continued that disapproving these allocations at this time would not solve the problems even though the needs of the universities were slipping behind. She stated that there had been conversations regretting the required increases in tuition. She reported that the greatest amount of time had been spent on the academic review of the recommendation that the degree courses in History, Sociology, and Anthropology be eliminated at Chicago State. She continued that the most important thing that came out of that discussion was not whether a program

would be eliminated or not, but the fact that IBHE is an advisory board and in the final analysis the governing board must make the decision.

Mr. Van Meter reported that he had attended the luncheon and reception in honor of the SIUC football team at the Governor's Mansion on March 2 on behalf of the Board of Trustees. He commented that he was extremely proud of the conduct of the students in attendance.

Under Committee Reports, Mrs. Kimmel had no report for the Executive Committee.

Mr. Elliott, Chairman of the Finance Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Oak Room of the University Center at 8:00 a.m. that morning. He gave the following report:

The Committee reviewed the Summary of the FY-85 Operating Budget Status. The Committee recommends approval to the Board on Items S, Tuition Rate Increases for Fiscal Year 1985; H, Increase in Revenue Bond Fee, SIUC; I, Increase and Redefinition of the Student Activity Fee and Students' Attorney Program Fee, SIUC; J, Change in Student Medical Benefit Fee, SIUC; and K, Athletic Fee Increase and Establishment of an Athletic Facility Reserve Fund, SIUC. The Committee received Notice: Residency Status Tuition Policies, which will be presented next month for action. The Committee was not happy in recommending all these approvals in fees. This was discussed this month as well as last month. This is the way things go in an inflationary economy; not much can be done about it. That's not to say that this is done in a flip manner of any sort. It is certainly a matter of great concern to the Committee to try and hold down fees and hopefully the Committee's input to the administration has been such that work is done before these things get to the Board level and to the Committee for final action so that these fees are held down to the minimum. The Committee feels that these fees are held to the minimum in spite of the fact that everything seems to go up. We received an update on planning for computing which indicated that the time schedule would provide for additional information at the April meeting and action by the middle of the year, probably July. SIUC presented a plan for computing activities to improve and update its computing. This was discussed and endorsed with the Committee's approval for the Executive Committee to act on it if it sees fit. It was noted that the system internal audit function plan approved by the Board was implemented on March 1 of this year; it is now in place.

Mrs. Kimmel, Chairperson of the Academic Matters Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Oak Room of the University Center at 8:50 a.m. that morning. She gave the following report:

The Committee's first meeting was fundamentally trying to establish parameters of what we see the role of this Committee. We are pleased to have this opportunity to talk about what we see as the role of the Committee. Dr. Webb has been most helpful in getting this Committee organized and he has worked with the Association of Governing Boards in gathering information as it sees this role. We are pleased that the other Board members joined us in this meeting this morning as we are establishing ourselves and we ask the other members and certainly any faculty people to send to us recommendations of things that they would like for this Committee to consider. We expect to be reviewing planning documents as the RAMP documents are being developed. We see our role fundamentally as learning the processes and the planning procedures and being able to understand these things before they actually come to the floor of the Board so that we can work in a more intelligent way when we receive these documents. The only item for action that we considered this morning was the recommendation for the honorary degree at SIUC. This item was approved by the Committee and recommended that it be put on the omnibus motion. It is Item O on the Board's agenda.

Mr. Van Meter, Chairman of the Architecture and Design Committee said that the Committee had met on Thursday, March 8, 1984, at 9:40 a.m., in the Oak Room of the University Center. He gave the following report:

The Committee recommends approval and placement on the omnibus motion of the following items: L, Project Approval and Selection of Architect: Elevator Installation, Central Steam Plant, SIUC; M, Selection of Architect: Improvements to Dormitory Fire Alarm System, SIUC; N, Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contracts: Construction of a Fuel Alcohol Production Facility, SIUC; P, Change in Architectural and Engineering Firm Selection, Energy Management System, Heat Runabout Recovery System, and Localized Fume Hood Controls, Springfield Medical Facility, SIUC; and V, Recommendation of Architect: Roof Replacements, SIUE. There was a brief discussion concerning our item longest on the agenda, the matter of the Library Storage Facility, and we hope we have a final report in regard to this at the next meeting. SIUE informed the Committee concerning the possible disposal of some real estate.

The Chair explained the procedure for the Board's omnibus motion, and he proposed that, after discussion, there would be taken up the following matters:

REPORTS OF PURCHASE ORDERS AND CONTRACTS,  
JANUARY, 1984, SIUC AND SIUE

In accordance with III Bylaws 1, and procedures effective April 1, 1980, summary reports of purchase orders and contracts awarded during the month of January, 1984, were mailed to the members of the Board in advance of this meeting, copies were placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees, and these reports are hereby submitted for information and entry upon the minutes of the Board with respect to the actions of the Executive Committee.

PROJECT APPROVAL AND SELECTION OF ARCHITECT: ELEVATOR  
INSTALLATION, CENTRAL STEAM PLANT, SIUC

Summary

This matter seeks project and budget approval for the installation of an elevator in the central steam plant, SIUC.

It further requests permission to use drawings and specifications originally prepared by the engineering firm, Consoer Townsend, St. Louis, Missouri, with current revisions by the Physical Plant Engineering Services.

The estimated cost of construction is \$200,000. Funding for this project will come from state appropriations through the Physical Plant account. The construction will be done in phases, as the funds become available.

Rationale for Adoption

The central steam plant contains many valves, gauges, motors, conveyors, pumps, and other mechanical apparatus that must be checked on a routine basis many times every day. Much of this equipment is fifty feet or more above the main operating floor. The temperatures in the upper levels of the plant are frequently in excess of 100 degrees. The recent installation of the new electrostatic precipitators, economizers, and ash handling system, all located twenty to fifty feet above the main floor, has caused normal routines to be significantly expanded.

Great difficulty is encountered when these routine checks discover mechanical failures and it becomes necessary to carry, drag or hoist materials, tools, and replacement parts to the site of malfunction. Consequently, the cost of these repairs is greatly increased.

The installation of a small, industrial-type elevator and catwalk system would help to reduce many of the problems and make more cost effective use of personnel.

The drawings and specifications for this project were originally prepared by the engineering firm of Consoer Townsend, St. Louis, Missouri. This firm performed the design work for the recently completed installation of the electrostatic precipitators, and the University requested concurrent design of an elevator anticipating the availability of construction funds upon project completion. The design work was completed as requested, and a few minor revisions by the Physical Plant Engineering Services will make the plans ready for use.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

Because this is primarily a matter of facilities improvement, the constituency heads were not involved. This matter was initiated by the



Director of the Physical Plant, and has the recommendation of the Vice-President for Campus Services and the Director of Facilities Planning, SIUC.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The project to install an elevator and catwalk system in the central steam plant, SIUC, be and is hereby approved at an estimated cost of \$200,000.
- (2) Funding for this project shall be from state appropriations through the Physical Plant account.
- (3) Upon recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee, authorization is granted for the use of drawings and specifications originally prepared by Consoer Townsend, St. Louis, Missouri, with necessary revisions by the Physical Plant Engineering Services.
- (4) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

SELECTION OF ARCHITECT: IMPROVEMENTS TO DORMITORY  
FIRE ALARM SYSTEM, SIUC

Summary

This matter seeks approval for the selection of an architect to prepare plans and specifications for the installation of a smoke detection system in all dormitory corridors.

The estimated cost for preparing the plans is \$50,000. The source of funds will be student housing operating income. State appropriations will not be used for this project.

Rationale for Adoption

Legislation was recently enacted (Public Act 83-719) mandating the submission of plans for smoke detection systems to be in the corridors of university dormitories. Twenty-six buildings on the SIUC campus will be affected by this legislation. While some of these buildings currently have fire alarm and detection systems, none have the newly required corridor detectors connected to a centralized monitor panel. The estimated cost for preparation of plans is \$50,000. The estimated cost for the construction is \$500,000. The legislation does not require installation of the system.

The detailed plans and specifications for this project must be submitted to the Office of the State Fire Marshal by January 1, 1985. In

order to provide adequate time for the preparation of the required plans, this request for approval is being submitted at this time.

The engineering firm of P. G. Prineas & Associates is familiar with the entire alarm system on campus and has satisfactorily prepared the plans for the systems in many campus buildings.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

This project has the involvement and recommendation of the Vice-President for Student Affairs, the Director of Housing, the Vice-President for Campus Services, the Director of the Physical Plant, and the Director of Facilities Planning, SIUC.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) Upon recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee, authorization is granted for the engineering work for improvements in the dormitory fire alarm system to be performed by P. G. Prineas & Associates, Carbondale, Illinois.
- (2) Funding for this design work shall be from student housing operating income. State appropriations will not be used.
- (3) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

#### APPROVAL OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND AWARD OF CONTRACTS: CONSTRUCTION OF A FUEL ALCOHOL PRODUCTION FACILITY, SIUC

#### Summary

This matter proposes approval of plans and specifications and the award of contracts for the construction of a fuel alcohol production facility at the Carterville Campus, SIUC.

The estimated cost of the construction portion of this project is \$110,000. The low bids totaled \$109,614. This project is funded by a grant from the federal government through the Economic Development Administration. State appropriations will not be used for this project.

Rationale for Adoption

At its regular meeting of April 8, 1982, the Board of Trustees gave project and budget approval for the construction of a new facility at the Carterville Campus. This facility is to be used in the production of fuel alcohol (ethanol) as part of the national search for alternative sources of energy.

Favorable bids for this project have been received, and the award of contracts is requested at this time. Mr. Charles Pulley, AIA, has reviewed the plans and specifications for this project and recommends their approval.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

This project has the involvement and recommendation of the Deans of the College of Engineering and Technology, the School of Technical Careers, the School of Agriculture, and the College of Science; the Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research; the Vice-President for Campus Services; the Director of the Physical Plant; and the Director of Facilities Planning, SIUC.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The contracts to construct a fuel alcohol production facility at the Carterville Campus, SIUC, be and are hereby awarded to F. E. Holmes & Son Construction, Marion, Illinois, for the general work in the amount of \$48,128; to Brown Electric, Goreville, Illinois, for the electrical work in the amount of \$17,374; and to Weller's, Inc., Carbondale, Illinois, for the mechanical work in the amount of \$44,112.
- (2) Final plans and specifications for this project are hereby approved as submitted to the Office of the Board of Trustees for review, and shall be placed on file in accordance with I Bylaws 9, contingent upon favorable recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee.
- (3) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

RECOMMENDATION FOR HONORARY DEGREE, SIUCSummary

The Chancellor, on the recommendation of the Honorary Degrees Committee and the President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, presents to the Board of Trustees a resolution recommending that Professor Fred Basolo be awarded an honorary degree, Doctor of Science, to be presented at the May 12, 1984 commencement of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale.

Rationale for Adoption

Dr. Basolo, a native of southern Illinois, was born in the small mining town of Coello. His parents had immigrated to this region from Italy. He received his Bachelor of Education degree from Southern Illinois Normal University in 1940, and took his advanced degrees in chemistry at the University of Illinois in the emerging new subdiscipline of "coordination and organometallic chemistry."

In 1946, Professor Basolo joined the chemistry faculty of Northwestern University--after gaining three years of experience in industrial research. He taught chemistry at Northwestern for almost forty years, and earned the reputation of being an outstanding teacher. In 1980, he was appointed Morrison Professor of Chemistry at Northwestern University.

Professor Basolo has also made extensive contributions to chemistry education as an author of textbooks. These books, which have been translated into Japanese, Russian, German, Italian, Polish, Spanish, Korean, and Malaysian, bear testimony to his influence in the field of inorganic chemistry as being both international in scope and long in duration. His writings show a sensitivity for the needs of young chemists who are on the thresholds of their careers. In 1981, he received the prestigious James Flack Norris Award in Chemical Education for significant advancement of chemistry education.

In addition to textbooks, Dr. Basolo has authored approximately 300 scientific publications. These writings have established him worldwide as a leader in research endeavors in inorganic chemistry. The American Chemical Society, which is the foremost professional organization for chemists in the United States, has recognized his pioneering efforts by presenting him with the ACS Award for Research in Inorganic Chemistry (1964), as well as the ACS Award for Distinguished Service in Inorganic Chemistry (1975). The fact that he was chosen as the first recipient of the Bailar Medal Award (1972) further testifies to his preeminence in the field of inorganic chemistry.

By establishing the essential role played by transition metals in living systems, Dr. Fred Basolo achieved international recognition as one of the prime architects of modern organometallic chemistry. Largely because of his work, scientists now have a clearer understanding of how hemoglobin is able to transport oxygen to living tissues. This knowledge has permitted the development of some wholly synthetic non-iron compounds which mimic hemoglobin in their ability to act as oxygen carriers.

Professor Basolo is a member of many professional organizations and serves on the editorial boards of numerous journals in the field of inorganic chemistry. During 1983, he was elevated to the presidency of the prestigious American Chemical Society. He was honored as a resident of Illinois by the House of Representatives, Resolution 686, and was elected as a member of the National Academy of Sciences and of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences. He has earned numerous other honors, including Guggenheim and National Science Foundation Fellowships.

Throughout his life, Professor Basolo has brought distinction to his field of study, to the State of Illinois, and to SIUC, his Alma Mater. Southern Illinois University thus finds it fitting and proper to bestow upon Professor Fred Basolo the honorary degree, Doctor of Science, for his unique contributions to research and education in the field of inorganic chemistry.

Considerations Against Adoption

None are known.

Constituency Involvement

The Honorary Degrees Committee of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale has recommended to the President this honorary degree for Professor Fred Basolo.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, that the honorary degree, Doctor of Science, be awarded to Professor Fred Basolo at the May 12, 1984 commencement of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale.

CHANGE IN ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING FIRM SELECTION,  
ENERGY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, HEAT RUNABOUT RECOVERY SYSTEM,  
AND LOCALIZED FUME HOOD CONTROLS, SPRINGFIELD MEDICAL  
FACILITY, SIUC

Summary

At its meeting on September 10, 1981, the Southern Illinois University Board of Trustees approved the architectural and engineering firm of Ralph Hennemann and Associates of Champaign, Illinois, for recommendation to the Capital Development Board to handle design work for the installation of an energy management system, a heat runabout recovery system, and localized fume hood controls at the Springfield Medical Facility. In a letter dated January 7, 1984, we were informed by CDB of the release of funds for these three purposes, amounting to \$195,200. The School of Medicine has suggested that activities since September, 1981, indicate it would be prudent to change the engineering firm for these projects to Ralph Hahn and Associates of Springfield.

### Rationale for Adoption

In 1981 when the funds for these projects were originally appropriated and release seemed imminent, the School of Medicine recommended that Ralph Hennemann and Associates of Champaign be assigned to complete the necessary engineering on the project. While there is every reason to believe that Hennemann and Associates could do a good job on the project, recent activities make it prudent to suggest a change in the engineering firm to Ralph Hahn and Associates of Springfield.

Ralph Hahn and Associates were involved in the original construction of the Medical Instructional Facility (MIF), are presently engineering the proposed Springfield Combined Laboratory Facility (SCLF), and have recently completed an energy audit of MIF. When the original recommendation was made, the SCLF was only a dream; it is now moving ahead rapidly with a very complex mechanical system. Changing the engineering recommendation on this project will allow us to take advantage of Ralph Hahn and Associates' recent experience in developing the SCLF and the energy audit information to prepare the most efficient integrated plan for the expanded facility without the necessity of first learning the intricacies of the building. Both their experience with the facility and their fine professional reputation make them an excellent choice for the project.

Inquiry has revealed that CDB will offer no objection to this proposed change, since no obligations have yet been entered against the released funds.

### Considerations Against Adoption

University authorities are aware of none.

### Constituency Involvement

This proposal is not pertinent to constituency responsibilities and was not brought to constituency attention.

### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the Capital Development Board be immediately informed of the desire of the Southern Illinois University Board of Trustees to change the selection of Ralph Hennemann and Associates of Champaign as the architectural and engineering firm for energy related projects amounting to \$195,200 at the Medical Instructional Facility, Springfield, to the firm of Ralph Hahn and Associates, Springfield. These projects include the installation of an energy management system (\$141,800), a heat runabout recovery system (\$24,600), and localized fume hood controls (\$28,800).

WAIVER OF ANNUAL MEETING AND ELECTION OF DIRECTORS, IEC

Summary

The Board of Trustees has been asked by the Illinois Educational Consortium to approve a resolution for waiver of annual meeting and election of IEC Directors. Similar action was approved by the Board at its April 14, 1983 meeting.

Each year the IEC requests a waiver of notice of the IEC annual meeting and the holding of such a meeting for the purpose of election of Directors for the ensuing year. Under the cumulative voting provision of IEC Bylaws, each System can cast eight votes for each of its own nominees and assure their election. Such a meeting would therefore be perfunctory only. The Board may grant the waivers at this time, but it cannot consent to unanimous election of Directors since the slate is not yet known. The Board is therefore asked to select two nominees from this System and delegate to the Chancellor the power to file written unanimous consent to their election, and the election of the nominees of the other three Systems, at such time as the identities of the latter become known.

Rationale for Adoption

To accomplish necessary business of the IEC.

Considerations Against Adoption

None is known.

Constituency Involvement

After consultation with the Presidents, the Chancellor recommends this item.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

Both notice and the holding of the annual meeting of members of the Illinois Educational Consortium be and are hereby waived;

Kenneth A. Shaw and Albert Somit be and are hereby selected to serve as Directors of said Consortium representing this Board; and

Kenneth A. Shaw be and is hereby authorized to consent and agree to the election of the above-named Directors together with two Directors named by each other member of the said Consortium as the act of and on behalf of this Board, and to do so in writing and in lieu of election at a meeting of members.

RECOMMENDATION OF ARCHITECT: ROOF REPLACEMENTS, SIUE

Summary

This matter proposes that the Board recommend to the Capital Development Board the architect to provide design and specification services for the capital project to replace roofs on the Supporting Services Building shops area and on the brick towers of Buildings II and III. Funds for the project, in the amount of \$348,800, were appropriated to the Capital Development Board for FY-84. The Board of Trustees approved the request for funds for this project in the Capital RAMP submitted for FY-84.

Although the Capital Development Board is the contracting agency for this project, it encourages the University to recommend the architectural firm.

Rationale for Adoption

The Director of Supporting Services recommended to the President six architectural firms believed capable of performing the services required for the project. The President appointed a committee to review these firms and to recommend two firms in priority order. The six firms were contacted to determine their interest in providing services for the project. Five responded indicating interest in being selected. These five were invited for on-campus interviews with the committee. The committee recommended two firms, in priority order, to the President. The recommendations were reviewed with the Architecture and Design Committee at its February, 1984 meeting.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

The membership of the screening committee included a representative of the Physical Facilities Committee of the University Planning and Budget Council. This matter is recommended for adoption by the Directors of Supporting Services and of Planning and Resource Management, and by the President, SIUE.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The firm of Loyet and Ganschinietz, Inc., Highland, Illinois, be recommended to the Illinois Capital Development Board for retention as architect for the CDB project for SIUE roof replacements as appropriated in the 1983-1984 fiscal year budget.



- (2) The President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

Mr. Norwood moved the reception of Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, January, 1984, SIUC and SIUE; the ratification of Changes in Faculty-Administrative Payroll, SIUC, SIUE, and Office of the System Internal Auditor; and the approval of Minutes of the meetings held February 8 and 9, 1984; Project Approval and Selection of Architect: Elevator Installation, Central Steam Plant, SIUC; Selection of Architect: Improvements to Dormitory Fire Alarm System, SIUC; Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contracts: Construction of a Fuel Alcohol Production Facility, SIUC; Recommendation for Honorary Degree, SIUC; Change in Architectural and Engineering Firm Selection, Energy Management System, Heat Runabout Recovery System, and Localized Fume Hood Controls, Springfield Medical Facility, SIUC; Waiver of Annual Meeting and Election of Directors, IEC; and Recommendation of Architect: Roof Replacements, SIUE. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

The following matter was presented:

INCREASE IN REVENUE BOND FEE, SIUC  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B-7 AND B-14]

Summary

This matter proposes the last of the planned increases in the Revenue Bond Fee by the amount of \$6.60 per semester for each full-time student (prorated by the hour for part-time students). With this action, all of the funds authorized by tuition retention to support the SIUC Student Center and University Housing operations will have been replaced by funds

generated from this fee, making available an equivalent amount of funding in support of high priority academic program needs.

#### Rationale for Adoption

Tuition collected at the state universities in Illinois is deposited into the state treasury; however, by past action of the legislature, SIU is authorized to retain a portion of its tuition collections in support of the funded debt operations. This authorization, granted to attain favorable bond ratings and to support the operations, is incorporated in the covenants of the bond resolution.

More recently, the Illinois Board of Higher Education has imposed budgetary constraints on the University to eliminate the use of these retained tuition funds. Initially, the IBHE effected the reallocation of one-third of the retained tuition with a plan to reallocate the remaining two-thirds over a six-year period. In response to this situation, the Board of Trustees took action at its March 8, 1979 meeting to establish the Bond Retirement Fee (subsequently renamed the Revenue Bond Fee) at \$26.40 for FY-80 to compensate for the loss of the use of retained tuition.

The initial fee generated funds equivalent to the amount lost through the elimination of retained tuition as of the first year of the six-year plan. It was stated at that time that continuation of the planned reallocation would require annual increases of \$6.60 in the fee for the next five years. Four of those increases have subsequently been approved, bringing the fee to \$52.80 for FY-84. This matter seeks approval of the last \$6.60 increase for FY-85.

The reduction in the amount of tuition funds retained for support of funded debt operations results in a proportionate increase in the amount of the general operating budget funded from the Income Fund. This increase has been earmarked for the enhancement of academic programs, thus serving to benefit all students. The result is, in effect, the same as if a tuition increase, or some portion of it, had been identified for the same purpose.

The reallocation of another \$264,000 of retained tuition to support academic programs is already scheduled for FY-85. The loss of these funds to the funded debt area must be made up in some way. The alternative to the recommended increase is to raise the Student Center Fee by \$3.00 and raise the annual housing charges by \$30.00 for FY-85. There are several reasons why this alternative was not chosen initially and is not now recommended:

1. Since the general student body benefits from the increased support for academic programs, those students living on campus should not pay a disproportionate amount of that cost.
2. Since the full use of retained tuition, paid by all students, is still legally pledged to the support of the funded debt operations, there is value in having

a clearly defined source of funding, paid by all students, budgeted as a replacement for the reallocated use of those retained tuition funds. Should the use of retained tuition be restored, the Revenue Bond Fee would be discontinued.

3. Since housing facilities, once paid for, are sometimes converted to general University needs--such as Anthony Hall and Woody Hall--there is some merit in the concept of all students sharing some of the capital cost for these facilities.
4. Some forms of financial aid, such as ISSC grants, will pay the cost of general fees, but will not pay housing costs.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

The administration continues to feel that the use of retained tuition should be restored to the funded debt operations as authorized by existing legislation. However, the University is constrained to operate under the budgetary authority of the IBHE and consequently must take action which at times it would otherwise find undesirable.

#### Constituency Involvement

This matter has been shared with all University constituencies. The problem and the proposed solution are not new and have been open to wide discussion during the past five years. The student constituencies, along with the administration, have opposed this method chosen by the IBHE to reallocate funds from auxiliary enterprises to academic programs. However, the reality of this action has been recognized.

The Graduate Student Council took action on September 29, 1982, reluctantly supporting the previous \$6.60 increase in this fee; noting, however, that the fee itself is a misplaced tuition increase. This position was reaffirmed in action taken November 9, 1983, to support reluctantly the \$6.60 increase.

The Undergraduate Student Organization took action on October 14, 1982, to approve the current method of assessing this fee. This was reaffirmed by action taken December 7, 1983, to support this final \$6.60 increase.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That effective with the collection of fees for Fall Semester, 1984, 4 Policies of the Board B-7 and B-14 be amended to read as follows:

7. General student fee schedule approved by the Board for Southern Illinois University at Carbondale in effect Fall Semester, 1984.

<u>Hours</u>	<u>Revenue Bond Fee</u>
1	\$ 4.95
2	9.90
3	14.85
4	19.80
5	24.75
6	29.70
7	34.65
8	39.60
9	44.55
10	49.50
11	54.45
12 or more	59.40

14. Revenue Bond Fee. Commencing with the Fall Semester, 1984, a Revenue Bond Fee of \$59.40 per semester shall be collected from each full-time student. Monies collected from this fee shall be used to compensate for the loss of available retained SIUC tuition fees pledged in support of the Southern Illinois University Housing and Auxiliary Facilities System Revenue Bonds, and, in such sums as may be approved by the Board from time to time, applied to the support of Evergreen Terrace Family Housing.

Ms. Ann Greeley, President of the Graduate and Professional Student Council, SIUC, stated that the only other solution to this fee is to place a higher burden on students in housing and increase the Student Center Fee. She reported that the GPSC did not approve of this other option; therefore, the GPSC very reluctantly supported this most unfortunate misplaced tuition increase.

Mr. Elliott moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matter was presented:

INCREASE AND REDEFINITION OF THE STUDENT ACTIVITY FEE AND  
STUDENTS' ATTORNEY PROGRAM FEE, SIUC  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B-2-b-2), B-7,  
B-12, AND B-18]

Summary

This matter proposes to fund the Students' Attorney Program from a flat \$2.00 Students' Attorney Program Fee rather than from a \$1.75 portion of

the prorated Student Activity Fee. The Student Activity Fee will remain at the current level of \$8.55 per semester. The net result is a \$2.00 increase in fees, with \$1.75 of the increase going to support programs funded by the two student constituency groups and \$.25 added to the Students' Attorney Program.

#### Rationale for Adoption

This matter is proposed in response to actions taken by the Undergraduate Student Organization (USO) and by the Graduate and Professional Student Council (GPSC). The proposal has two purposes: (1) to increase funding for the Students' Attorney Program, and (2) to increase funding for student programs and organizations funded through USO and GPSC.

The Students' Attorney Program was originally funded from a flat \$1.00 refundable fee. Effective Summer Session, 1980, that fee was discontinued and the program was funded from a \$1.00 portion of the Student Activity Fee, which is nonrefundable and is prorated by credit hour. Effective Fall Semester, 1981, the Students' Attorney Program portion of the Student Activity Fee was increased to \$1.75. This proposal reestablishes the Students' Attorney Program Fee as a flat nonrefundable charge of \$2.00.

By leaving the Student Activity Fee at \$8.55, the funds generated from the \$1.75 portion will be available for allocation by USO and GPSC. Requests for funding by recognized student organizations have greatly exceeded the funds available for several years. This modest increase will assist in meeting more of those requests.

One of the strengths of SIUC is the large number of active student organizations whose activities contribute greatly to campus life as well as provide diverse opportunities for direct student involvement. Funding of these opportunities is also an investment in student retention.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

If the Students' Attorney Program Fee was to be defined as a prorated fee rather than as a flat fee, it could remain a part of the Student Activity Fee, thus avoiding the need to establish a separate fee. As a separate fee, this will not be paid by such scholarships as the Illinois Veteran's Scholarship which pays only tuition and Student Activity Fees.

#### Constituency Involvement

This matter originated from action taken by the Undergraduate Student Organization and by the Graduate and Professional Student Council.

This matter has been shared with all other constituency groups.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That effective with the collection of

fees for Fall Semester, 1984, 4 Policies of the Board B-2-b-2), B-7, B-12, and B-18 be amended to read as follows:

- 2. SIUC School of Medicine.
  - b. General Fees. Students enrolled in the School of Medicine shall pay the general student fees approved by the Board (4 Policies of the Board B-7) with the following exceptions:
    - 2) Effective Fall Semester, 1984, students attending the Springfield Medical Facility shall pay a Student Medical Benefit Fee of \$40.00 per semester and shall be exempt from paying:
      - a) Student Recreation Fee
      - b) Student Center Fee
      - c) Athletic Fee
      - d) Revenue Bond Fee
      - e) Students' Attorney Program Fee
- 7. General student fee schedule approved by the Board for Southern Illinois University at Carbondale in effect Fall Semester, 1984.

<u>Hours</u>	<u>Students' Attorney Program Fee</u>
1	\$2.00
2	2.00
3	2.00
4	2.00
5	2.00
6	2.00
7	2.00
8	2.00
9	2.00
10	2.00
11	2.00
12 or more	2.00

- 12. Student Activity Fee. Commencing with the Fall Semester, 1984, a Student Activity Fee of \$8.55 per semester shall be collected from each full-time student to be used in support of student activities and welfare.
  - a. Funds generated from a \$7.60 portion of this fee shall be used for support of student organizations and programming.
  - b. Funds generated from a \$.95 portion of this fee shall be used to support a program of campus safety.
  - c. That portion of the funds generated from the full \$8.55 fee paid by the medical students at the Springfield

facility shall be allocated to support student organizations and programming at that location.

18. Students' Attorney Program Fee. Commencing with the Fall Semester, 1984, a Students' Attorney Program Fee of \$2.00 shall be collected from each student to support the budget of the Students' Attorney Program.

Ms. Ann Greeley, President of the Graduate and Professional Student Council, SIUC, remarked that as indicated in her letter to the Board of Trustees that monies that would come into GPSC from this fee would be used to directly benefit students, programs, and services and not used in any administrative way.

Mr. Norwood moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matter was presented:

CHANGE IN STUDENT MEDICAL BENEFIT FEE, SIUC  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B-2-b, B-7, AND B-13]

Summary

This matter seeks to increase the \$60.00 Student Medical Benefit Fee for Fall Semester and Spring Semester and to reduce the fee for Summer Session beginning Fall Semester, 1984. The new fee would be \$75.00 for Fall Semester, \$75.00 for Spring Semester, and \$45.00 for Summer Session. The fee for students enrolled in the School of Medicine would be \$50.00 for Fall Semester, \$50.00 for Spring Semester, and \$30.00 for Summer Session.

Rationale for Adoption

At the end of FY-81 the Student Health Program had a cash balance of \$114,042, but in FY-82 the Student Health Program experienced a \$321,598 operating deficit after four years without a fee increase. Thus, at the end of FY-82 the cash balance was a negative \$207,556. The inflationary pressures during that time were partially offset by a decline in student usage of medical services, despite slight increases in enrollment. While the cause of this decline is not known, an increase in programmatic emphasis on wellness and the opening of the Student Recreation Center both took place during this time.

Beginning in FY-83 the SMB Fee was increased from \$45.00 to \$60.00 which helped to result in an operating surplus of \$223,199 for that year. This surplus offset the beginning negative cash balance and left a positive cash balance of \$15,643 at the end of FY-83.

Rather than seek a fee increase for FY-84, the Student Health Policy Board and the Student Health Program administration established economies

resulting in \$246,046 in program savings and cuts. In addition, upon the recommendation of the Undergraduate Student Organization and the Student Health Policy Board, a \$3.00 charge was instituted for each visit to the Health Service. These measures, coupled with a positive cash balance, will permit completion of FY-84 in the black.

For FY-85, a \$410,349 deficit is projected which would be offset by funds generated from the proposed increase. Consideration was given to making changes in the coverage and to other program reductions. In a joint conference with the student leadership it was decided to leave the program as presently defined, with two exceptions. First, the \$3.00 office visit charge will be assessed for only the first eight visits per semester. Second, the maximum amount a student will have to pay for off-campus benefits (emergency, hospitalization, ambulance, etc.) will be \$200 per semester.

The differential fee for Summer Session is proposed on the recommendation of the student constituencies. The rationale is that the period covered in the Summer Session (May 24-August 10, 79 days) is less than that included in the Fall Semester (August 11-December 31, 143 days) and in the Spring Semester (January 1-May 23, 143 days). These inclusive dates pertain to students who are continuously enrolled. Coverage for new students begins 72 hours prior to the beginning of first day classes and will be extended for those students.

Students enrolled in the School of Medicine are assessed a lower amount on the basis that general medical care is provided through the School of Medicine.

Adoption of this fee adjustment is recommended as supporting a more economical health benefit package than is otherwise available. This health care program encourages good health among students and minimizes the risk of high medical expense.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

Any increase in fees should be viewed with concern to the extent it becomes a barrier to educational opportunity. On the other hand, consideration could be given to an even larger fee increase in return for an increase in coverage benefits.

#### Constituency Involvement

This matter has been shared with all constituency groups. In addition, the Student Health Policy Board (comprised of six undergraduate and three graduate appointees) has considered this matter in depth and has recommended a \$33.00 increase over the year for a continuously enrolled student.

The Undergraduate Student Organization recommended a \$40.50 increase over the year for a continuously enrolled student, along with an adjustment in the off-campus medical benefit from 90 percent coverage to 100 percent.

The Graduate and Professional Student Council recommended a \$33.00 increase over the year for a continuously enrolled student.



A joint conference with student leadership, referred to above, was held to resolve the different recommendations and attendant minor considerations in the definition of the benefits.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That effective with the collection of fees for Fall Semester, 1984, 4 Policies of the Board B-2-b, B-7, and B-13 be amended to read as follows:

2. SIUC School of Medicine.

b. General Fees. Students enrolled in the School of Medicine shall pay the general student fees approved by the Board (4 Policies of the Board B-7) with the following exceptions:

- 1) Effective Fall Semester, 1984, students attending the Carbondale campus shall pay a Student Medical Benefit Fee of \$50.00 for the Fall Semester, \$50.00 for the Spring Semester, and \$30.00 for the Summer Session.
- 2) Effective Fall Semester, 1984, students attending the Springfield Medical Facility shall pay a Student Medical Benefit Fee of \$50.00 for the Fall Semester, \$50.00 for the Spring Semester, and \$30.00 for the Summer Session, and shall be exempt from paying:
  - a) Student Recreation Fee
  - b) Student Center Fee
  - c) Athletic Fee
  - d) Revenue Bond Fee

7. General student fee schedule approved by the Board for Southern Illinois University at Carbondale in effect Fall Semester, 1984.

<u>Hours</u>	<u>SMB</u> <sup>1</sup>
1	\$75.00
2	75.00
3	75.00
4	75.00
5	75.00
6	75.00
7	75.00
8	75.00
9	75.00
10	75.00
11	75.00
12 or more	75.00

<sup>1</sup>Rate shown applies to Fall and Spring Semesters only; Summer Session rate is \$45.00.

13. Student Medical Benefit Fee. Commencing with the Fall Semester, 1984, a Student Medical Benefit Fee of \$75.00 for Fall Semester, \$75.00 for Spring Semester, and \$45.00 for Summer Session shall be collected from each student. Authorization is granted for the expenditure of monies collected from this fee for a comprehensive program of health care for students at SIUC.

A refund of the Student Medical Benefit Fee is authorized to the extent that the student is able to demonstrate duplicate medical coverage and such proof is presented to the Administrative Director of the Student Health Program or his designate. Similarly, a refund is authorized for those students precluded from use of the Student Health Program by unusual or extreme geographic considerations.

STUDENT HEALTH PROGRAM  
COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF INCOME AND EXPENSE

	ACTUAL <u>FY-82</u>	ACTUAL <u>FY-83</u>	PROJECTED <u>FY-84</u>	PROJECTED <u>FY-85</u>
Beginning Cash Balance	\$ 114,042	\$ (207,556)	\$ 15,643	\$ 0
INCOME				
State Allocation	\$ 235,778	\$ 230,690	\$ 271,753	\$ 288,058
SMB Fee Income	2,012,232	2,682,546	2,553,376	2,425,707
Miscellaneous Income	<u>400,564</u>	<u>405,690</u>	<u>517,103</u>	<u>547,103</u>
TOTAL INCOME	<u>\$2,648,574</u>	<u>\$3,318,926</u>	<u>\$3,342,232</u>	<u>\$3,260,868</u>
EXPENSES				
Administration	\$ 303,848	\$ 345,262	\$ 360,805	\$ 459,583
Clinic	304,945	328,844	377,665	409,516
Communications	87,288	96,280	90,583	99,189
Infirmery	118,548	122,241	120,019	129,291
Laboratory	125,438	141,130	165,641	184,782
Pharmacy	208,075	242,157	261,204	290,695
Physicians	427,454	455,179	481,305	518,248
Student Health Board	2,163	492	2,025	2,119
Wellness Center	282,220	312,553	209,858	226,947
X-Ray	59,523	61,722	65,712	76,933
Student Emergency Dental Service	<u>88,313</u>	<u>95,696</u>	<u>101,685</u>	<u>107,811</u>
Subtotal Support Costs	\$2,007,815	\$2,201,556	\$2,236,502	\$2,505,114
Off-Campus Contracts:				
Hospital In-Patient	\$ 293,060	\$ 195,261	\$ 329,157	\$ 341,270
Hospital Emergency Room	235,536	187,072	269,311	279,221
Professional Services	271,131	326,544	334,610	359,773
Ambulance	13,471	12,207	15,121	14,516
Insurance	<u>140,359</u>	<u>163,387</u>	<u>162,979</u>	<u>160,362</u>
Subtotal	\$ 953,557	\$ 884,471	\$1,111,178	\$1,155,142
On-Campus Contracts:				
Clinical Center	\$ 8,800	\$ 9,700	\$ 10,195	\$ 10,961
TOTAL EXPENSE	<u>\$2,970,172</u>	<u>\$3,095,727</u>	<u>\$3,357,875</u>	<u>\$3,671,217</u>
OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$ (321,598)	\$ 223,199	\$ (15,643)	\$ (410,349)
Income from proposed fee increase				410,349
Ending Cash Balance	\$ (207,556)	\$ 15,643	\$ 0	\$ 0

Ms. Ann Greeley, President of the Graduate and Professional Student Council, SIUC, commented that any agreement with a particular fee increase was certainly made under duress. She explained that the GPSC did not want to increase this fee, but that cuts in service would ensue if this fee was not increased. She stated that fees were of considerable concern to graduate students since they were rarely included in any assistantship or fellowship and because graduate students usually ended up paying those fees from their salaries which usually averaged about \$500 a month. She continued that one important factor that has been happening over the past few years was the movement of state dollars from auxiliary enterprises. She stated that students were left with some rather costly programs which they were expected to entirely support and suggested that other alternatives, other than increases in fees strictly to maintain services in these areas, should be explored.

Mr. Bruce Joseph, President of the Undergraduate Student Organization, SIUC, commented that fees had been reviewed on the merit of each program. He continued that when faced with the decision to cut the program or increase the fee, the reluctant support of the USO was offered. He suggested that fees be looked at in a 3-year or 5-year time frame so when they are proposed it does not come as a big surprise.

Mr. Norwood moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matter was presented.

ATHLETIC FEE INCREASE AND ESTABLISHMENT OF AN  
ATHLETIC FACILITY RESERVE FUND, SIUC  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B-7 AND B-10]

Summary

This matter seeks a total increase of \$8.00 in the Athletic Fee from the current full-time student rate of \$30.00 for each semester to a proposed rate of \$38.00 for each semester. This matter further proposes to establish a

Repair, Replacement, and Modernization Reserve Fund for facilities and equipment used for programs of intercollegiate athletics. A \$2.00 portion of the proposed fee increase is to be set aside to fund this reserve.

#### Rationale for Adoption

The Athletic Fee was last increased four years ago.

A \$2.00 portion of the increase is proposed to fund a reserve for the repair, replacement, and modernization of facilities and equipment used for programs of intercollegiate athletics. For example, based on its rated life and condition, the astroturf in McAndrew Stadium should be replaced in a few years. At present there is no plan for or identified source of funding for this purpose. The funding of such a reserve is simply a matter of sound fiscal practice and long-range planning. In the past, funding for athletic facilities has come primarily from state resources, usually in the form of end-of-the-year fall-out dollars. In recent years such funds have been budgeted for other purposes.

The remaining \$6.00 of the proposed increase is needed to support the operating budget of the present athletics program. Even with the proposed increase, the expense budget for FY-85 will be \$167,183 less than the budget for FY-83 and will have even less effective buying power due to continuing inflationary increases of five to six percent per year. Thus, the purpose of the increase is not to expand the athletics budget, but to maintain the present program at a reduced level of funding. Obviously, expenditures will have to be reduced from the current program to meet this proposed budget level. While a larger increase could be readily justified, this proposal seeks to remain sensitive to the financial burden being placed upon students.

The necessity for the proposed increase has arisen from several factors which have reduced the sources of funding. Chief among these are a reallocation of state funds, a projected decline in enrollment, and a net loss of guaranteed income and expense. To meet other University priorities, and upon the advice of the University's Budget Advisory Committee, \$100,000 of state support is being reallocated from athletics as a result of the fiscal pressures from insufficient general revenue funds available to the University.

Enrollment projections for FY-85 indicate a revenue loss of \$57,500 in student fees as compared to FY-84 budgeted fee revenue.

Contracts for guarantees for men's basketball and football games yielded a net revenue of \$145,500 in FY-83. Due to the scheduling, this net revenue will drop to \$48,500 in FY-84 and will be a net expense of \$46,000 in FY-85, when guarantees paid will exceed guarantees received. This consideration alone contributes to a revenue reduction of \$192,000 over the two-year period from FY-83 to FY-85. The reduction will be partly offset by projected increases in other self-generated funds, including an increase in ticket sales due to an increased number of home basketball games. The net effect is a projected decline of \$112,814 in men's self-generated revenue from FY-83 to FY-85. With the contracts already signed for FY-86 through FY-88, self-generated revenue is anticipated to increase in those years.

While use was made of working cash to balance the budgets for FY-83 and FY-84, the proposed budget for FY-85 is balanced without such funds. The \$114,924 of projected working cash represents a prudent safeguard against unanticipated circumstances.

An important but sometimes overlooked aspect of financing the intercollegiate athletics program at SIUC is the cost of achieving equity between the men's and women's programs. For example, while the funding for the men's program increased a total of 8 percent from FY-79 to FY-84, funding for the women's program more than doubled with a 108 percent increase. That is, while the women's program increased an average of 21.6 percent annually over that period, the men's program increased an average of only 1.6 percent annually. When considering the effects of inflation, it is quite apparent that the men's program has experienced a significant decrease in purchasing power.

During FY-83 the University was subjected to a comprehensive Title IX investigation which found the University to be in general compliance. The funding to meet the equity requirements over the past several years has had to come from within the athletics program and primarily at the expense of the reallocation of resources from the men's program to the women's program. Consequently, the point to be understood is that the proposed fee increase has been necessitated not only by the reduction of revenue, but also by the costs of providing equity.

The alternatives to the proposed fee increase are: increased support from other sources, reduction of the current program or some combination of the two. Given the budget constraints placed on the University, it would be difficult to reallocate other University funds to athletics or to seek new state funding. Efforts have been and are being made to seek increased funding from external sources with some success. However, such support is not adequate to meet the new pressure.

Further reduction of the program cannot be accomplished without the elimination of some sports. That decision would entail several considerations, among which are: Title IX equity compliance; opportunity for student achievement and involvement, and the impact on the University's attractiveness to students. Certainly one consideration is the positive recognition brought to the University by athletics.

The challenge faced by the University is to find ways in which this successful and diverse program of intercollegiate athletics can continue to provide opportunities for student accomplishment and institutional recognition.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

The increase in the cost of attending the University is always a concern to the extent that it might limit access to an education. The cost must be weighed against the benefits derived from the programs being supported by that cost increase.

Constituency Involvement

This matter has been shared with all constituency groups. Much discussion and sharing of financial information has taken place with the Intercollegiate Athletic Advisory Committee and with representatives of the student constituencies.

The Graduate and Professional Student Council, on October 26, 1983, considered proposals for fee increases ranging from \$5.00 to \$10.00 which were defeated by closely split votes. A resolution opposing a \$10.00 fee increase with free student admission to athletic events was passed by a vote of 22 in favor, 19 opposed, and 3 abstaining. A resolution in favor of a \$5.00 fee increase failed by a vote of 19 in favor, 22 opposed, and 1 abstaining. Following that, a motion to oppose a \$5.00 increase failed by a vote of 20 in favor, 21 opposed, and 2 abstaining. A motion to conduct a referendum failed by a vote of 3 in favor, 28 opposed, and 4 abstaining. The final action taken was a motion to support no fee increase for FY-85. This was passed by a vote of 20 in favor, 19 opposed, and 3 abstentions.

The Undergraduate Student Organization has subsequently taken action in opposition to the proposed fee increase. The Executive Committee of the Undergraduate Student Organization proposed a resolution supporting an \$8.00 fee increase, but the matter was voted down by the Student Senate by a vote of 4 in favor, 13 opposed, with 3 abstaining.

The Intercollegiate Athletics Advisory Committee has taken action to support a \$10.00 fee increase by a vote of 12 in favor and 3 opposed.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That effective with the collection of fees for Summer Session, 1984, 4 Policies of the Board B-7 be amended to show the following schedule for the Athletic Fee:

<u>Hours</u>	<u>Athletic Fee<sup>2</sup></u>
1	\$ 3.17
2	6.33
3	9.50
4	12.67
5	15.83
6	19.00
7	22.17
8	25.33
9	28.50
10	31.67
11	34.83
12 or more	38.00

<sup>2</sup>Effective Summer Session, 1984.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That 4 Policies of the Board B-10 be amended to read as follows:

10. Athletic Fee. In order to provide a regularized source of funding for Men's and Women's Intercollegiate Athletic programs at Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, a fee of \$38.00 is established for each full-time student for each semester in attendance beginning with the Summer Session, 1984.
  - a. Funds generated from a \$36.00 portion of this fee shall be distributed in a manner to provide equal opportunities for male and female athletes.
  - b. Funds generated from a \$2.00 portion of this fee shall be used to establish an "Intercollegiate Athletics Repair, Replacement, and Modernization Reserve," which shall have a maximum level to be determined by application of the Legislative Audit Commission University Guidelines. These reserve funds shall be used for repair, replacement, and modernization of facilities and equipment used for programs of intercollegiate athletics.



SIUC INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS  
 Summary Statement of Revenue and Expense  
 (Modified Accrual Basis)  
 (Revised 11/28/83)

	<u>FY-82</u>	<u>FY-82</u>	<u>FY-83</u>	<u>FY-83</u>	<u>FY-84</u>	<u>FY-85</u>
	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Budget</u>
<b>REVENUE:</b>						
Student Fees	\$1,200,000	\$1,194,816	\$1,195,000	\$1,221,937	\$1,147,500	\$1,090,000
Salaries-State	864,477	864,306	895,781	895,781	843,235	800,235
Air Travel-State	57,000	57,000	57,000	57,000	57,000	0
Contributions	133,050	143,936	200,500	144,002	160,000	185,000
To Endowment	(14,000)	(24,471)	(33,500)	(26,571)	(29,500)	(18,500)
less expenses	(13,050)	(25,529)	(37,000)	(23,673)	(15,000)	(25,000)
Net Available	106,000	93,936	130,000	93,758	115,500	141,500
Saluki Pass	0	0	6,000	6,960	7,000	7,000
Interest-Oper. Ac	30,000	58,303	35,000	30,412	30,000	30,000
Interest-Endow.	7,000	8,512	7,000	8,483	9,000	10,000
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>\$2,264,477</b>	<b>\$2,276,873</b>	<b>\$2,325,781</b>	<b>\$2,314,331</b>	<b>\$2,209,235</b>	<b>\$2,078,735</b>
<b>SELF-GENERATED-IAW:</b>						
Ticket Sales	\$ 2,200	\$ 5,679	\$ 3,900	\$ 5,950	\$ 7,000	\$ 8,400
Entry Fees	1,880	1,296	3,715	3,764	3,700	4,000
Advertising Sales	4,650	1,362	7,750	5,794	7,750	7,000
Program Sales	270	148	120	195	150	250
Concessions	675	582	600	130	600	1,575
Other	275	2,595	860	2,687	1,000	2,500
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 9,950</b>	<b>\$ 11,662</b>	<b>\$ 16,945</b>	<b>\$ 18,520</b>	<b>\$ 20,200</b>	<b>\$ 23,725</b>
<b>SELF-GENERATED-IAM:</b>						
Ticket Sales:						
Regular Season	\$ 207,000	\$ 176,078	\$ 190,000	\$ 175,941	\$ 188,000	\$ 210,000
less Guarantee	(61,000)	(61,000)	(61,000)	(52,000)	(93,000)	(139,000)
less Promotion	-	-	-	-	(5,000)	(5,000)
Saluki Shootout	-	-	-	-	20,000	-
less Expenses	-	-	-	-	(20,000)	-
Guarantees	157,500	166,200	197,500	197,500	141,500	92,500
Advertising Sales	3,000	9,445	10,000	8,745	10,000	10,000
Program Sales	3,500	3,495	3,500	3,505	3,750	4,000
Concessions	15,000	10,978	12,000	9,565	11,000	11,000
Conference Share	83,074	80,106	58,500	78,932	100,000	126,000
Other	3,804	13,322	6,000	3,627	1,700	3,500
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 411,878</b>	<b>\$ 398,624</b>	<b>\$ 416,500</b>	<b>\$ 425,814</b>	<b>\$ 357,950</b>	<b>\$ 313,000</b>
<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>	<b>\$2,686,305</b>	<b>\$2,687,159</b>	<b>\$2,759,226</b>	<b>\$2,758,665</b>	<b>\$2,587,385</b>	<b>\$2,415,460</b>
\$6 portion of \$8 Athletic Fee Increase						<u>218,000</u>
<b>REVENUE with Fee Increase</b>						<u><u>\$2,633,460</u></u>



Ms. Ann Greeley, President of the Graduate and Professional Student Council, SIUC, stated that graduate and professional students did not want this increase and that it was premature to consider an athletic fee increase of \$8.00 at this time. She stated that GPSC felt strongly that state dollars should not be removed from this program if, in fact, this had created a need for a fee increase. She noted that the recommendations from the Budget Advisory Committee and the Non-academic Priorities Committee recommended that subsequent cuts in state dollars should not mean increases in student fees. She reported that GPSC believed that elimination of mismanagement and waste, perhaps different enrollment figures, a fund raiser, and additional gate receipts would probably mean additional income for the program next year. She stated that while a \$100,000 reserve fund might be wise fiscal management a more conservative amount would probably save money for the programs and ease the budget situation. She continued that after these suggestions had been tried, it could be better determined how much money the athletics program really needs. She concluded by stating that her most important point was that, if this program was worthy of student support, it was also worthy of state support.

Mr. Bruce Joseph, President of the Undergraduate Student Organization, SIUC, stated that the USO was not in favor of this increase and read the following resolution passed at its meeting last night:

Resolution in Opposition to an Increase in Athletic Fee. The Board of Trustees is currently considering Athletic Fee Increase; Whereas the majority of the students at Southern Illinois University at Carbondale are opposed to this Athletic Fee Increase; Whereas, the Student Senate is also opposed to any athletic fee increase; Therefore, be it resolved that the Undergraduate Student Organization shall stand opposed to any athletic fee increase.

He stated that the problem caused by increased costs of intercollegiate athletic programs could not continue to be solved by transferring funds from

other university programs or by increasing student athletic fees. He stated that the athletic fee was not essential in the opinion of the USO. He commented that the USO disagreed with the process by which it was asked about this athletic fee; first it was \$2.00, then \$5.00, then \$10.00 and free admission, and now it was \$8.00. He questioned the existence of this much ambiguity in the fee structure and if all the student organizations said no to this fee increase why it was being voted on now.

Ms. Hutcherson echoed the sentiments of students in opposing the fee increase.

In response to a question from Mr. Rowe, President Somit stated that the existing reserve fund was being eroded rapidly; there was the increasing possibility of replacement of the Astroturf at an estimated cost of \$100,000; and that there were a number of physical problems with the athletic facility which have been postponed indefinitely but may have to be addressed. Mr. Rowe noted that the students were being asked to help pay for facilities they were wearing out now.

Dr. Bruce Swinburne, Vice-President for Student Affairs, SIUC, pointed out that of the Recreation Center Fee of \$24.00, \$1.75 goes into a repair, maintenance, and modernization reserve fund, but that the facilities related to intercollegiate athletics had been built with state dollars and had no such fee support; in past years renovation of those facilities had been primarily through fallout dollars at the end of the year, but 100 percent of fallout dollars are now budgeted and so some other plan to take care of repair, replacement, and modernization of the facilities must be devised.

In response to questions from Dr. Wilkins, President Somit stated his agreement with Mr. Joseph that the University should not mount an intercollegiate sports program which it cannot afford. He continued that the

present program was probably underfunded and that the purpose of the proposed increase was to get up to a minimal level of funding, and if more money was not made available he saw no alternative but to cut the intercollegiate sports program. In regard to the question of this being a good investment of money by the University and students, he answered that he thought it was, pointing out the excellent publicity prompted by the performance of the football team. He pointed out that a very substantial part of the money funding the program comes from University funds and that student fees do not solely support the program.

Mr. Elliott remarked that it was always difficult to raise fees, but that if the program was to be kept we should provide sufficient support for a program of which we will be proud. He commented that this was the first fee increase in athletics in four years and that students had benefitted by the fact that fee levels had been held in previous years. He reluctantly moved approval of the resolution as presented. The motion was duly seconded.

Mr. Norwood commented that he did not want to see athletics cut because he felt it was very important to the University. He stated that in 1980 the Board of Trustees stated they would listen to the students' feelings on the next increase; that the students did not want this fee increase; and that he had to be guided by their opinions.

Ms. Hutcherson stated that she had had input from thousands of students in regard to this matter. She stated that it was not a matter of not being proud of the athletic department, but a matter of students being able to pay for everything asked for. She explained that there had been increases in other fees and charges, cuts in financial aid, and inflationary price increases, so this proposal should not be approved this year.

Mr. Rowe stated that he was a trustee at a small, private school and that it was starting a football program because everyone they had talked to said that athletics helped to recruit students, helped with fund raising, and helped with alumni. In regard to SIU, he stated his belief that athletics had helped to keep enrollment up.

The motion having been duly made and seconded, Mr. Rowe asked for a roll call vote. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, none; nay, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, William R. Norwood.

The Chair stated that there was a Current and Pending matter, for the consideration of which unanimous consent had to be given. Mr. Norwood moved that the Board consent to consider the matter. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared that there had been unanimous consent to consider.

The following matter was presented:

PROJECT APPROVAL, SELECTION OF ARCHITECT, AND AUTHORITY  
FOR APPROVAL OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND AWARD OF  
CONTRACTS: REMODELING 913 NORTH RUTLEDGE,  
SPRINGFIELD MEDICAL CAMPUS, SIUC

Summary

This matter seeks project and budget approval for remodeling work at 913 North Rutledge, a leased facility, adjacent to the Springfield medical campus, SIUC. The estimated cost of this work is \$120,000.

Funding for this work will be from state appropriations through the School of Medicine Physical Plant account.

In addition, this matter requests that, upon recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee, members of the Executive Committee of the Board be authorized to approve the plans and specifications and to award the contracts.

This matter further requests authority to use the in-house facilities planning staff for the design work.

Rationale for Adoption

Since its opening, the School of Medicine has been confronted with a shortage of facilities that are located within a reasonable distance from the main medical campus, and much time and effort have been expended in trying to solve that problem. The privately owned building at 913 North Rutledge is adjacent to the School's main facility and provides the greatest potential for growth. It presently serves as a nursing home, and is commonly known as Rutledge Manor.

Requests for capital appropriations to purchase the building have not been successful. Subsequent negotiations with the owner have produced a long-term contract for the lease of the building. Extensive remodeling of the building will be necessary in order to make it usable for academic and administrative functions.

Because the planned occupants of this building presently occupy other leased facilities for which the lease expires on June 30, and because the aforementioned remodeling will require many weeks to accomplish, this request is presented at this time.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

This project has the involvement and recommendation of the Executive Committee of the School of Medicine, the Dean of the School of Medicine, the Director of Facilities and Services, and the Vice-President for Campus Services, SIUC.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The project to remodel the leased facility at 913 North Rutledge, Springfield medical campus, SIUC, be and is hereby approved at an estimated cost of \$120,000.
- (2) Funding for this project will be from state appropriations through the School of Medicine Physical Plant account.

- (3) Upon recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee authorization is granted for the design work to be performed by the in-house facilities planning staff.
- (4) After favorable recommendations by members of the Architecture and Design Committee, members of the Executive Committee of the Board be and are hereby authorized to approve plans and specifications and to award contracts in connection with the project herein approved.
- (5) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

Chancellor Shaw stated that this matter had been discussed last month in the Architecture and Design Committee meeting. He explained that the reason the matter was presented as current and pending was to expedite the renovation since the SIU School of Medicine had been able to negotiate a contract.

Mr. Van Meter moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC, President Somit expressed regret in having to increase the athletic fee.

The following matter was presented:

TUITION RATE INCREASES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1985  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B AND C]

Summary

This matter proposes tuition rate increases for Fiscal Year 1985 for The Southern Illinois University System institutions as specified in Table I, attached.



### Rationale for Adoption

The Illinois Board of Higher Education, at its January 10, 1984, meeting, approved higher education operating budget recommendations for Fiscal Year 1985. The recommendations for public universities provide for an increase of \$73.3 million, of which about \$12.2 million is to be provided through tuition increases.

In accord with its established policies, the IBHE has formally recommended a 6.5 percent increase in tuition for FY-85. Basically, this tuition level possibility was reviewed by the Chancellor in his comments on the notice of proposed tuition rate increases for Fiscal Year 1985 as presented to this Board at its meeting on February 9, 1984.

Review of tuition increase possibilities for FY-85 leaves no really desirable alternatives. IBHE budget formulation policies mandate a tuition increase derived from cost-of-living indexes, and persistent inflation requires persistent tuition increases in the IBHE budget recommendations. Thus the 6.5 percent increase recommended by the IBHE is in practice an acceptable increase on the basis of well-established state higher education policy.

Needed are funds to support high demand curricula; programs like engineering, computer training, and business are areas for which funding must be supplied. Equipment is a vital support and teaching factor in these and other programs and it must be up-dated, renewed, or acquired to keep pace with the striking technological developments of our time. Salaries are vital and must keep pace as closely as possible with inflation and competitive pressures. Utility funding from the state is acknowledgedly insufficient to meet the known need.

The consequences of making no tuition increase at all, or even an increase below the 6.5 percent level recommended by the IBHE, are straightforward and plain: such action would constitute a violation of a policy formulated by a state agency which is supposed to guide higher education budget making. In appropriation action the legislature could seriously question such a posture. In addition, the immediate practical effect of no tuition increase would be the loss of \$1.8 million in budgeted funding for SIU.

If the level of income funding included in the IBHE budget recommendations is not achieved, then the System faces the consequences of standing still in its efforts to meet its educational responsibilities while other systems move forward in dealing with their problems because more funding is available through their tuition increase action.

Specifically, however, the alternatives for tuition increases are quite limited. In the first place, there is no perfect solution: the dollars to be generated by any tuition increase will not provide a complete answer to the problem of scarce resources unless the increase were impossibly high (or perhaps not even then). In the second place, the increase level being recommended to the three other senior systems provides a significantly helpful level of additional resources and has the added political advantage of conforming

to a statewide practice in increases and thus of not drawing special attention to an exception to the pattern of the majority of the systems. Its disadvantage, of course, is the additional cost to be met by the student; that disadvantage is an unhappy and difficult problem which marks any tuition increase in a time when some increase is absolutely necessary.

These considerations lead to the conclusion that the welfare of the System and the Universities which make up the System will best be served by a tuition increase level which supplies needed resources. A tuition increase is one way The Southern Illinois University System can derive the resources necessary to meet its responsibilities to the state, to its faculty and staff, and to its students.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

The SIU Board has over the past several years been the leading advocate in Illinois for low tuition, and it can share in much of the credit for keeping tuition rates low. Access to higher education, long a major goal of the state, surely is increasingly limited by repeated tuition increases. The IBHE proposal to make available to the ISSC additional funds to offset the impact of proposed tuition increases will not benefit all of our students. Another major reason against adoption is the recognition that other cost increases are already being absorbed by students; room and board rates, fees, book costs, and supplies costs have all increased over the last several years.

#### Constituency Involvement

Although constituency groups have not been systematically asked for their recommendations, the Board's procedure of receiving a tuition or fee increase for notice one month and action in subsequent months has presented an opportunity for constituency review of this matter. Constituency representatives may wish to make comments at the Board meeting.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That tuition is increased to the IBHE budget level shown in Table 1 of this matter for specified classifications of students and that the appropriate change be reflected in 4 Policies of the Board B for SIUC and 4 Policies of the Board C for SIUE, effective with the collection of tuition for summer sessions, 1984; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Chancellor will direct that appropriate amendments to Policies of the Board be incorporated without further action by this Board.

Table 1  
Southern Illinois University System  
Current and Projected Academic Year Tuition Rates

	<u>FY-84 Tuition Rate</u>	<u>Recommended Increase</u>	<u>FY-85 Tuition Rate</u>
Full-Time Resident Students <sup>(a)</sup>			
SIUC			
Undergraduate and Graduate	\$ 894.00	\$ 60.00	\$ 954.00
Law	1,056.00	72.00	1,128.00
Medicine <sup>(b)</sup>	3,720.00	243.00	3,963.00
SIUE			
Undergraduate	882.00	57.45	939.45
Graduate	945.00	61.50	1,006.50
Dental	1,734.00	114.00	1,848.00

<sup>(a)</sup> Non-resident students are charged three times the relevant resident rate.

<sup>(b)</sup> Current total tuition is 9 x \$1,240.00 or \$11,160.00; proposed tuition will be 9 x \$1,321.00 or \$11,889.00.

Ms. Ann Greeley, President of the Graduate and Professional Student Council, SIUC, commented that GPSC was opposed to this proposed tuition increase. She stated that an additional 6.5 percent increase would be a burden on professional students, part-time graduate students, and students without any kind of assistantship or fellowship. She cited the problems that would be caused by the tuition increase as it related to access and retention.

Mr. Bruce Joseph, President of the Undergraduate Student Organization, SIUC, stated that SIUC undergraduates felt that a 6.5 percent tuition increase was excessive. He commented that students have been able to absorb

annual tuition increases, but the level was now fully saturated. He questioned whether SIU could afford to price itself out of an already declining market.

Mr. David Berry stated that one thing unique about this tuition increase was that it reflected a two percent real increase; 6.5 percent increase at a time when inflation was 4.5 percent. He remarked that the Board of Trustees has said that students are the last resort for funding and yet year after year they end up being the source of funding for increases in the overall operating budget. He stated that he was against the tuition increase and hoped that a message would be sent to the legislature stating that SIU needs expanded resources particularly in the funding area.

Mr. Rowe stated that out of \$174,000,000, \$132,000,000 was funded by general revenue by the legislature and \$42,000,000 from the income fund, so public higher education is still a bargain. He noted that it is a judgment call as to whether you can make sufficient cuts without damaging the viability of two very fine universities, or whether this was the minimum with which you could survive.

Mrs. Kimmel commented that the IBHE was equally concerned about the demands that students pay a larger and larger share. She stated that tuition for private institutions was going up much more rapidly than in public institutions. She remarked that, as discussed in the Finance Committee meeting, there was no good proof that students are lost when tuition increases. She asked where students could go for less to get the kind of education that they are seeking. She stated that she personally saw no solution to maintaining a first class, respected University without adequate funding.

Ms. Sharon Hutcherson stated that she was disappointed that the Board of Trustees did not defeat the athletic fee increase, a matter which it had complete control over. She remarked that the tuition increase was a

matter which the Board of Trustees did not have complete control over. She suggested that the Board increase tuition by only five percent.

Mr. Rowe commented that this Board had the authority to set the percentage of the tuition increase, but questioned whether it wanted to substitute its judgment for those that are hired to run these Universities.

Mrs. Kinmei moved approval of the resolution as presented. The motion was duly seconded. Mr. Rowe asked for a roll call vote. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, none; nay, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

Regarding the tuition increase matter and other increases presented to the Board, Mr. Van Meter stated that automatic increases were not going to be looked on with favor and he was putting administrators and others on notice that future increases over the inflation rate were going to have to be justified, although he had supported this increase and believed that it was in the best interest of the University.

Mr. Elliott suggested that the totality of the needs of the University needed to be considered in voting the increases. When he looked at the surveys of faculty salaries, he stated he was disturbed by the loss of good faculty and failure to attract the type of people we would like to attract because we were not paying competitive money to faculty. He commented that you need quality faculty to have a quality university. He stated that he supported Mr. Van Meter's statement that every fee should be looked at as to its need and re-evaluate programs, but that the matter needed to be looked at

from the standpoint of what was best for the University in the long-range view.

Dr. Wilkins challenged students, faculty, and members of the Board of Trustees to convince the mass electorate that a tax increase was needed. He stated that 75 to 80 percent of the people do not believe that a tax increase is needed.

Mr. Norwood agreed that care should be exercised in increasing the cost of higher education.

The following matter was presented:

NOTICE: RESIDENCY STATUS TUITION POLICIES

History

At its March 12, 1981 meeting, the Board of Trustees approved amendments to its policies which:

1. define as residents persons from fourteen designated counties in western Kentucky;
2. define as residents persons from Missouri who register for not more than six semester or nine quarter hours;
3. allow institution-to-institution agreements under which non-resident students can take courses at the in-state tuition rate; and
4. allow residents of Missouri to offset against the difference between resident and non-resident tuition any income tax that they pay to the State of Illinois, and allow dependent children who are residents of Missouri to offset against the difference between resident and non-resident tuition any such tax paid by their parents or guardians.

These policies were identified as experimental, to be in effect through the summer session of 1984. To become effective, the policies must be initiated by the Presidents with the agreement of the Chancellor (prior approval in the case of #3). The School of Dental Medicine, the School of Law, and the School of Medicine were excluded from #1, #2, and #4.

Thus far, only policies #1 and #2 have been invoked. SIUE began application of policy #2 in the Fall Quarter of 1981 for both undergraduate and graduate students. A similar Board-approved policy was in effect at SIUE in 1980-81 for graduate students residing in designated counties in Missouri

and the City of St. Louis. SIUC began application of policies #1 and #2 in the Spring Semester of 1982 for graduate students only. Periodic reports on the results of the application of these policies have been provided to members of the Board.

#### Rationale for the Policies

The policies recognize a fact frequently overlooked: that state boundaries do not necessarily define a university's constituency and supporters. Indeed, SIU's policies are based on others that were already in place in Kentucky and Missouri when ours were approved. In effect, then, application of the policies provides access to a part of SIU's natural constituency.

The policies have been limited and controlled. They were approved for a three-year period, and during that time their effects have been carefully monitored in qualitative and quantitative terms. If their continuation is approved, that monitoring will continue.

Other benefits, if less tangible, are nevertheless real. As President Lazerson has pointed out: "The Missouri residents attending SIUE are exposed to the opportunities and growth potential available in Illinois, leading to serious consideration of this state for business expansion, residence, or employment. The resulting economic development consequences are clear."

Finally, there are the matters of enrollment and cost. We believe that the evidence, discussed below, strongly suggests that use of the policies has increased enrollments; that it has not increased our costs but rather functioned to fill seats that would otherwise be vacant; and that, when all significant factors are taken into account, it has been responsible for a modest increase in revenue.

#### Considerations Against the Policies

One argument against the policies is that heavy demand on the part of Kentucky and Missouri registrants could deny access to Illinois residents. This phenomenon has not occurred. The increase in graduate students from Kentucky and Missouri at SIUC has thus far been modest, and ample seminar-space continues to be available. At SIUE, in such high-demand areas as the Master of Business Administration and Engineering programs, procedures are in place which guarantee the access of Illinois residents in the event that the University is unable to meet all enrollment demands.

The other principal objection that can be raised against the policies is fiscal: in one way or another, it can be argued, the policies are causing us to lose revenue and are thus increasing costs for the State of Illinois. We believe that the evidence assembled on our experience to date counters this argument.

#### Effects of the Policies

SIUC's experience with the policies has been far more limited than that of SIUE. The former has applied policies #1 and #2 to graduate students

only since the Spring Semester of 1981. Kentucky registrants have increased from 7 in Fall 1980 to 32 in Fall 1983; and Missouri registrants have increased, over the same period, from 28 to 92. Thus, the information from SIUC is not yet sufficient to argue either for or against continuation of the policies. SIUC does, however, believe that if it were to drop the policies, its enrollments would revert to the previous low levels.

SIUE's experience with policy #2, on the other hand, has provided a sufficient body of data to warrant certain conclusions. For example, Table 1 (attached) shows that graduate registrants from Missouri increased 131 percent from Fall Quarter 1979 to Fall Quarter 1983 (from 129 students to 298 students); as a proportion of total graduate enrollment, Missouri registrants increased from 7.4 percent in 1979 to 15.9 percent in 1983. Undergraduate Missouri registrants increased 52 percent from Fall Quarter 1980 to Fall Quarter 1983 (from 241 students to 367 students); as a proportion of total undergraduate enrollment, Missouri registrants increased from 3.1 percent in 1980 to 4.4 percent in 1983. Thus, application of policy #2 appears to be an important factor in SIUE's successful enrollment maintenance efforts. Also pertinent is the fact that 36 percent of all inquiries about Weekend University come from Missouri residents.

Tables 2 and 3 (attached) provide another way of looking at the situation. By fiscal year, beginning with the year prior to application of policy #2, these tables show the number of paid registrants and the amount of tuition revenue received. The increase in enrollment is clear.

But what has the policy "cost"? Certainly, there have been no increased costs: adding a few Missouri students to a class does not make the course more expensive to offer. But what about revenue not received because Missouri students are not paying out-of-state tuition? SIUE estimates that, based on the rate of decline in Missouri resident enrollment in the years prior to 1980 and on the magnitude of tuition increases from 1979 to 1983, Missouri resident undergraduate enrollment would have declined by 10 percent and graduate enrollment by 40 percent from 1979 through 1983 in the absence of the policy. In this circumstance, projected FY-84 tuition revenues from undergraduates would have been \$474,334 rather than \$433,605 (see Table 3) and for graduate students \$133,865 rather than \$196,937 (see Table 2). Thus, if the current policy had not been in effect, SIUE would probably be collecting in FY-84 approximately \$22,000 less from Missouri students. Tables 4 and 5 (attached) show the relationships between possible enrollment declines of Missouri students and current tuition revenues.

Finally, in assessing the "cost" of the policy at least one other factor should be taken into account. SIUE estimates that a \$40,000 increase (constant dollars) in fee revenues has occurred over the experimental period. In the face of intense cost pressures on bonded debt enterprises, especially from relatively fixed costs such as utilities and maintenance, this added revenue is a significant consideration.

### Conclusion

At this time, the Chancellor and the Presidents favor continuation of the policies. Monitoring of the effects of the policies would also be



continued on an annual basis, with a further report to the Board to be made at the completion of FY-87.

The Chancellor and the Presidents will continue review of this matter so that it can be presented to the Board for action at its April meeting.

Mr. Rowe explained that this matter had been discussed in the Finance Committee meeting and would be on the April agenda of the Board of Trustees for action.

Under Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System, Dr. Shaw stated that this was the first Board meeting scheduled more than ten days after the Board's receipt of his report on the Application for Appeal of David Wagman, SIUC, for which his recommendation was that the Application for Appeal be refused. He explained that for such cases VI Bylaws 2-F provided that unless a majority vote to override his recommendation was enacted at this meeting, the Application for Appeal would be deemed to have been denied, and that the action which was the subject of the grievance would stand. He said that no motion to concur in his recommendation was necessary, but that if any Board member desired to grant the appeal notwithstanding his recommendation, this was the meeting at which such a motion would need to be made.

The Chair asked if there was such a motion; hearing none, the Chair declared that the Chancellor's decision would stand.

Chancellor Shaw stated that he had presented a review of the IBHE budget recommendations for FY-85 developed as a result of the Governor's budget message in the Finance Committee meeting. For those who were not in attendance at the Finance Committee meeting, he stated that higher education's overall share of new money was quite good given the fact that the tax increase had not been extended. He explained that more than a five percent increase

for all of higher education had been received, which included \$47.5 million in support for general operations and \$10,000,000 for special economic development and technology programs, making higher education's overall increase at \$72,000,000, adding the income fund monies raised through the tuition increase. He pointed out that the budget did not provide for a 7 percent increase in faculty salaries, as recommended by the IBHE, but did provide for a 5 percent increase assuming the universities could make up the difference between the 4.75 percent in new money and the 5 percent increase. He continued that there was no money included for general cost increases, recommended by IBHE at a level of 5 percent, and that the universities will have to absorb this and it will result in a necessary reallocation. He stated that this was a budget that SIU will fight very hard to preserve in the legislature.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE, President Lazerson announced that the Student Chapter of the Institute of Electronic and Electrical Engineers at SIUE had received the Vincent Bendix Award for the third consecutive year. He continued that the Institute has a worldwide competition in the design area each year, and that this was a very fine honor for the School of Engineering and the students who participated. He announced that the SIUE wrestling team had won the Division II Championship in Baltimore and congratulated members of the team and Coach Kristoff.


The Chair announced that a news conference had been scheduled immediately following the regular meeting in the International Room, and that lunch would be served in the Mississippi-Illinois Room, with members of the Academic Affairs Conference as guests.

March 8, 1984

285

Mr. Norwood moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 11:55 a.m.

---

Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary

## CONTENTS

Roll Call . . . . .	287
Trustee Reports . . . . .	287
Committee Reports . . . . .	289
Executive Committee . . . . .	289
Finance Committee . . . . .	289
Academic Matters Committee . . . . .	290
Architecture and Design Committee . . . . .	290
Approval of Minutes of the Meeting held March 8, 1984 . . . . .	290
Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, February, 1984, SIUC and SIUE . .	291
Information Report: Approval of Reasonable and Moderate Extensions and Off-Campus Program Locations . . . . .	291
Recommendation for Honorary Degree, SIUE (Father Walter J. Ong) . . . . .	293
Recommendation for Distinguished Service Award, SIUE (Martha R. O'Malley) . . . . .	294
Recommendation for Distinguished Service Award, SIUE (George A. Killenberg) . . . . .	296
Recommendation for Distinguished Service Award, SIUE (G. Duncan Bauman) . . . . .	298
Increase in Project Budget and Award of Contracts: Parking Lot Construction, SIUE . . . . .	300
Residency Status Tuition Policies . . . . .	301
Separation of On-Campus Undergraduate International Student Student- to-Student Grant Money from the Total Student-to-Student Grant Money Collected, SIUC [Amendment to 4 <u>Policies of the Board B-11</u> ] . . . . .	310
Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE . . . . .	311
Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of the SIU System . . . . .	312
Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC . . . . .	313

The regular monthly meeting of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University convened on Thursday, April 12, 1984, at 10:30 a.m., in the Auditorium of the SIU School of Medicine, 801 North Rutledge, Springfield, Illinois. The regular Chairman and Secretary being present, the meeting was called to order. The following members of the Board were present:

Mr. David Berry  
Mr. Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
Mrs. Crete B. Harvey  
Mrs. Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
Mr. William R. Norwood  
Mr. Harris Rowe, Chairman  
Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.

The following members were absent:

Ms. Sharon Hutcherson  
Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman

Executive Officers present were:

Mr. Earl E. Lazerson, President, SIUE  
Dr. Kenneth A. Shaw, Chancellor of the SIU System  
Dr. Albert Somit, President, SIUC

Also present was Mrs. Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary of the Board.

The Secretary reported a quorum present.

NOTE: Copies of all background documents furnished to the Board in connection with the following matters have been placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

Under Trustee Reports, Mrs. Kimmel reported that she had attended the American Association of Higher Education Meeting, March 14-16, with the theme of "schools and colleges." She stated that this was the first time in a long time that people in higher education have felt it was necessary for them to take a look at what was happening in high schools. She reported that awards had been presented to high schools and colleges who had worked together to advance academic achievements, and commented that President Lazerson has been a part of the activity that has been going on in the high schools and

universities. She stated that there was considerable discussion regarding SAT test scores. She stated that it was true that the decline in academic performance appeared to be the most marked among the most able students, and hidden in the celebrated decline of the SAT scores over 19 years was the fact that the most precipitous decline had been by those who ranked in the highest of their high school classes. She continued that over the last 8 years combined verbal and math scores on the SAT had dropped an average of 10 points overall, but for those in the top tenth of their class they had dropped 26 points. She stated that Harvard had done a study on textbooks and why this may be happening and that report was that textbooks were accommodating the decline in achievement instead of trying to raise the achievement. She explained that the universities were going to be faced in this interim of having to provide more remedial help at the university level in order to bring the people up to the standards that we were expecting while the high schools were trying to raise their standards. She noted that this was of particular interest in a time when we were being asked to do less remedially. She summarized the conference by quoting a speaker from the conference: "Much of the concern about high schools--their lack of consensus regarding goals, their weak academic curriculum, the difficulties of their teachers and administrators, their need for cooperation with other institutions, the growing significance of state action, the concomitant need of equity and excellence--applies to post-secondary institutions as well. We in higher education may well wish to attend to these issues currently being debated at the high school level before the glare of the public attention is turned upon higher education."

Mr. Elliott reported that he had attended a meeting of the Executive Committee of the Southern Illinois University Foundation on April 3. He stated that the Foundation had taken action on the rent increase at Evergreen

Terrace which the Board had recommended, voted a 37-1/2 hour workweek for the people at the Foundation who would compare with the University's Civil Service people, discussed the possibility of an emergency loan fund for survivors of deceased employees, encouraged the School of Medicine to try to negotiate for the Calhoun property, transferred money to process patents and copyrights, allocated royalties which had been received from the Land Between the Rivers, and approved a grant of \$10,000 to the Ronald McDonald House in Springfield. He commented that the Foundation was doing a fine job and he appreciated the work that President Somit had done to strengthen the Foundation.

Mr. Elliott reported that he had attended a meeting of the Merit Board of the State Universities Civil Service System on April 4. He stated that the main business of the meeting was hearings on appeals.

Under Committee Reports, Dr. Wilkins had no report for the Executive Committee.

Mr. Elliott, Chairman of the Finance Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Lincoln Conference Room of the SIU School of Medicine at 8:15 a.m. that morning. He gave the following report:

The Committee received an update on computing planning. I have visited with Thomas Britton on a time schedule which he discussed with the Committee. Copy of the letter which he confirms the schedule will be sent to each Board member so you will have a reminder of what we discussed and the planning schedule. The Committee recommends approval of Items M, Residency Status Tuition Policies, and P, Separation of On-Campus Undergraduate International Student Student-to-Student Grant Money from the Total Student-to-Student Grant Money Collected, SIUC, and that they be placed on the omnibus motion.

With regard to the Residency Status Tuition Policies matter, Mr. Rowe stated that the Board would like to have an annual report on this so it could make sure that it was staying on top of the matter and to be reassured that Illinois students were not being kept out of our schools because of this policy.

Mrs. Kimmel, Chairperson of the Academic Matters Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Lincoln Conference Room of the SIU School of Medicine at 8:50 a.m. that morning. She gave the following report:

The Committee received the most time and it was filled. People seem to be anxious to talk about the issues. The Committee approved Items G, Recommendation for Honorary Degree, SIUE [Father Walter J. Ong], H, Recommendation for Distinguished Service Award, SIUE [Martha R. O'Malley], I, Recommendation for Distinguished Service Award, SIUE [George A. Killenberg], and J, Recommendation for Distinguished Service Award, SIUE [G. Duncan Bauman] and recommends that they be placed on the omnibus motion. The Committee received Item N, Information Report: Approval of Reasonable and Moderate Extensions and Off-Campus Program Locations. The Committee was brought up to date on many other issues.

Mrs. Harvey reported as follows on the Architecture and Design Committee which had met on Thursday, April 12, 1984, at 9:50 a.m., in the Lincoln Conference Room of the SIU School of Medicine:

The Committee approved Item K, Increase in Project Budget and Award of Contracts: Parking Lot Construction, SIUE, and recommends that it be placed on the omnibus motion. The Committee received a status report on the Library Storage Facility and information from SIUE for demolition of tract houses, School of Dental Medicine architect search, housing architect search, and the Theater Performance Facility. The Theater Performance Facility is open and functioning as of late March and there will be a dedication on May 22. There will be a dedication of the Multi-Purpose Building on May 7.

Mr. Rowe stated that he hoped President Lazerson would take members of the Board on a tour of these two facilities at an early occasion.

Mr. Elliott moved the agenda which included the following: reception of Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, February 1984, SIUC and SIUE, and of Information Report: Approval of Reasonable and Moderate Extensions and Off-Campus Program Locations; the ratification of Changes in Faculty-Administrative Payroll, SIUC and SIUE; and the approval of Minutes of the meeting held March 8, 1984; Recommendation for Honorary Degree, SIUE (Father Walter J. Ong); Recommendation for Distinguished Service Award, SIUE (Martha R. O'Malley); Recommendation for Distinguished Service Award, SIUE (George A. Killenberg); Recommendation for Distinguished Service Award, SIUE (G. Duncan Bauman);



Increase in Project Budget and Award of Contracts: Parking Lot Construction, SIUE; Residency Status Tuition Policies; and Separation of On-Campus Undergraduate International Student Student-to-Student Grant Money from the Total Student-to-Student Grant Money Collected, SIUC [Amendment to 4 Policies of the Board B-11]. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry; nay, none. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

The following matters were thereby approved:

REPORTS OF PURCHASE ORDERS AND CONTRACTS,  
FEBRUARY, 1984, SIUC and SIUE

In accordance with III Bylaws 1, and procedures effective April 1, 1980, summary reports of purchase orders and contracts awarded during the month of February, 1984, were mailed to the members of the Board in advance of this meeting, copies were placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees, and these reports are hereby submitted for information and entry upon the minutes of the Board with respect to the actions of the Executive Committee.

INFORMATION REPORT: APPROVAL OF REASONABLE AND MODERATE  
EXTENSIONS AND OFF-CAMPUS PROGRAM LOCATIONS

This report contains information on all actions in the category of reasonable and moderate extensions and all requests for off-campus program locations since the last report on September 8, 1983.

1. Further information on the September 8, 1983, report:

Master of Science, Major in Nursing, Medical-Surgical Specialization, in the Carbondale Area, SIUE.

This request is still being reviewed by the IBHE staff.

2. Reasonable and Moderate Extensions Approved by the Chancellor Since September 8, 1983:

Temporary Consolidation of the Department of Religious Studies with the Department of Philosophy, SIUC.

Change of Title of Major and of Tenure Unit from Dental Laboratory Technology to Dental Technology, SIUC.

Change of Title of the Division of Baccalaureate Studies in the School of Technical Careers to the Division of Advanced Technical Careers, SIUC.

Addition of a Specialization in Mathematical Sciences to the Undergraduate Major in Mathematical Studies, SIUE.

Merger of Department of Early Childhood and Elementary Education and Department of Secondary Education to Form Department of Curriculum and Instruction, SIUE.

Change of Title of Unit from General Academic Programs to Undergraduate Academic Services, SIUC.

RECOMMENDATION FOR HONORARY DEGREE, SIUESummary

The Chancellor, on the recommendation of the University Committee for Honorary Degrees and Distinguished Service Awards and the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, presents to the Board of Trustees a resolution recommending the presentation of the honorary degree of Doctor of Humane Letters to Dr. Walter J. Ong, S.J. at an early commencement of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville.

Rationale for Adoption

Walter J. Ong was born November 30, 1912 in Kansas City, Missouri. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree in 1933 from Rockhurst College; the Lecturer in Philosophy degree in 1940, the Master of Arts degree in 1941, and the Lecturer in Sacred Theology degree in 1948, all from St. Louis University. He pursued graduate studies in English and received the Ph.D. degree from Harvard University in 1955. In 1935 he entered the Society of Jesus and was ordained a priest in the Roman Catholic Church in 1946.

As an educator, lecturer, author, researcher, and critic, Father Walter Ong has contributed greatly to the understanding of man's history and development as manifested through cultural and intellectual evolution. Two of his early books, Ramus, Method, and the Decay of Dialogue and Ramus and Talon Inventory, are considered milestone works in the study of Renaissance intellectual history. His other books and articles address a variety of subjects, including general cultural history, religion and philosophy, and the effects of human biology on cultural and intellectual activities.

Father Walter Ong has devoted his life to the study and teaching of English and the humanities. From 1941 to 1943 he served as an instructor in English and French at Regis College, Denver, Colorado. In 1944 he joined St. Louis University as an assistant, and served in that post until 1947. From 1953 to 1959 he held appointments at St. Louis University as instructor, assistant professor, and associate professor. He was appointed full professor in 1959; he became Professor of Humanities in Psychiatry in 1970 in conjunction with the St. Louis University School of Medicine; and in 1981 he was designated as University Professor of Humanities.

An educator and authority of international reputation, Walter Ong has traveled and lectured throughout the United States and Canada, and in Europe, Africa, the Middle East, and Central America. He has served as a lecturer or visiting professor at many universities including Yale University, New York University, the University of Chicago, Cornell University, McGill University, and the University of Poitiers (France). He is a recognized radio and television lecturer in the United States, Canada, and abroad, thus pursuing his desire to help as many as possible to understand and appreciate the humanities.

Father Ong has held fellowships at the Center for Advanced Studies of Wesleyan University in Connecticut, the Center for Advanced Study in

Behavioral Sciences, Stanford, California, and the American Academy of Arts and Sciences. He has twice been named as a Guggenheim fellow, in 1949-50 and 1951-52.

Father Ong served as a member of the White House Task Force on Education in 1966-67. He has served with the National Council on Humanities, the National Endowment for Humanities, the Rockefeller Foundation Committee on Humanities, the Cambridge (England) Bibliographic Society, and the Advisory Board of the John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation. He has served as Chairman of the Board and as President of the National Humanities Faculty, and, in 1978, as President of the Modern Language Association.

Throughout these many activities, Father Walter J. Ong has always remembered this area: the locus of much of his work is in the St. Louis region. His insights into the processes of human communication have brought enrichment to thousands of people. His more recent works have provided us a better understanding of the interplay between biological and physical factors and intellectual and cultural change. It is for Father Walter J. Ong's contributions and accomplishments in these areas that this recommendation for an honorary degree is presented.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

The University Committee for Honorary Degrees and Distinguished Service Awards and the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville have recommended this recognition in honor of Father Walter J. Ong.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That, upon the recommendation of the University Committee for Honorary Degrees and Distinguished Service Awards and the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, the honorary degree of Doctor of Humane Letters be awarded to Father Walter J. Ong at the June 8, 1984 commencement or some commencement thereafter of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville.

#### RECOMMENDATION FOR DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARD, SIUE

#### Summary

The Chancellor, on the recommendation of the University Committee for Honorary Degrees and Distinguished Service Awards and the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, presents to the Board of Trustees a resolution recommending the presentation of the Distinguished Service Award to Mrs. Martha R. O'Malley at an early commencement of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville.

### Rationale for Adoption

Martha R. O'Malley was born October 30, 1920 in Warren, Arkansas. She received her Bachelor of Arts degree from Arkansas State University in 1943. In 1968 she received her Master of Science degree in elementary education from SIUE, and in 1970 she received the Specialist Degree in elementary education from SIUC. She has pursued additional graduate work in educational administration at SIUE.

Martha O'Malley has served the educational community in SIUE's region for nearly 20 years. She began a teaching career in 1964 serving with St. Joseph's School in East St. Louis. From 1965 to 1967 she taught in the Signal Hills School District #181, and continued to serve that district from 1967 to 1969 as Director of the Demonstration Center for Gifted Children. In 1969 she joined the faculty at SIUE as Coordinator of Elementary Education and Student Services. In 1970 she was appointed Assistant to the Superintendent of the Signal Hills School District #181. From 1971 to 1975 she served as Assistant Superintendent of that District. Since 1975 Mrs. O'Malley has been Regional Superintendent of Schools for St. Clair County. She is one of only two elected women regional superintendents of schools in the State of Illinois.

Mrs. O'Malley's career reflects her dedication to improving the quality of education in this region. She played a fundamental role in the development and delivery of early childhood and special education services to thousands of children in a ten county area surrounding St. Clair County.

She has involved herself in a wide range of professional organizations directed at improving education. These include both the Illinois and the American Association of School Administrators, the Illinois Association of School Boards, the National Association for the Study of Education, the Illinois and the American Reading Associations, the Illinois Association for Supervision, Curriculum, and Development, and the Lewis and Clark Reading Council.

In addition to her work in education, Mrs. O'Malley has assisted a variety of civic organizations. She has served as Vice President of Southwestern Illinois Public Television Incorporated, as a member of the Executive Committee of the Southwestern Illinois Planning Commission, with the Belleville Chamber of Commerce, the St. Clair County Historical Society, and the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People. In addition, she helped develop the Overall Economic Development Program for St. Clair County.

Martha O'Malley's many contributions and achievements have been recognized through a number of awards. In 1976 she received the Citizen of Achievement Award in Education from the East St. Louis Monitor. She was awarded the Illinois Superintendent's Citation for Exemplary Leadership in Curriculum and Administration in 1977. The Educational Council of 100 Incorporated granted her their service award in 1977. Also in 1977 the St. Louis Globe-Democrat newspaper gave to her the Women of Achievement Award. Throughout her career, Martha O'Malley has directed her activities toward improving the region that SIUE serves. All who live in the region have benefitted from her dedication to improving the common school system and from her commitment

to community service. It is for these reasons that this recommendation for a Distinguished Service Award is presented.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

The University Committee for Honorary Degrees and Distinguished Service Awards and the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville have recommended this recognition in honor of Mrs. Martha R. O'Malley.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That, upon the recommendation of the University Committee for Honorary Degrees and Distinguished Service Awards and the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, the Distinguished Service Award, SIUE, be awarded to Mrs. Martha R. O'Malley at the June 8, 1984 commencement or some commencement thereafter of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville.

#### RECOMMENDATION FOR DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARD, SIUE

#### Summary

The Chancellor, on the recommendation of the University Committee for Honorary Degrees and Distinguished Service Awards and the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, presents to the Board of Trustees a resolution recommending the presentation of the Distinguished Service Award to Mr. George A. Killenberg at an early commencement of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville.

#### Rationale for Adoption

George A. Killenberg was born March 30, 1917 in St. Clair County, Illinois. He attended St. Louis University and received his Bachelor of Arts degree in 1954 and his Master of Arts degree in 1958, both in history.

In 1941 Mr. Killenberg joined the St. Louis Globe-Democrat newspaper as a reporter. He has held numerous positions at the newspaper including investigative reporter, day assistant city editor, and assistant to the managing editor, and was named city editor in 1956. In 1966 he accepted the position of managing editor and he became executive editor in 1979.

During the seventeen years that George Killenberg served as the St. Louis Globe-Democrat's top news executive, the newspaper set the standard for print news media in the St. Louis region. As a result of his guidance, the Globe received many awards including the Pulitzer Prize, the Sigma Delta Chi Public Service Award, the Inland Press Public Service Award, and the University of Missouri Gold Medal.

Through his leadership and editorial skill, the Globe not only reported the news but also took on public service and reform issues. The topics addressed by these actions included air and water pollution, mental health systems, hospital organization, help for retarded children, highway and transportation improvements, labor activities, and a campaign against drunken driving.

George Killenberg has paid special attention to southwest Illinois for many years. In 1974 he inaugurated a special Illinois edition and assigned dozens of additional staff to cover Metro East, with news bureaus in Springfield, Edwardsville, Belleville, Alton, and East St. Louis to help support this activity. He encouraged Chamber of Commerce officials to establish a Southern Illinois Task Force to study the needs of the region and to aid in promoting solutions. He initiated "Focus on Metro-east," a continuing series exploring the quality of life in the region. For more than twelve years he served as chairman or participant in the SIUC School of Journalism spring conferences for high school students and teachers. Articles addressing the aspirations and achievements of SIUE appeared regularly in the Globe-Democrat, many of them undertaken at his direction.

Mr. George Killenberg is a recognized and honored leader in the St. Louis region. He has given unselfishly of his time and talents both within and outside his profession. He served as president of the St. Louis Metropolitan Press Club, was founder and first chairman of the Mid-America Press Institute, and served on the advisory committee for the St. Louis Journalism Foundation. His service to education is wide ranging. He has served on the board of directors or the advisory board of several institutions including Marillac College, Incarnate Word Academy, the University of Missouri at St. Louis, the SIUC School of Journalism, and St. Louis University high school. The St. Louis Chapter of Missouri Social Welfare, the Greater St. Louis Council on Alcoholism, and the Missouri Crippled Children's Service have all benefitted from his talent and service on their advisory boards.

For his contributions and service to his community, George Killenberg has received a number of awards. These include the Media Appreciation Award from the St. Louis Variety Club, the Civic Award from the Flora Place Protective Association, the UMSL Alumni Association Award for Service to Higher Education, and the St. Louis University Alumni Merit Award.

It is because of Mr. George A. Killenberg's career of public service and for his continuing concern for the St. Louis region that this recommendation for a Distinguished Service Award is presented.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

The University Committee for Honorary Degrees and Distinguished Service Awards and the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville have recommended this recognition in honor of Mr. George A. Killenberg.

### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That, upon the recommendation of the University Committee for Honorary Degrees and Distinguished Service Awards and the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, the Distinguished Service Award, SIUE, be awarded to Mr. George A. Killenberg at the June 8, 1984 commencement or some commencement thereafter of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville.

### RECOMMENDATION FOR DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARD, SIUE

#### Summary

The Chancellor, on the recommendation of the University Committee for Honorary Degrees and Distinguished Service Awards and the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, presents to the Board of Trustees a resolution recommending the presentation of the Distinguished Service Award to Mr. G. Duncan Bauman at an early commencement of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville.

#### Rationale for Adoption

G. Duncan Bauman was born April 12, 1912 in Humbolt, Iowa. He attended Loyola University in Chicago. In 1948 Mr. Bauman received the Juris Doctorate degree from Washington University in St. Louis.

Mr. Bauman entered the newspaper business with the Chicago Herald Examiner. He worked as a reporter with that newspaper from 1931 to 1939. In 1939 he came to St. Louis to work as an architectural representative for Pratt and Lambert, Inc. He began his career with the St. Louis Globe-Democrat newspaper in 1943. He worked as a reporter, rewriter, and assistant city editor from 1943 to 1951, as personnel manager from 1951 to 1959, and as business manager from 1959 to 1967. In 1967 Duncan Bauman became editor and publisher of the Globe.

Through Duncan Bauman's personal leadership, the Globe became a dynamic force in the development of the St. Louis metropolitan area, including the southwestern Illinois region served by SIUE. His highly professional policies guided the manner of news coverage, and his personal involvement produced a news medium that influenced the economic, educational, and cultural development of the region. The Globe's outstanding coverage of local issues and concerns of interest to the University resulted from Mr. Bauman's dedication to serving all parts of the metropolitan area. SIUE has benefitted tremendously from the accurate, detailed coverage devoted to the University by the Illinois bureau of the Globe.

Aside from his service to the region and to the University as publisher of the Globe, Duncan Bauman has contributed to a variety of civic, social, cultural, and educational activities in the region. His vita lists dozens of leadership positions he has held in the widest array of civic and service organizations. A sampling of his service activities includes Director



of the Missouri State Chamber of Commerce and a member of the Board of Directors and of the Executive Committee of the Chamber of Commerce of Metropolitan St. Louis, President of the Health and Welfare Council of Metropolitan St. Louis, Inc., member of the Board of Directors and Vice President of the United Way of Greater St. Louis, Inc., member of the National Citizens Advisory Committee of the Association of American Medical Colleges, member of the Board of Directors of the Arts and Education Council of Greater St. Louis, member of the Board of Directors of the Better Business Bureau, Secretary to the Board of Election Commissioners of the City of St. Louis, member of the Executive Board of the St. Louis Area Council of the Boy Scouts of America, member of the DePaul Community Health Center Lay Advisory Board, member of the Board of Trustees of the Governmental Research Institute, member of the National Board of Directors of Junior Achievement, Inc., member of the Board of Directors of the St. Louis Municipal Theater Association, and member of the Board of Directors of the St. Louis Symphony Society. In short, G. Duncan Bauman has applied his skill and leadership ability to the full range of human concerns and services.

Mr. Bauman has been widely recognized for his dedication to improving the St. Louis region and the human condition. He is the recipient of honorary degrees from Central Methodist College, Maryville College, Missouri Valley College, and the St. Louis Rabbinical College. In 1972 he was awarded the Washington University Distinguished Alumnus Citation, and in 1973 the Loyola University Alumni Association Citation. The St. Louis Regional Commerce and Growth Association granted Mr. Bauman the Right Arm of St. Louis Award in 1980. In 1983 he received the U.S. Department of Defense Medal for Distinguished Public Service and the B'nai B'rith Distinguished Communal Service Award.

G. Duncan Bauman exemplifies the dedication to community service that is the hallmark of great leaders. Everyone who lives in the St. Louis region has benefitted from his concern and devotion, and SIUE is a particular case in point. It is because of Mr. Bauman's lifetime of service to the region and to the University that this recommendation for a Distinguished Service Award is presented.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

The University Committee for Honorary Degrees and Distinguished Service Awards and the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville have recommended this recognition in honor of Mr. G. Duncan Bauman.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That, upon the recommendation of the University Committee for Honorary Degrees and Distinguished Service Awards and

the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, the Distinguished Service Award, SIUE, be awarded to Mr. G. Duncan Bauman at the June 8, 1984 commencement or some commencement thereafter of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville.

INCREASE IN PROJECT BUDGET AND AWARD OF CONTRACTS:  
PARKING LOT CONSTRUCTION, SIUE

Summary

This matter proposes a budget increase and award of contracts for work for the project to construct a parking lot, with related facilities and improvements, west of the Vadalabene Center, SIUE. The budget increase requested is from \$175,000 to \$232,000. Funding for the project is from revenue derived from parking fees and related sources at SIUE.

Attached for information is the bid summary sheet.

Rationale for Adoption

The project was initially approved by this Board at its June 9, 1983 meeting and was subsequently approved by the IBHE at its July, 1983 meeting. At its meeting of September 8, 1983, this Board reauthorized the project, approved the plans and specifications for it, and authorized the members of the Executive Committee of the Board to award contracts in connection with the project.

Authorization for members of the Executive Committee to award contracts was obtained in the belief that the parking lot area would be released by the contractor to the University soon after the Board action, and the bidding and contract award could be completed so that work could be started before winter weather began. Construction delays changed the project time schedule and, therefore this proposal for award of contracts can be submitted through the Board's regular procedures without calling upon the Executive Committee.

Increase in the project budget is necessary because the bids received exceed the approved project budget. After review of the bids, University officers concluded that rebidding the project would not result in a significant reduction in project cost. Bids were received and opened March 7, 1984.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

This project was reviewed and approved by the Physical Facilities Committee of the University Planning and Budget Council, SIUE. It is recommended for adoption by the Director of Supporting Services and the President, SIUE.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The budget for the capital project to construct a parking lot west of the Vadalabene Center, SIUE, be and is hereby approved at \$232,000, to be funded from SIUE parking fees and related sources.
- (2) A contract in the amount of \$152,445.75 be awarded to Georgewitz Contracting Co., Godfrey, Illinois, for the general construction work.
- (3) A contract in the amount of \$68,175.00 be awarded to Rite Electric Co., Granite City, Illinois, for the electrical work.
- (4) The President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

RESIDENCY STATUS TUITION POLICIES

Summary

At its March 12, 1981 meeting, the Board of Trustees approved amendments to its policies which:

1. define as Illinois residents for tuition purposes persons from fourteen designated counties in western Kentucky;
2. define as Illinois residents for tuition purposes persons from Missouri who register for not more than six semester or nine quarter hours;
3. allow institution-to-institution agreements under which non-resident students can take courses at the in-state tuition rate; and
4. allow residents of Missouri to offset against the difference between resident and non-resident tuition any income tax that they pay to the State of Illinois, and allow dependent children who are residents of Missouri to offset against the difference between resident and non-resident tuition any such tax paid by their parents or guardians.

These new policies were identified as experimental, to be in effect through the summer session of 1984. To become effective, these new policies were required to be initiated by the Presidents with the agreement of the Chancellor

(prior approval in the case of #3). The School of Dental Medicine, the School of Law, and the School of Medicine were excluded from #1, #2, and #4.

Thus far, only policies #1 and #2 have been invoked. SIUE began application of policy #2 in the Fall Quarter of 1981 for both undergraduate and graduate students. A similar Board-approved policy was in effect at SIUE in 1980-81 for graduate students residing in designated counties in Missouri and the City of St. Louis. SIUC began application of policies #1 and #2 in the Spring Semester of 1982 for graduate students only. Periodic reports on the results of the application of these policies have been provided to members of the Board.

This matter proposes that all four policies be continued indefinitely, with reports on their effects to be provided to the Board from time to time.

#### Rationale for Adoption

The policies recognize a fact frequently overlooked: state boundaries do not necessarily define a university's constituency and supporters. Indeed, SIU's policies are based on others that were already in place in Kentucky and Missouri when ours were approved. In effect, application of the policies provides access to SIU to a part of SIU's natural constituency.

The policies have been limited and controlled. They were approved for a three-year period, and during that time their effects have been carefully monitored in qualitative and quantitative terms. If their continuation is approved, that monitoring will continue.

Other benefits, if less tangible than enhanced access are nevertheless real. As President Lazerson has pointed out: "The Missouri residents attending SIUE are exposed to the opportunities and growth potential available in Illinois, leading to serious consideration of this state for business expansion, residence, or employment. The resulting economic development consequences are clear."

Finally, there are the matters of enrollment and cost. We believe that the evidence, discussed below, strongly suggests that use of the policies has increased enrollments; that it has not increased our costs but rather functioned to fill seats that would otherwise be vacant; and that, when all significant factors are taken into account, it has been responsible for a modest increase in revenue.

SIUC's experience with the policies has been far more limited than that of SIUE. The former has applied policies #1 and #2 to graduate students only since the Spring Semester of 1981. Kentucky registrants have increased from 7 in Fall 1980 to 32 in Fall 1983; and Missouri registrants have increased, over the same period, from 28 to 92. Thus, the information from SIUC is not yet sufficient to argue either for or against continuation of the policies. SIUC does, however, believe that if it were to drop the policies, its enrollments would revert to the previous lower levels.

SIUE's experience with policy #2, on the other hand, has provided a sufficient body of data to warrant certain conclusions. For example, Table 1 (attached) shows that graduate registrants from Missouri increased 131 percent from Fall Quarter 1979 to Fall Quarter 1983 (from 129 students to 298 students); as a proportion of total graduate enrollment, Missouri registrants increased from 7.4 percent in 1979 to 15.9 percent in 1983. Undergraduate Missouri registrants increased 52 percent from Fall Quarter 1980 to Fall Quarter 1983 (from 241 students to 367 students); as a proportion of total undergraduate enrollment, Missouri registrants increased from 3.1 percent in 1980 to 4.4 percent in 1983. Thus, application of policy #2 appears to be an important factor in SIUE's successful enrollment maintenance efforts. Also pertinent is the fact that 36 percent of all inquiries about Weekend University come from Missouri residents.

Tables 2 and 3 (attached) provide another way of looking at the situation. By fiscal year, beginning with the year prior to application of policy #2, these tables show the number of paid registrants and the amount of tuition revenue received. The increase in enrollment is clear.

But what has the policy "cost"? Certainly, there have been no increased costs: adding a few Missouri students to a class does not make the course more expensive to offer. But what about revenue not received because Missouri students are not paying out-of-state tuition? SIUE estimates that, based on the rate of decline in Missouri resident enrollment in the years prior to 1980 and on the magnitude of tuition increases from 1979 to 1983, Missouri resident undergraduate enrollment would have declined by 10 percent and graduate enrollment by 40 percent from 1979 through 1983 in the absence of the policy. In this circumstance, projected FY-84 tuition revenues from undergraduates would have been \$474,334 rather than \$433,605 (see Table 3) and for graduate students \$133,865 rather than \$196,937 (see Table 2). Thus, if the current policy had not been in effect, SIUE would probably be collecting in FY-84 approximately \$22,000 less from Missouri students. Tables 4 and 5 (attached) show the relationships between possible enrollment declines of Missouri students and current tuition revenues.

Finally, in assessing the "cost" of the policy at least one other factor should be taken into account. SIUE estimates that a \$40,000 increase (constant dollars) in fee revenues has occurred over the experimental period. In the face of intense cost pressures on bonded debt enterprises, especially from relatively fixed costs such as utilities and maintenance, this added revenue is a significant consideration.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

One argument against the policies is that heavy demand on the part of Kentucky and Missouri registrants could deny access to Illinois residents. This phenomenon has not occurred. The increase in graduate students from Kentucky and Missouri at SIUC has thus far been modest, and ample seminar-space continues to be available. At SIUE, in such high-demand areas as the Master of Business Administration and Engineering programs, procedures are in place which guarantee the access of Illinois residents in the event that the University is unable to meet all enrollment demands.

The other principal objection that can be raised against the policies is fiscal: in one way or another, it can be argued, the policies are causing us to lose revenue and are thus increasing costs for the State of Illinois. We believe that the evidence assembled on our experience to date counters this argument.

#### Constituency Involvement

Because on the present occasion data analysis rather than opinion was involved, constituency reaction was not invited.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the amendments to 3 Policies of the Board A-1 and 4 Policies of the Board A-6 and C-1, which embody the four residency status tuition policies identified above, and which were approved by this Board on March 12, 1981, be and are hereby continued in force as enacted that date;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That these policies shall continue to be implemented according to the guidelines promulgated by the Chancellor; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Chancellor will report from time to time to the Board of Trustees on the effects of these policies.

TABLE 1  
 Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville  
 Paid Fall Quarter Enrollments by Residence, 1980-1983

	Fall 1979		Fall 1980		Fall 1981		Fall 1982		Fall 1983	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<u>Graduate:</u>										
Missouri	129	7.4	177	9.7	247	13.3	295	16.5	298	15.9
Other	<u>1,613</u>	<u>92.6</u>	<u>1,648</u>	<u>90.3</u>	<u>1,615</u>	<u>86.7</u>	<u>1,497</u>	<u>83.5</u>	<u>1,579</u>	<u>84.1</u>
Total	1,742	100.0	1,825	100.0	1,862	100.0	1,792	100.0	1,877	100.0
<u>Undergraduate:</u>										
Missouri			241	3.1	310	3.8	348	4.2	367	4.4
Other			<u>7,605</u>	<u>96.9</u>	<u>7,821</u>	<u>96.2</u>	<u>7,910</u>	<u>95.8</u>	<u>7,915</u>	<u>95.6</u>
Total			7,846	100.0	8,131	100.0	8,258	100.0	8,282	100.0

TABLE 2  
Graduate Students Residing in Missouri

Credit Hours Taken	FY 1980		FY 1981		FY 1982	
	Paid Registrants	Revenue	Paid Registrants	Revenue	Paid Registrants	Revenue
0-5	237	\$ 47,235	371	\$ 33,663	534	\$ 43,468
6-9	100	39,540	185	35,394	325	54,631
10 or more	<u>102</u>	<u>56,601</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>36,000</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>40,326</u>
Total	<u>439</u>	<u>\$143,376</u>	<u>617</u>	<u>\$105,057</u>	<u>917</u>	<u>\$138,425</u>

Credit Hours Taken	FY 1983		Projected FY 1984	
	Paid Registrants	Revenue	Paid Registrants	Estimated Revenue
0-5	628	\$ 57,824	634	\$ 64,261
6-9	400	78,867	404	87,637
10 or more	<u>52</u>	<u>40,535</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>45,039</u>
Total	<u>1,080</u>	<u>\$177,226</u>	<u>1,091</u>	<u>\$196,937</u>



TABLE 3  
Undergraduate Students Residing in Missouri

Credit Hours Taken	FY 1981		FY 1982		FY 1983	
	Paid Registrants	Revenue	Paid Registrants	Revenue	Paid Registrants	Revenue
0-5	101	\$ 20,154	170	\$ 18,124	231	\$ 19,863
6-9	120	48,180	282	57,786	334	66,656
10 or more	499	300,366	445	298,653	391	287,259
Total	720	\$368,700	897	\$374,563	956	\$373,778

Credit Hours Taken	Projected FY 1984	
	Paid Registrants	Estimated Revenue
0-5	244	\$ 23,068
6-9	352	77,312
10 or more	412	333,225
Total	1,008	\$433,605

TABLE 4  
 Relationships Between Possible Enrollment Declines of Missouri Resident  
 Students and Current Tuition Revenues (Graduate Students)

If Policy Had Not Been Enacted Possible Enrollment Declines FY 1979 - FY 1984	Projected FY 1984 Tuition Revenue	Difference Between Revenue as Currently Projected (\$196,937) and Revenue Projected Assuming Policy Had Not Been Enacted
0%	\$223,093	\$(26,156)
5%	211,938	(15,001)
10%	200,784	(3,847)
15%	189,629	7,308
20%	178,474	18,463
25%	167,320	29,617
30%	156,165	40,772
35%	145,010	51,927
40%	133,856	63,081
45%	122,701	74,236
50%	111,547	85,390

TABLE 5  
 Relationships Between Possible Enrollment Declines of Missouri Resident Students  
 and Current Tuition Revenues (Undergraduate Students)

Possible Enrollment Declines By 1979 - FY 1984	If Policy Had Not Been Enacted		Difference Between Revenue as Currently Projected (\$433,605) and Revenue Projected Assuming Policy Had Not Been Enacted
	Projected FY 1984 Tuition Revenue	Projected FY 1984 Tuition Revenue	
0%	\$527,038		\$(93,433)
5%	500,686		(67,081)
10%	474,334		(40,729)
15%	447,982		(14,377)
20%	421,630		11,975
25%	395,279		38,326
30%	368,927		64,678
35%	342,575		91,030
40%	316,223		117,382
45%	289,871		143,734
50%	263,519		170,086

SEPARATION OF ON-CAMPUS UNDERGRADUATE INTERNATIONAL STUDENT  
STUDENT-TO-STUDENT GRANT MONEY FROM THE TOTAL  
STUDENT-TO-STUDENT GRANT MONEY COLLECTED, SIUC  
[AMENDMENT TO 4 POLICIES OF THE BOARD B-11]

Summary

This matter would authorize the separation of \$2.25 per semester per on-campus undergraduate international student from the total Student-to-Student Grant money collected from on-campus undergraduate students to provide scholarship money for needy undergraduate international students.

Rationale for Adoption

Despite the fact that international students are technically eligible to participate in the Student-to-Student Grant Program, the requirement of the provision each year of an ACT-Family Financial Statement to substantiate need has for all practical purposes resulted in the inability of international students to benefit from the Grant Program. Thus, a concern for equity has prompted this proposal.

This proposal makes possible the provision of funds collected from each on-campus undergraduate international student for the purpose of the awarding of scholarships to needy undergraduate international students. The payment of the grant will remain voluntary in nature by permitting any on-campus undergraduate international student to request a refund in accordance with procedures already established.

Currently, many international students are facing financial crises as a result of government inefficiency, family trauma, and international tension. This proposal would directly aid such students through the provision of a scholarship fund awarded by the Office of International Services through the Office of Student Work and Financial Assistance. Awards will be based on need analysis conducted by the Office of International Services with the cooperation of the Office of Student Work and Financial Assistance. It is intended that no award will exceed the cost of out-of-state tuition for any one semester.

Considerations Against Adoption

Adoption of this proposal will result in a slight decrease in funds available to be awarded through the regularly established Student-to-Student Grant Program. Also, due to State of Illinois regulations, the money collected for this purpose cannot be matched by the State of Illinois as is currently the practice through the Student-to-Student Grant Program.

Constituency Involvement

The following SIUC constituencies and departments approve adoption of the proposal: International Student Council, the Office of Student Work and Financial Assistance, the Office of International Student Services, the Vice-President for Student Affairs, the Undergraduate Student Organization,

the Faculty Senate, and the Civil Service Employees Council. The Graduate and Professional Student Council, Graduate Council, and Administrative-Professional Staff Council accepted the resolution as information only and made no comment.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That effective with the collection of fees for Fall Semester, 1984. 4 Policies of the Board B-11 be amended to read as follows:

11. Student-to-Student Grant Program Fee. Southern Illinois University at Carbondale is authorized to participate in the "Student-to-Student Grant Program" administered by the Illinois State Scholarship Commission and a contribution of \$2.25 per semester shall be collected from each on-campus undergraduate student enrolling at SIUC commencing with Fall Semester, 1975. The said contribution shall apply without regard to the number of hours of academic work carried, and provision shall be made for a full refund of the "Student-to-Student Grant Program" contribution to any on-campus undergraduate student who requests a refund at the time of payment of fees or within ten days thereafter. The net aggregate of money so collected shall be retained by the University in an identifiable, separate account and shall be used solely for the purposes of making scholarship grants to qualified on-campus undergraduate students enrolled in SIUC and for application toward obtaining matching grants from the Illinois State Scholarship Commission pursuant to Chapter 144, Section 271, et seq., of the Illinois Revised Statutes (1973), except as provided below.

The net aggregate of money collected through the "Student-to-Student Grant Program" from on-campus undergraduate international students will be retained by the University in the Student-to-Student Grant account but identified as money to be used for international students through the use of a separate aid code and shall be used solely for the purpose of making scholarship grants to qualified on-campus undergraduate international students enrolled in SIUC and shall not be matched by the Illinois State Scholarship Commission.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE, President Lazerson announced that following the Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville Foundation meeting on April 19 there will be an unveiling of plaques in the Rendleman Building which note the endowment of a number of Presidential Scholarships. He reported that the total value of the plaques that will be unveiled in terms of endowment funding is \$300,000. He stated

that that action, together with the quasi-endowment that had been set up as a result of the sale of the Olin estate, puts the University in a position where half of the Presidential Scholarships are fully endowed. He announced that the May meeting of the IBHE will be at SIUE with the University entertaining members and staff of the IBHE the evening before its Tuesday meeting. He reported that on May 6 and 7 there will be a weekend of celebration in regard to Founders matters: on May 6, the world premiere of the Chamber Music Work, commissioned by the University in honor of Delyte Morris; and on May 7, the unveiling of plaques honoring the people who originally held the land that the University sits on, who donated money toward the purchase of that land, honoring those workers who unfortunately lost their lives in the construction of the campus, and then following that the dedication ceremony and the naming of the Sam M. Vadalabene Center for Health, Recreation, and Physical Education.

Under Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System, Dr. Shaw gave the following legislative report:

In even numbered years, the General Assembly officially deals with appropriation and "emergency" substantive legislation only. That is, new kinds of legislative initiatives are supposed to take place in the odd numbered years. That is interpreted differently between the various houses and each year it is different. Apparently this year the House Rules Committee is allowing nearly all substantive bills out of Rules Committee and the Senate is releasing fewer bills at least at this point in time. There are some bills that you ought to be aware of.

Senate Bill 1592, the SIU appropriation bill, has been introduced at the IBHE level. Amendments have been prepared to reduce the bill to the Governor's level. We expect the hearing to be the week of April 24, and it will probably be April 25. Our expectations at this point are to go in with the Governor's markdown with a tuition increase at the 6-1/2 percent level, which you approved last month. The capital projects, both appropriations and reappropriations, have now been included in house bill legislation. Senate Bill 1386, sponsored by Senator Buzbee and supported by a number of Southern Illinois citizens and not a University-sponsored bill, deals with dollars for the Touch of Nature project. House Bill 2400, sponsored by Woods Bowman, and Senate Bill 1434, sponsored by Senator DeAngelis, basically provides scholarships to students who are in the 95th percentile of their graduating class. They would get a stipend of \$250 and also be eligible for special consideration for financial need up to \$1,250. Our analysis of the bill would suggest that it

helps the higher cost private institutions and the Urbana campus of the University of Illinois. The majority of private institutions has indicated they would be much more in favor of increasing the maximum award given to students as opposed to this. Its current form would cost around \$4 million. We are told, however, that the sponsor is continuing to look at other amendments which would knock the amount down. We are closely monitoring this bill. House Bills 1646 and 1647, sponsored by Currie, deal with comparable worth. These bills attempt to identify public sector jobs that are sexually or racially segregated--meaning 70 percent of the individuals holding that job happen to be of one sex or race. Once identified the bill would require equitable compensation for jobs of comparable value. The problem is attempting to determine that. These bills, we think, are an outgrowth of a lawsuit in the State of Washington, which required the state to increase salaries of sex segregated jobs when they are comparable in value to other higher paid jobs. As best we can tell if that lawsuit were to win it would cost the State of Washington about \$600 million. We are told that the sponsor may amend these bills simply to ask for a task force to conduct a study and to make some job comparisons reporting back to the General Assembly by January 1, 1986. In any event, it is a major kind of issue that we are seeing discussed nationally and I think that there will be a considerable amount of discussion here in Illinois. Senate Bill 1470, sponsored by Egan, and House Bill 2804, sponsored by Breslin, are bills which would attempt to reestablish a 1967 prohibition of higher education from competing with private retailers in the sale of goods and services. The 1967 bill was struck down by the Supreme Court for technical reasons. This attempts to reinstate that, but it is a more restrictive bill than the 1967 law. It is one that has us concerned and we will continue to monitor it. Senate Joint Resolution 43, sponsored by Keats, calls for the IBHE to study the uses of state funds for medical and dental education programs and colleges of law. House Bill 700, sponsored by Ebbesen-Schaffer, is a bill to disconnect Northern Illinois University from the Board of Regents. This bill was introduced last year and went to conference committee. The conference committee report was adopted by the House but has not been adopted by the Senate. It is currently on the Senate Calendar but it will probably be some time before they get to it. The House sponsor has recently resigned from the General Assembly and what impact that will have is hard to tell at the moment.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC, President Somit announced that Founders Day will be celebrated on April 13 with former Chancellor Robert MacVicar as guest speaker. He announced that this was the last Board meeting for two constituency heads; Dr. Herbert Donow, President of the Faculty Senate, and Ms. Ann Greeley, President of the Graduate and Professional Student Council. He thanked them for their devoted, able, and loyal service as constituency heads. President Somit reported that the University has a club called the Sphinx Club which provides recognition to undergraduate and graduate students for service above and beyond the call of duty. He


continued that on rare occasions more senior types who are non-students are given similar recognition and today they were to award two of these certificates. He stated that the contributions for which these two were selected and were being honored were unusual ones in that both were observed hauling luggage for incoming freshmen students during the beginning of the semester.

Mr. William R. Norwood and Chancellor Kenneth A. Shaw were presented with plaques as honorary members of the Sphinx Club and sun visors denoting student life advisors.

The Chair announced that a news conference had been scheduled immediately following the regular meeting in the Lincoln Conference Room, and that lunch would be served in the Pearson Museum.

Mrs. Harvey moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 11:03 a.m.

---

Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary



CONTENTS

Roll Call . . . . . 316

Introduction of New Constituency Heads . . . . . 316

Recognition of Ann Greeley and Bruce Joseph . . . . . 316

Trustee Reports . . . . . 317

Committee Reports . . . . . 319

    Executive Committee . . . . . 319

    Finance Committee . . . . . 319

    Academic Matters Committee . . . . . 319

    Architecture and Design Committee . . . . . 319

Appointment of an Ad Hoc Committee for the Five-Year Review . . . . . 320

Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, March, 1984, SIUC and SIUE . . . 321

Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contract:  
Elevator Installation, Central Steam Plant, Phase I, SIUC . . . . . 322

Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contract:  
Repair and Rehabilitation of Campus Drives, SIUC . . . . . 323

Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contract:  
Repair and Rehabilitation of Parking Lots, SIUC . . . . . 324

Recommendation of Architect: Dental Education Facility at the  
Alton Campus, SIUE . . . . . 325

Selection of Architect: Proposed Project for Increased  
On-Campus Single Student Housing, SIUE . . . . . 326

Approval of Minutes of the Meeting held April 12, 1984 . . . . . 328

Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC . . . . . 329

Fiscal Emergency Policy (Notice Only)  
[Amendment to 2 Policies of the Board C-1-e, f, g, h, i] . . . . . 329

Policy on Illinois Governmental Ethics Act  
[Proposed Addition to 2 Policies of the Board F] . . . . . 337

Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of the SIU System . . . . . 342

Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE . . . . . 343

The regular monthly meeting of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University convened on Thursday, May 10, 1984, at 10:45 a.m., in the Ballroom of the University Center, Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville. The regular Chairman and Secretary being present, the meeting was called to order. The following members of the Board were present:

Mr. David Berry  
Mr. Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
Mrs. Crete B. Harvey  
Ms. Sharon Hutcherson  
Mrs. Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
Mr. William R. Norwood  
Mr. Harris Rowe, Chairman  
Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman  
Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.

Executive Officers present were:

Mr. Earl E. Lazerson, President, SIUE  
Dr. Kenneth A. Shaw, Chancellor of the SIU System  
Dr. Albert Somit, President, SIUC

Also present was Mrs. Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary of the Board.

The Secretary reported a quorum present.

NOTE: Copies of all background documents furnished to the Board in connection with the following matters have been placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

President Somit recognized Dr. Lawrence Dennis, President of the Faculty Senate, SIUC, and Dr. James Evers, Chairman of the Graduate Council, SIUC, the newly elected constituency heads. He also recognized Mr. Glenn Stolar, President of the Graduate and Professional Staff Council, SIUC, and Mr. Andy Leighton, President of the Undergraduate Student Organization, SIUC, who will be seated in June.

The Chair recognized Ms. Ann Greeley, President of the Graduate and Professional Staff Council, SIUC, and Mr. Bruce Joseph, President of the Undergraduate Student Organization, SIUC, stating this was their last meeting as constituency heads.

Mr. Joseph stated this past year as a constituency head had been an educational experience for him and he thanked the Board for their effort and patience in listening to what he had to say for the organization. He stated that he hoped those good feelings would carry over into next year so everyone can work together to get some things done.

Ms. Greeley commented that the Board has been very perceptive and open and had always listened to constituency input. She stated that she was hopeful that next year the students would feel as good as she and Bruce have about talking to the Board and letting the Board know how the students feel.

Under Trustee Reports, Mr. Elliott reported that he had attended the inaugural Founders Day Lecture at SIUC on April 13, and he appreciated the efforts that had gone into the program. He reported that Bob MacVicar was the speaker and that if anyone deserved credit for the idea and initial planning which helped SIU establish its right to a medical school, Bob MacVicar was that individual. He reported that he had also attended the SIU Foundation Board of Directors' meeting on May 4 and 5. He stated that Foundation Board members had had the opportunity to meet all of the deans of the various schools and that he had heard many favorable remarks about this mixing. He reported that the Foundation Board of Directors had had a lot of items on the agenda and that the Foundation has been extremely active. He stated that the Foundation Board had reaffirmed its allocation of \$100,000 for scholarship programs to bring in excellent students. He stated that the Foundation had provided funds for an examination of the University's perception in the minds of people and the state and that the final report, from Barton and Gillet, had been received. He stated that the report had its pluses and minuses; that there were some things that can be done with the Foundation and many things that will have to be done with the University. He reported that he had asked the Foundation to

send a copy of the report to members of the Board of Trustees, who should be receiving their copy in the near future. He continued that after the report was received the committee chairmen and the Chair of the Board need to discuss the matter, as the report had provided a lot of information, some opportunities, and some restrictions of things that can be done. He continued that unfortunately in all areas the University was not perceived to be as great and as well qualified as it really was.

Mr. Elliott thanked SIUC for hosting the Presbytery of southeastern Illinois on May 4 at the Student Center. He reported that Presbyterian ministers and elders from Danville to Cairo were in attendance and that it gave exposure of the University's facilities to a lot of people who would otherwise not see them. He expressed appreciation to the Student Center and others who helped with this program. He remarked that he had heard many favorable comments about SIU and that this was the sort of thing that was needed for the University's public relations.

In Mrs. Kimmel's absence, Dr. Shaw reported that he had attended the Illinois Board of Higher Education meeting on May 1. He reported that the June IBHE meeting had been cancelled. He stated that the Ph.D. in Geology was passed by the IBHE, after SIUC and the Chancellor's Office had worked diligently on it for two years. He continued that this program was extremely important in that it relates to SIUC's efforts in coal research and the future economy of southern Illinois. He stated that the IBHE meeting had been held on the Edwardsville campus, that President and Mrs. Lazerson had hosted a dinner the night before the meeting, and that the IBHE members and staff were extremely impressed with the beauty and organization of the campus. Mrs. Kimmel commented that President Lazerson had received a letter from William Browder, Chairman of the IBHE, thanking him for hosting the May meeting of the IBHE. Dr. Wilkins

commented that he had attended the dinner the night before the meeting and that the entire SIUE staff had done a super job hosting the IBHE.

Dr. Wilkins reported that he had attended the Founders Day Observance and Dedication of the Vadalabene Center at SIUE on May 7. He commented that Mr. Elliott had also attended. He congratulated President Lazerson and his staff for doing a beautiful job. Mr. Elliott congratulated Dr. Wilkins on his comments at the ceremony.

Under Committee Reports, Mrs. Kimmel had no report for the Executive Committee.

Mr. Elliott, Chairman of the Finance Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Mississippi Room of the University Center at 8:00 a.m. that morning. He gave the following report:

The Committee reviewed Item K, Fiscal Emergency Policy, which is for notice this month and action next month. There was some discussion concerning this. The Committee received a report on quarterly audit reports which were submitted to the Board separately. Questions were asked. He commented that the reports were well done which indicates that both Universities are doing a good job in the management of funds. The Committee received a very interesting cash and investment report showing the work of the University people in trying to make money on the funds that are unused and on hand. The Committee received a report on additional interest earnings and debt service reserve funds and were warned that we will have a revision of University purchasing regulations in a month or so to comply with state law and rules.

Mrs. Kimmel, Chairperson of the Academic Matters Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Mississippi Room of the University Center at 8:50 a.m. that morning. She gave the following report:

The Committee meeting this morning was an informational session. Action will be taken at the June Board meeting on many items of restructuring, reallocation of degrees, and abolition of degrees. All of these matters were discussed this morning. There was an opportunity for questions. The Committee had input from the faculty and it was a very helpful session but no action was taken.

Mr. Van Meter, Chairman of the Architecture and Design Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Mississippi Room of the University Center at 9:55 a.m. that morning. He gave the following report:

The Committee recommends approval and placement on the omnibus motion of the following items: G, Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contract: Elevator Installation, Central Steam Plant, Phase I, SIUC; H, Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contract: Repair and Rehabilitation of Campus Drives, SIUC; I, Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contract: Repair and Rehabilitation of Parking Lots, SIUC; N, Recommendation of Architect: Dental Education Facility at the Alton Campus, SIUE; and O, Selection of Architect: Proposed Project for Increased On-Campus Single Student Housing, SIUE. With regard to Item N, the procedure followed was an open and good procedure and one which has been perfected within the workings of the respective Universities and this Committee. The Committee feels very comfortable with the procedures and that it provides an opportunity for review of the various architects for the particular job. The Committee had good discussion of some reports in regard to two specific projects at SIUC not requiring action by the Committee.

Mr. Rowe stated that one of the duties the Board of Trustees has placed upon itself was the annual evaluation of the Chancellor and the two Presidents and also an in-depth evaluation at the end of five years. He continued that before the Chancellor was appointed the Board had revised the university structure whereby there would be an in-depth five year evaluation of the Chancellor and the structure. He stated that Board policy requires that the evaluation be done by an ad hoc committee, and in individual consultation with the trustees it was agreed that a small committee would be desirable, namely a committee composed of three. He explained that while there is the remainder of the year to accomplish this, it was incumbent upon the Board to appoint the committee. He reported that Mr. Elliott has been asked and kindly consented to serve as Chairman of this Committee along with Mr. Norwood and himself. With the appointment of those three individuals, he reported that the Committee was established.

Mr. Elliott asked that anyone who had any ideas about how to proceed with this matter to contact him, preferably with a letter. He stated that he would like to have a discussion at the July Board meeting with Committee meetings prior to that time. He continued that this was not a schedule but his thinking at this point in time so people could have an idea of the timing.

Mr. Rowe commented that anytime the Committee met any other Board member will be welcome to attend if their schedule permits.

The Chair explained the procedure for the Board's omnibus motion, and he proposed that, after discussion, there would be taken up the following matters:

REPORTS OF PURCHASE ORDERS AND CONTRACTS,  
MARCH, 1984, SIUC AND SIUE

In accordance with III Bylaws 1, and procedures effective April 1, 1980, summary reports of purchase orders and contracts awarded during the month of March, 1984, were mailed to the members of the Board in advance of this meeting, copies were placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees, and these reports are hereby submitted for information and entry upon the minutes of the Board with respect to the actions of the Executive Committee.

APPROVAL OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND AWARD OF CONTRACT:  
ELEVATOR INSTALLATION, CENTRAL STEAM PLANT, PHASE I, SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes approval of plans and specifications and the award of contract for the installation of an elevator in the central steam plant.

The estimated cost for this portion of the project was \$100,000. The only bid received was for \$87,488. The source of funds is state appropriations through the Physical Plant account.

Rationale for Adoption

At its meeting of March 8, 1984, the Board of Trustees gave approval to the project to install a small industrial-type elevator and catwalk system in the central steam plant. The estimated total cost of the project was \$200,000.

The elevator is to be installed in the first phase and the catwalk system in the second phase. The only bid received for the elevator was favorable; consequently, the award of contract for the first phase is requested at this time.

Mr. Charles Pulley, AIA, is reviewing the plans and specifications for the project and his report will be available before the Board meeting date.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

Because this action is primarily a matter of facilities improvement, the constituency heads were not involved. This matter was initiated by the Director of the Physical Plant and has the recommendation of the Vice-President for Campus Services and the Director of Facilities Planning, SIUC.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The contract to install an elevator in the central steam plant, SIUC, be and is hereby awarded to Linden-Alimak Co., Elmhurst, Illinois, in the amount of \$87,488.
- (2) Final plans and specifications for this project are hereby approved as submitted to the Office of the Board of Trustees for review, and shall be placed on file in



accordance with I Bylaws 9, contingent upon favorable recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee.

- (3) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

APPROVAL OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND AWARD OF CONTRACT:  
REPAIR AND REHABILITATION OF CAMPUS DRIVES, SIUC

Summary

This matter seeks approval of plans and specifications and the award of contract for resurfacing and other major repairs to fourteen segments of the campus drives and roads.

The estimated cost of this project is \$684,000. The low bid was \$554,096. Funding will come from traffic and parking revenue through the Parking Facilities account. State appropriations will not be required.

Rationale for Adoption

The Board of Trustees recognized serious problems of deterioration in fourteen portions of the campus drives at its meeting of December 8, 1983. Those portions included a section of Lincoln Drive and two sections of Douglas Drive as identified and recommended for repair and rehabilitation by the campus Traffic and Parking Committee.

Favorable bids for these repairs have been received and the award of contract is requested at this time. Mr. Charles Pulley, AIA, is reviewing the plans and specifications for all portions of this project and his report will be available before the Board meeting date.

Because five segments of this project involve repairs to facilities identified as noninstructional capital improvements, approval of the Illinois Board of Higher Education was required and has been received.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

This project has the involvement and recommendation of the Traffic and Parking Committee, the Vice-President for Campus Services, the Director of the Physical Plant, and the Director of Facilities Planning, SIUC.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The contract to repair and rehabilitate fourteen segments of the campus drives and roads, SIUC, be and is hereby awarded to E. T. Simonds Construction Company, Carbondale, Illinois, in the amount of \$554,096.
- (2) Final plans and specifications for this project are hereby approved as submitted to the Office of the Board of Trustees for review, and shall be placed on file in accordance with I Bylaws 9, contingent upon favorable recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee.
- (3) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

APPROVAL OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND AWARD OF CONTRACT:  
REPAIR AND REHABILITATION OF PARKING LOTS, SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes approval of plans and specifications and the award of contract for resurfacing and other major repairs to four existing parking lots.

The estimated cost of this project is \$187,000. The low bid was \$159,619. Funding will come from traffic and parking revenue through the Parking Facilities account. State appropriations will not be used.

Rationale for Adoption

The Board of Trustees recognized serious problems of deterioration in four campus parking lots at its meeting of December 8, 1983. These four parking lots, Nos. 41, 62, 100, and 101, had been identified and recommended for repair and rehabilitation by the campus Traffic and Parking Committee.

Favorable bids for these repairs have been received and the award of contract is requested at this time. Mr. Charles Pulley, AIA, is reviewing the plans and specifications for all portions of this project and his report will be available before the Board meeting date.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

This project has the involvement and recommendation of the Traffic and Parking Committee, the Vice-President for Campus Services, the Director of the Physical Plant, and the Director of Facilities Planning, SIUC.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The contract to repair and rehabilitate the specified four existing parking lots, SIUC, be and is hereby awarded to E. T. Simonds Construction Company, Carbondale, Illinois, in the amount of \$159,619.
- (2) Final plans and specifications for this project are hereby approved as submitted to the Office of the Board of Trustees for review, and shall be placed on file in accordance with I Bylaws 9, contingent upon favorable recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee.
- (3) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

RECOMMENDATION OF ARCHITECT: DENTAL EDUCATION FACILITY AT THE ALTON CAMPUS, SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes that the Board recommend to the Capital Development Board the architect to provide design and specification services for the capital project to construct and equip a Dental Education Facility at the Alton campus. Funds for the project, in the amount of \$5,182,200, were appropriated to the Capital Development Board for FY-84. The Board of Trustees approved the request for funds for this project in the Capital RAMP submitted to IBHE for FY-84.

Rationale for Adoption

Twelve architectural firms were contacted to determine their interest in providing services for this project. The firms contacted were restricted to (1) those which are currently prequalified for hospital and medical projects by CDB and which maintain an office in our primary service area (the fourteen counties in the southwestern Illinois region) and (2) those which CDB had referred to the University as having provided satisfactory service on similar projects in the past. Seven firms indicated an interest in the project. A committee was appointed by the President to review the materials submitted with the responses. With the concurrence of the President and the Architecture and Design Committee, four firms were invited to participate in interviews with the committee. The committee recommended two firms, in priority order, to the President. The President advised the Architecture and Design Committee of the recommendation and invited comments before continuing the process of selection. The President invited the two firms for a second on-campus interview. The final recommendation is based on the second interview and subsequent discussions between the President, committee members, and University officers.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

The search committee consisted of eight members of the faculty and staff of the School of Dental Medicine, a representative of the Vice-President and Provost's Office, and a representative of the Office of the Director of Planning and Resource Management. This matter is recommended by the Vice-President and Provost, the Director of Planning and Resource Management, and the President, SIUE.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The firm of Graham, Anderson, Probst and White, Chicago, Illinois, be recommended to the Illinois Capital Development Board for retention as architect for the CDB project to construct and equip a Dental Education Facility at the Alton campus, SIUE, as appropriated in the Capital Development Board 1983-1984 fiscal year budget.
- (2) The President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

SELECTION OF ARCHITECT: PROPOSED PROJECT FOR INCREASED  
ON-CAMPUS SINGLE STUDENT HOUSING, SIUE

Summary

On September 8, 1983, the Board authorized the President, SIUE, to continue planning for expansion of on-campus single student housing through renovation of existing Tower Lake housing facilities, for construction of a dining facility at the housing site, and for appropriate site improvements. The Board also authorized the President to recommend an architectural and engineering firm to review the proposed project, refine cost estimates, and provide a recommendation regarding project feasibility. This matter recommends a firm for the architectural and engineering services through design development.

Rationale for Adoption

The proposed project would: (1) renovate 158 existing apartments by replacing the kitchen and dining area with a second bathroom and a third bedroom, thereby increasing apartment occupancy from four to six students, for a total occupancy increase of 316 students; (2) purchase furniture for the renovated apartments; (3) construct, adjacent to the Tower Lake Commons

Building, a dining hall with a capacity for managing 948 meal contracts to be held by the student occupants of the renovated apartments; and (4) construct appropriate site improvements, such as parking, sidewalks, and lighting. Financing for the proposed project would be through a revenue bond issue of approximately \$5,300,000.

Costs for architectural and engineering services through design development are approximately 35 percent of the total project architectural and engineering services costs. Following receipt of projected construction costs developed by this service, the University administration would make a recommendation to the Board concerning the feasibility of the project. The recommendation at that time would be either to continue the planning process through the next phase of the project (preparation of construction documents and bid specifications), or to suspend the project.

Bond counsel has advised the University that existing Tower Lake Housing Repair and Replacement Reserve monies can be used to fund the portion of architectural and engineering services applicable to the renovation, but cannot be used to fund the services applicable to the dining hall. No source of funds has been identified to fund the latter services. It is therefore proposed that the services related to the dining hall be contracted on a contingent fee basis (payment for the services would be contingent upon the revenue bond sale). The cost for services for the renovation portion of the project through design development is estimated to be \$35,700.

Letters were sent to twenty-three architectural and engineering firms to determine their interest in providing services for this project. The letters included a statement that part of the services would be on a contingent fee basis. The firms contacted were restricted to (1) those that maintain an office in the fourteen counties in the southwestern Illinois region which constitutes SIUE's primary service region, (2) those firms which had been recommended by SIUC as having provided similar satisfactory service, and (3) any firms recommended by the members of the Architecture and Design Committee. The firms had to also be prequalified by CDB or be active members of the American Institute of Architects. Fourteen firms expressed interest in the proposed project. A committee was appointed by the President to review the materials submitted by firms. As a result of this review, seven firms were invited for on-campus interviews. The committee recommended three firms to the President. All three firms recommended attested to their willingness to engage in project planning under the conditions outlined above. The President reviewed this recommendation with the Architecture and Design Committee before continuing the process of selection. Selection of the recommended firm was made by the President in consultation with committee members and University officers.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

There is no certainty that the construction budget or bond market interest rate will allow a realistic bond sale. However, the University believes that additional resident students would contribute significantly to the continued maturation of the institution, and warrants the initial investment as proposed in this matter.

Constituency Involvement

The search committee included representatives of the Physical Facilities Committee of the University Planning and Budget Council, the Tower Lake Resident's Association, and the Offices of the Vice-President and Provost, the Director of Supporting Services, and the Director of Planning and Resource Management. This matter is recommended by the Vice-President and Provost, the Directors of Supporting Services and of Planning and Resource Management, and the President, SIUE.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The firm of Rhutasel and Associates, Inc., Freeburg, Illinois, is approved to perform architectural and engineering services through the design development stage for the proposed project for Tower Lake Housing renovation, construction of a dining hall, and related site improvements.
- (2) The funding for the apartment renovation and related improvements portion of the services, in the estimated amount of \$35,700, will be from the Tower Lake Housing Repair and Replacement Reserve, and the funding for the services concerning the dining hall construction and related site improvements will be contingent on the future sale of revenue bonds for the project.
- (3) The President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

Mr. Norwood moved the reception of Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, March, 1984, SIUC and SIUE; the ratification of Changes in Faculty-Administrative Payroll, SIUC and SIUE; and the approval of Minutes of the meeting held April 12, 1984; Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contract: Elevator Installation, Central Steam Plant, Phase I, SIUC; Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contract: Repair and Rehabilitation of Campus Drives, SIUC; Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contract: Repair and Rehabilitation of Parking Lots, SIUC; Recommendation of Architect: Dental Education Facility at the Alton Campus,

SIUE; and Selection of Architect: Proposed Project for Increased On-Campus Single Student Housing, SIUE. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, Harris Rowe, A. D. Van Meter, Jr., George T. Wilkins, Jr.; nay, none.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC, President Somit announced that the Flying Salukis were again the national championship team, having won this title six times in eight years. He stated that the eleventh annual cardboard boat races had received national attention. He reported that two distinguished professors, Dr. Elmer Johnson and Dr. David Sumner, have been announced in the SIUCourier. He announced that May 12 is commencement at SIUC with approximately 6,000 individuals graduating which is the largest class in history.

Regarding the announcement concerning the Flying Salukis, Mr. Norwood congratulated Terry Wendling, in his first year as coach for the team, in winning a national championship.

The following matter was presented:

FISCAL EMERGENCY POLICY  
[AMENDMENT TO 2 POLICIES OF THE BOARD C-1-e, f, g, h, i]

Summary

This matter presents a proposed Fiscal Emergency Policy developed by representatives of campus constituencies, campus administrations, and the Office of the Chancellor in accordance with the provisions of 2 Policies of the Board C-1-i, which require the review of certain one-year fiscal emergency policy provisions before June 1984, and the determination by the Board whether those provisions shall be extended in the current or any modified form after that date.

Rationale for Adoption

In June of 1983 the SIU Board of Trustees adopted a policy dealing with Mandatory Leave of Absence Without Pay for Contractual Employees and

Involuntary Pay Reductions for All Employees. This policy was to remain in effect for the ensuing year. Prior to the end of that time the policy was to be reviewed by the campus constituencies, campus administrations, and Chancellor's Office and a determination made by the Board whether any extension of those provisions in the present or modified form should be enacted (2 Policies of the Board C-1-e, f, g, h, i).

On September 17, 1983, in accordance with indications made at the time of adoption of this policy, Chancellor Kenneth Shaw established the Fiscal Emergency Policies Committee for the purpose of conducting the review called for by the policy. Upon the recommendation of President Earl Lazerson and President Albert Somit the following persons were appointed to that Committee:

John Baker (SIUC)	Earl Beard (SIUE)
Pamela Brandt (SIUC)	Sarah Bradbury (SIUE)
James Scales (SIUC)	John Meisel (SIUE)
GoLa Waters (SIUC)	John Reiner (SIUE)

Chancellor Shaw named Vice-Chancellor James Brown, representing the System Office, as Chair of the Committee.

The Committee, representing appropriate elements within the University community, was charged with examination of the overall problems to be faced in coping with fiscal emergencies and with the responsibility to recommend a coordinated policy suited to dealing with those problems. The Committee met on November 17, 1983; December 20, 1983; and January 25, 1984.

On February 8, 1984, the Committee forwarded to the Chancellor and the Presidents a draft Fiscal Emergency Policy which was then provided through the Presidents to constituency groups for review. Comments from various constituency groups were provided through the Presidents to the Chancellor's Office, and the draft presented here was developed from review of those comments as endorsed by each President.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

Although the SIUC Faculty Senate Fiscal Exigency Committee developed detailed comments regarding the System Fiscal Emergency Policies Committee draft, the SIUC Faculty Senate voted to submit ". . . no recommendations with regard to the draft of the Chancellor's Fiscal Emergency Committee."

The SIUE Faculty Senate, after presenting detailed comments, indicated that it "accepts the policy with reluctance but does not approve the policy." Other constituency groups made similar distinctions concerning reception of the policy draft.

#### Constituency Involvement

The following constituency groups provided comment regarding the draft policy: SIUE Faculty Senate; SIUE University Staff Senate; SIUC Graduate



Council; SIUC Administrative and Professional Staff Council; SIUC Civil Service Employees Council; SIUC Graduate and Professional Student Council. In addition, the comments of the SIUC Faculty Senate Fiscal Exigency Committee were accompanied by President Somit's review of those comments.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That 2 Policies of the Board C-1-e, f, g, h, and i, dealing with declaration of a fiscal emergency, be amended as indicated in the following text:

C. Conditions of Employment

- 1. Documents ~~describing~~ and policies constituting conditions of employment and appointment:

\* \* \*

~~e. The Board of Trustees may declare a condition of financial necessity if, in the considered judgment of the Board, the decline in financial support is such as to require a reduction in the salary budget exceeding what may be accomplished by attrition or non-renewal of term positions (when possible) or other such measures. Representatives of the various University constituencies shall be consulted in making the decision to ask that the Board declare the present or imminent existence of a condition of financial necessity. A condition of financial necessity will apply for no longer than the fiscal year for which it is declared. By the declaration of financial necessity the Board of Trustees empowers the respective chief executives administering the Office of the Board of Trustees/Office of the Chancellor and each University to reduce expenditures for personal services by general, temporary mandatory leave of absence without pay or temporary reduction in the salary paid to faculty, administrative or professional staff, civil service, and graduate student employees.~~

e. Declaration of a fiscal emergency and specific direction to cope with such emergency lie within the authority of the Board.

- 1) It shall be the responsibility of the Board to determine that a fiscal emergency exists and to assess the extent of that emergency.
- 2) A fiscal emergency as recognized by the Board may vary in degree, ranging from a temporary financial crisis to a long term loss of resources.

- a) A short term fiscal emergency is the condition of financial necessity, which results when a decline in financial support is such as to require a reduction within the fiscal year in the personal services budget exceeding that which may be accomplished by attrition or non-renewal of term positions or other such measures after all workable reductions in support and operational costs have been made.
- b) A long term fiscal emergency is the condition of financial exigency, which results when an imminent financial crisis will require long-term programmatic reductions and termination of tenured faculty.
- f. ~~Any proposal from an executive officer to the Chancellor (or by the Chancellor for the Office of the Board of Trustees/ Office of the Chancellor) to have placed on the Board agenda a matter proposing the declaration of financial necessity and approval of a plan developed to deal with that situation shall contain the following information:~~
- f. A declaration of fiscal emergency for either institution or for the System will be considered by the Board of Trustees when a proposal to that end from a Chief Executive Officer to the Chancellor (or by the Chancellor for the Office of the Board of Trustees/Office of the Chancellor) is placed on the Board agenda.
- 1) Representatives of the concerned University constituencies shall be consulted as far in advance as possible and continuously involved in making the decision to ask that the Board declare a condition of fiscal emergency.
  - 2) A matter proposing the declaration of a fiscal emergency and approval of a plan developed to deal with that emergency shall contain the following information:
    - 1 a) A description of the fiscal situation which makes the action advisable.
    - 2 b) A description of specific economy measures, such as the reduction of support costs, freezing of vacancies, non-renewal of term positions, and the like, which have already been invoked in an effort to deal with the situation.
    - 3 c) A description of the proposed action.
    - 4 d) An explanation of how the proposed scope and manner of execution of the proposed measures are proportional to the fiscal emergency and will cause the least possible disruption of the educational process and the

~~least possible~~ will inflict minimal hardship on employees.

- 5 e) An indication of how employees will be notified of the measures to be implemented by the proposed action and the method and period of notice to apply before that implementation.
- 6 f) A specification of the exemptions from the proposed action, if any, which will be required to safeguard the campuses and the conduct of uninterrupted activity if the proposed action is authorized, and an indication that the proposal otherwise has general application across the University employment spectrum, including administrative personnel.
- 7 g) A report on the manner in which the constituencies have had an opportunity both to review the situation and the proposed action and to advise the executive officer.
- 8 h) An indication of how the proposed action will apply to civil service employees in terms of established Civil Service Rules and Regulations.
- 9) ~~An indication that every reasonable effort has been made in developing the proposed action to avoid interference with regular credit instruction.~~
- g- ~~When such a matter is considered by the Board, all constituencies will be given the opportunity to indicate to the Board their views on the proposed action.~~
- g. The Board will authorize actions to adjust University operations to the limitations of a declared fiscal emergency.
  - 1) The Board must be satisfied that all reasonably possible economic measures have been taken before authorizing a reduction in personnel services.
  - 2) The Board will authorize procedures commensurate to the magnitude of the fiscal emergency. Such procedures may include but are not limited to measures such as invoking a mandatory leave without pay for all employees in a declared financial necessity situation or such measures as long-term programmatic reductions requiring termination of appointment for employees with and without tenure in a declared financial exigency situation. Such procedures will be open to review and comment by administration and constituency bodies.

- 3) In a fiscal emergency situation, if budget reductions across-the-board are mandated by the Board, each basic academic or service unit will be involved in distributing its specific program and personnel changes.
  - 4) In a financial exigency situation, if budget reductions mandated by the Board are to be made programmatically, the administration will involve an appropriate faculty or constituency body in determining where within the overall academic or other program termination of appointments may occur.
  - 5) Any actions affecting an institution resulting from a declaration of fiscal emergency shall recognize the personnel policies of that institution.
  - 6) A declaration of fiscal emergency will be in effect only during the fiscal year for which it is declared.
- h. Employees who receive notices that their appointments are to be terminated or who are placed into a leave of absence status because of a fiscal emergency shall have the right to appeal through appropriate University grievance procedures.
- h i. Pursuant to 2 Policies of the Board C-1-c-3) the basic term of faculty appointment remains the academic year, and individual contract renewals which reduce the period of employment to not less than an academic year will continue to be at administrative discretion.
- ~~i. The provisions of these additions (subsections e through i) to the Board policy shall continue in force for a period of no greater than one year. Prior to the end of that year, they shall be reviewed by the campus constituencies, campus administrations, and Chancellor's Office and a determination made by the Board whether any extension of these provisions in the present or any modified form shall be enacted.~~

Given that the policy was written in such a manner as to not address the question of student employees specifically, Ms. Greeley asked that the SIUC President consider not including students under this policy, in keeping with the paragraphs which refer to not affecting the students' educational experience.

Dr. Lawrence Dennis, President of the Faculty Senate, SIUC, stated that he had three compelling reasons for speaking to this matter in the hope that they might persuade the Board to drop the matter and let the current policy stand, noting that the Faculty Senate, SIUC, had unanimously rejected the proposal at their April 10th meeting. He said that he realized that the policy called for consultation with University constituencies and the opportunity to review, but that the American Association of University Professors called for more than that; namely, faculty to exercise judgment. He next stated that if the policy is approved it would be included in the forthcoming edition of the Faculty Handbook and that new faculty will discover that the terms of their contracts can be violated almost at anytime and almost without warning. He explained that with the shamefully low pay at SIUC, it already had difficulty first in attracting and then keeping new faculty. He finally commented that the fiscal emergency policy violates SIUC's commitment to abide by AAUP regulations on academic freedom and tenure made at the time the AAUP censure was lifted. He asked that the Board refer again to the current policy on financial exigency to notice how very much reduced, virtually eliminated, would be the faculty's participation in the process. He referred to events of ten years ago and the firing of 104 colleagues at SIUC and reminded the Board that that might have been avoided had the faculty been active participants in the process. He stated that the present policy had worked and he saw no reason why it should not continue to work.

Mr. Rowe stated that the Chancellor had stated this morning that he was not against some word changes that might more nearly satisfy AAUP regulations.

Dr. James Evers, Chairman of the Graduate Council, SIUC, stated that the Graduate Council had seen various forms of this proposal, not the latest version, but that on two previous occasions the Graduate Council had rejected it unanimously. He stated that the present financial exigency policy was satisfactory to handle the situation.

Dr. Terry Mathias, Chairman of the Administrative and Professional Staff Council, SIUC, stated that the Council had looked at this policy quite carefully and was pleased to find in there clauses that did include involvement of constituencies. He stated that the Council would like to be sure that that was abided by, and that the Council thought under the current circumstances that the President would recognize that. He continued that his constituency would be affected by this policy and asked for the Board's careful thought on it. He reported that nearly 50 percent of his constituency was on term contract representing people who carry many of the important directorial positions up and down the scale.

Mr. Berry asked if a plan like this might not help avoid an incident such as what happened in 1974.

Mr. Rowe explained that he and Mr. Elliott and perhaps another one or two were rather sensitive to this issue because they were in positions as Trustees where they were given information and asked to vote financial exigency when it fact it later developed that the financial situation did not justify the then-President's recommendation.

Chancellor Shaw remarked that each campus has in operation statements on financial exigency while the Board has a very general kind of statement.

He stated that one aspect of the proposed change would insure that the Board understood its role in the process, that the Universities understood their role, and that due process was involved. He commented that it might be instructive for the Board to compare the campus financial exigency plans and this plan. Dr. Shaw went on record as indicating that he would be receptive to hearing any kind of constructive comments as to how to make this document a better one. He continued that he hoped this document would never be used, but that the protection was needed. He remarked that a year ago SIU had faced serious financial difficulties and that financial difficulties with financial exigencies can be handled if you have that kind of policy and if you have years of planning. He continued that if you have situations that are beyond the control of institutions, then it seems that you want to have something in place that is fair to all concerned and not discriminating against those employees who do not have certain kinds of benefits. He stated that he felt it was his responsibility to present a way in which the wide spectrum of financial difficulties can be dealt with and that if there are means of improving the document he would be happy to deal with those. He stated that some version of the proposal before the Board is necessary to give flexibility in dealing with financial difficulties in the future.

Mr. Rowe stated that this matter would be held over until next month, and he invited further criticism, suggestions, and comments on this proposal.

The following matter was presented:

POLICY ON ILLINOIS GOVERNMENTAL ETHICS ACT  
[PROPOSED ADDITION TO 2 POLICIES OF THE BOARD F]

Summary

This proposed policy addition provides a basis for System consistency in meeting the obligations upon the University specified by the Illinois

Governmental Ethics Act. The proposed policy and attendant procedures have been developed by personnel officers and legal counsel at both Universities and with the System Office.

#### Rationale for Adoption

The Illinois Governmental Ethics Act requires that certain state employees file an annual Statement of Economic Interests with the Illinois Secretary of State. The Act says that noncompliance with the Act shall result in termination of employment, and accordingly it is vital that Southern Illinois University employees falling under the provisions of the Act file the necessary statement. Needed are a policy and procedures which recognize the statute and define how the requirements of the law will be carried out. Legal and morale considerations suggest that System uniformity is necessary in such policy and procedures, and accordingly at the suggestion of the institutional personnel officers this uniform System policy with procedures has been developed.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University and System authorities are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

This proposed policy deals with a situation mandated by law and not under the control of the University. It is designed to carry out statutory requirements with appropriate protections for the University and its employees. Constituency involvement does not apply to the situation and was not sought.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the following addition to Chapter 2, Policies of the Board, be adopted, to be effective immediately:

#### F. Policy on Illinois Governmental Ethics Act

1. In accordance with the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act, the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University is committed to a policy of full compliance by affected personnel. The Board of Trustees directs that appropriate elements within The Southern Illinois University System adhere to procedures which promote this policy.
2. The Illinois Governmental Ethics Act requires that certain state employees earning above a specified compensation rate must file a Statement of Economic Interests with the Secretary of State not later than April 30 of each year. The Act also stipulates that noncompliance with this requirement shall result in termination of employment.
3. Southern Illinois University's responsibility to the obligation of due process will be met specifically upon the condition that



appropriate personnel will be notified by SIU at least twice (or that SIU make a bona fide effort to do so) of the requirements set forth by the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Final notification if reasonably possible will be issued by certified mail or personally served by a supervisor.

4. It shall be the responsibility of each campus administration to initiate procedures against employees shown in noncompliance with the Act, in accordance with the Act, and to conduct appropriate hearings necessary to fulfill the obligations of due process.
5. Due process of law shall be provided in the following manner:
  - a. Notice by mail at least 60 days prior to the due date of the obligation to file and the consequences of failure to file, together with a copy of the form to be filed.
  - b. A similar notice by hand or certified mail during the month of April to all those who have failed to file by the first week in April, which notice shall also state that they will be liable to removal from the payroll for the month of May and thereafter unless they file before April 30 or demonstrate to a specified University Officer that they have filed, are exempt from filing, or have received an extension of time for filing.
  - c. The University Officer designated to hear appeals from removals from the payroll shall give priority to requests for appointments for that purpose and shall accord appellants every reasonable opportunity to state their position.
  - d. Each campus may promulgate procedural rules to implement the above, subject to approval of the Chancellor.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Chancellor shall devise and promulgate Guidelines and Procedures for appropriate and coordinated institutional action in meeting the requirements of the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act, in essence represented by the attached draft. Once promulgated by the Chancellor, any amendment of these Guidelines and Procedures may be accomplished with the approval of the Chancellor.

GUIDELINES AND PROCEDURES FOR FILING STATEMENT OF  
ECONOMIC INTERESTS FORM

**Guidelines:** The Illinois Governmental Ethics Act requires that all persons, except those primarily employed in teaching as distinguished from administrative duties, who are compensated at a rate of \$35,000 per year or more, must file a Statement of Economic Interests with the Secretary of State not later than April 30 of each year. Noncompliance with the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act shall result in termination of employment. Any new employees who are compensated at the rate of \$35,000 per year or more (i.e., \$2,917 per month) should file a statement at the time of their initial employment. Employees whose salaries are raised to \$35,000 during the course of the year should file at the time of their salary increase.

**Procedure:**

RESPONSIBILITY

ACTION

Director of Personnel  
or Designee for each  
campus and for the  
School of Medicine

1. In January, produces a listing of employees reporting salaries of \$35,000 per year or higher at the predicted rate of pay for the ensuing April.

Checks list against notices of appointment and Office and Staff Directory to identify faculty (not primarily engaged in teaching), A/P staff, and civil service workers who should file "Statement of Economic Interests" form.

2. Prepares form letter giving notice of the Governmental Ethics Act, its relevance to the employee notified, and the implications of noncompliance.
3. Mails form letters and Statement of Economic Interests form by January 30, to names on list described in paragraph #1.

Chancellor's Office

4. In early March, receives from the Secretary of State a list of employees who the State Comptroller has identified as being eligible to file. Sends to each Director of Personnel or Designee for comparison purposes.
5. First week in April, writes a letter to Deputy Director, Office of the Secretary of State, requesting a list of employees

- Secretary of State's Office
- Director of Personnel or Designee for each campus and for the School of Medicine
- Chancellor's Office
- Secretary of State's Office
- Chancellor's Office
- Director of Personnel or Designee for each campus and for the School of Medicine
- who have filed a "Statement of Economic Interests" form to be mailed to each Director of Personnel or Designee.
6. Sends list of SIU employees who have filed form to each Director of Personnel or Designee.
  7. Compares names on Secretary of State's list (indicated in #6) with names on list referred to in paragraph #1.
  8. By the third week in April, final notice is given by certified mail or served personally by a supervisor to those persons still shown as nonfilers that they are liable to be removed from the next payroll unless they provide evidence to a designated officer that they have filed or appear before a designated officer before April 30 and show cause why termination of their employment should not proceed as projected.
  9. Last week in May, writes a letter to Deputy Director, Office of the Secretary of State requesting a list of employees who have filed a "Statement of Economic Interests" form as of May 31.
  10. Sends list of SIU employees who have filed form.
  11. Copies list and mails one copy each to:  
Carbondale Campus - President  
Edwardsville Campus - President  
School of Medicine, Springfield - Dean  
with copy to each Director of Personnel or Designee.
  12. Compares names on Secretary of State's list (indicated in #10) with names on list referred to in #1.
  13. Gives notice (as in #8 above) to each person shown as a nonfiler that if that person does not provide satisfactory evidence of filing within one week, that he or she will be removed from the June payroll. Removes from the June payroll all who do not do so.

Chancellor Shaw stated that the campuses suggested that his office, together with the personnel people from the two campuses, develop a statement which would enable the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act to be implemented by SIU. He continued that the Act required certain things of SIU in terms of people who needed to sign statements of conflict of interest and stating their other activities. He reported that SIU was required to abide by the law and that a suggested set of procedures was contained in the proposal.

In response to a question from Mr. Norwood, Dr. Shaw stated that only an extremely small number are not complying with the Act now. He stated that having the right kind of procedures to deal with that would give everybody every opportunity to comply with the law.

Mr. Norwood moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

Under Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System, Dr. Shaw gave the following legislative report:

Senate Bill 1592, our operating budget, passed appropriation to committee, May 9, and at that time the bill was amended downward to the Governor's level. There was one variation, however. Those systems which raise tuition beyond the 6-1/2 percent IBHE level are being asked to provide funds for the additional burden that this increase places on the Illinois State Scholarship Award Program. In shorthand version, if you raise your tuition beyond that amount, ISSC has to pick up those dollars to give students who are eligible, which turns out to be about \$1,500,000. So it becomes a question as to whether or not everybody pays for the benefits received by those who raise tuition or whether those who receive the benefits pay for it. The legislature is pretty much unanimous that it wants to see those who benefit also pay. There are differences of opinion as to whether this should be a direct transfer of Income Fund dollars to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission, whether these should be General Revenue Fund dollars, or whether it should be in the form of some kind of waiver. The other deviation from the Governor's recommendation was that retirement contributions were increased to 66-2/3 percent of pay out as opposed to the 60 percent in the Governor's level. Our understanding is that those dollars come from internal reallocation of some of the Code Departments; it didn't come from internal reallocation of our budget.

House Bill 2804, and its companion bill, Senate Bill 1470, is the so-called T-shirt bill prohibiting higher education from competing in retail

sales. The sponsors and the Retail Merchants Association have agreed to work with the universities to hammer out an amendment that makes the bill more acceptable. The bill has been amended and it would prohibit sale of items that are in a significant level of competition with private business. It would allow the sale of items commonly sold in the operation of the institution and all the items sold prior to 1980 can continue to be sold. We feel that these amendments give us a sufficient amount of flexibility that we can be supportive.

House Bill 1646, the comparable worth item or pay equity, was amended and it did not receive enough votes to be voted out of committee. It would appear at this point that the comparable worth question will be one that we will hear about next year and perhaps even this year.

House Bill 2400 provides scholarship aid in addition to the monetary award program for qualified students graduating above the 95th percentile of their Illinois high school graduating class. The bill is amended to eliminate the merit recognition component and reduce the need base component to \$1,000. The cost of the bill would be \$2.2 million the first year and \$4.4 million in the second. It would not go into effect until July 1, 1985. It has gone through a number of amendments. We don't know how it will turn out, but at some point in time we're going to see a merit scholarship proposal advance through the legislature and be signed. Whether this is the one or not is difficult to tell.

Mr. Norwood congratulated the Chancellor and the Presidents for not raising tuition above the 6.5 percent. He continued that it was important that the bill contain the provision that universities cannot raise tuition and get the ISSC to pay for it and continue to deplete the resources for other students around the state.

In response to a question from Mr. Berry regarding House Bill 2804, the T-shirt bill, Chancellor Shaw responded that there has been opposition to the bill from the universities and student groups. He continued that many legislators view this bill as making the one in effect more operable. He remarked that anything sold before 1980 would be exempt, but that the bill is general enough that SIU can operate a pretty sound operation and not have to further tax the students.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE, President Lazerson reported that a number of searches had come to conclusion. He

introduced the following people: Professor Samuel Pearson, Dean of the School of Social Sciences; Professor Johnetta Haley, Director of the East St. Louis Center; Dr. Miriam Dusenbery, Director of Academic Services; and Mr. Larry Graham, Head Basketball Coach. He announced that SIUE's Men's Division II team won their seventh consecutive title on May 9. He reported that the women's team advanced to the finals of Division II and placed second. He stated that there would be a bus at the east entrance of the University Center to transport members of the Board and others on a tour of the Student Experimental Theatre and the Sam M. Vadalabene Center for Health, Recreation, and Physical Education. He introduced Dr. Jim Buck, who will be the tour guide.


Mr. Rowe introduced Mr. Jim Grandone, a former student trustee, who is now a member of the press.

The Chair announced that a news conference had been scheduled immediately following the regular meeting in the International Room, and that lunch would be served at the Vadalabene Center, with members of the Task Force on Academics and Athletics and the Intercollegiate Athletics Committee in attendance.

The Chair announced that the next meeting of the Board of Trustees would be held at the Touch of Nature Environmental Center on June 14, and that Mr. Van Meter would be presiding.

Mrs. Kimmel moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 11:37 a.m.

  
Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary

## CONTENTS

Roll Call . . . . .	347
Trustee Reports . . . . .	348
Introduction of New SIUE and SIUC Constituency Heads . . . . .	349
Introduction of Guests from China . . . . .	349
Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Public Service Award . . . . .	349
Lindell W. Sturgis Professional Achievement Award . . . . .	351
Committee Reports . . . . .	351
Executive Committee . . . . .	351
Finance Committee . . . . .	351
Academic Matters Committee . . . . .	352
Architecture and Design Committee . . . . .	353
Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, April, 1984, SIUC and SIUE . . .	353
Proposal to Dispose of Property Located at 3220 College Avenue, Alton, Illinois, SIUE . . . . .	354
Temporary Financial Arrangements for Fiscal Year 1985 . . . . .	355
Easements to City of Carbondale, Storm Drainage Project, SIUC . . . . .	356
Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contract: Elevator Installation, Central Steam Plant, Phase II, SIUC . . . . .	357
Project Approval and Selection of Architect: Replacement of Carpeting, Student Center, SIUC . . . . .	358
Defeasance of SIU Foundation Bond Issue for the VTI Dormitory: Disclaimer of Legal Interest or Title . . . . .	359
Approval of Minutes of the Meeting held May 10, 1984 . . . . .	361
Abolition of Degree Program: Master of City and Regional Planning, Major in City and Regional Planning, Department of Earth Science, Geography, and Planning, School of Social Sciences, SIUE . . . . .	362
Abolition of Degree Program: Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Science, Major in Human Services, Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center, SIUE . . . . .	364

Abolition of Educational Unit: Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center, SIUE . . . . .	366
Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE . . . . .	367
Fiscal Emergency Policy [Amendment to 2 <u>Policies of the Board</u> C-1-e, f, g, h, i] . . . . .	368
Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of the SIU System . . . . .	374
Recommendations Per "Academic Affairs and Research: A Planning Document (September, 1983)": . . . . .	375
Restructuring of the Ph.D., Major in Education, and Retitling of the Department of Guidance and Educational Psychology, SIUC . . . . .	375
Restructuring of the Bachelor of Science, Major in Technical Careers, Division of Advanced Technical Studies, School of Technical Careers, SIUC . . . . .	377
Administrative Relocation of Degree Programs: B.S., Child and Family; B.S., Clothing and Textiles; B.S., Consumer Economics and Family Management; B.A., Design; B.S., Food and Nutrition; and B.S., Interior Design, SIUC . . . . .	378
Abolition of Degree Programs: A.A.S., Correctional Services; B.A. and M.A., Latin American Studies; M.S., Human Development; M.S., Environmental Design; and M.S.Ed., Home Economics Education, SIUC . . . . .	380
Conversion of the Bachelor of Science Degree Program in Engineering With Four Specializations to Four Bachelor of Science Programs in Engineering, and Retitling of the Departments of Electrical Sciences and Systems Engineering; Engineering Mechanics and Materials; and Thermal and Environmental Engineering, SIUC . . . . .	381
Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC . . . . .	383
Recognition of Sharon Hutcherson and David Berry . . . . .	383
Introduction of New Student Trustees, SIUC and SIUE . . . . .	384



The regular monthly meeting of the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University convened on Thursday, June 14, 1984, at 11:00 a.m., in the Sledgefoot Lounge, Freeberg Hall, Touch of Nature Environmental Center, Camp II, Little Grassy Lake, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale. In the absence of the Chairman, the Vice-Chairman called the meeting to order. The regular Secretary and the following members of the Board were present:

Mr. David Berry  
Mr. Ivan A. Elliott, Jr.  
Mrs. Crete B. Harvey  
Ms. Sharon Hutcherson  
Mrs. Carol Kimmel, Secretary  
Mr. William R. Norwood  
Mr. A. D. Van Meter, Jr., Vice-Chairman

The following members were absent:

Mr. Harris Rowe, Chairman  
Dr. George T. Wilkins, Jr.

Executive Officers present were:

Mr. Earl E. Lazerson, President, SIUE  
Dr. Kenneth A. Shaw, Chancellor of the SIU System  
Dr. Albert Somit, President, SIUC

Also present was Mrs. Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary of the Board.

The Secretary reported a quorum present.

NOTE: Copies of all background documents furnished to the Board in connection with the following matters have been placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees.

Mr. Van Meter commented that one of the joys of being a member of the Board of Trustees was to find out the real resources of the University when he had met the people on the various staffs, faculty, students, and everyone connected with the University. He continued that when the Board came to locations such as this, which he would call peculiar, wonderful places, it appreciated the tremendous resources provided for in years past by President Emeritus Delyte W. Morris and others. He thanked Phil Lindberg, Director of

the Touch of Nature Environmental Center, for the magnificent job done by him and his staff in hosting the dinner on Wednesday evening and the Board of Trustees' meeting today.

Under Trustee Reports, Mr. Norwood reported that he had attended the meetings of the State Universities Retirement System on May 10 and 11. He stated that at that meeting they met with the funding and investment people and things were going fairly well. He reported that the market value at the end of March 31 was \$1,479,000,000 plus, but that there was still a great unfunded liability. He stated that the retirement system continues to send out information to participants of the plan to let them know that it is still important to strive for full funding for the retirement system. He reported that the net income last year was \$79,000,000 plus and that funding for Fiscal Year 1985 is 66-2/3 percent of gross payout.

Ms. Sharon Hutcherson reported that she had attended the SIUC commencement on May 12, along with Harris Rowe. She stated that at that commencement she also received her Juris Doctor degree.

In the absence of Dr. Wilkins, Chancellor Shaw reported on the meeting of the Joint Trustees Committee for Springfield Medical Education Programs on May 17. He stated that the meeting was very informative. He reported that Dean Moy had brought the Committee up-to-date on matters of accreditation and budget problems, and had given the Committee a summary of some of the problems in the field of medicine today as a result of the spiraling costs and the impact it has on medical schools which have their own teaching hospitals.

Mr. Elliott reported that he had attended the Merit Board Employees Award Banquet on May 25 at which 5, 10, and 15 year awards were presented to members of the Merit Board staff. He explained that the Merit Board System

in Illinois serves as a model for many systems around the country. He also reported that he had attended the SIUE commencement on June 8. He stated that it was a joyous occasion and it had been a pleasure to attend.

Mrs. Kimmel reported that she had attended the SIUE School of Dental Medicine commencement on June 2.

Mr. Van Meter introduced the new SIUE and SIUC constituency heads: Dr. Tom Paxson, President of the Faculty Senate, SIUE; Mr. Fred Porterfield, President of the Student Senate, SIUE; Mr. Glenn Stolar, President of the Graduate and Professional Student Council, SIUC; Mr. Andrew Leighton, President of the Undergraduate Student Organization, SIUC; and Mr. Jerry Looft, Chairperson of the Civil Service Employees Council, SIUC. Mr. Van Meter reported that Mr. Looft had been re-elected to that position.

President Somit introduced the President of Liaoning University, Republic of China, Feng Yu-Zhong, who is the youngest president in China. He also introduced Vice-President Liu Qi-Tao and Professor Chen Jia-Sheng. He commented that these guests are visiting the United States and the SIUC campus.

Mr. Elliott stated that it was a pleasure to make the presentation of the Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Public Service Award for contributions to community, area, state or nation rather than job-related activities. He made the following statement:

The Board has received the committee's recommendation for the fifth award of this honor memorializing Lindell W. Sturgis and the signal service he gave to this institution. Mr. Sturgis served with distinction on the governing boards of Southern Illinois University for nearly thirty years; twenty of those years were on the Board of Trustees. He accepted further responsibilities by serving as Chairman for two years and as Vice-Chairman for several years preceding. His dedication and commitment to Southern Illinois University still stand as a model for us all to emulate.

Mr. Elliott introduced members of the Sturgis family in attendance: Viola Sturgis, widow of Lindell W. Sturgis; Jean Easley and Sue Wetherington, Lindell Sturgis' daughters; and Lydia Miller, Lindell Sturgis' sister. He recognized the four past recipients: William E. O'Brien, John Fohr, David E. Christensen, and Carol McDermott. Mr. Elliott moved that this Board present the fifth Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Public Service Award to Mrs. Betty Mitchell. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed unanimously.

Mr. Elliott recognized Mrs. Betty Mitchell, Assistant Professor of English and Administrative Assistant to the Department Chairman, and outlined for the Board the wide variety of activities which she is currently and has been involved regarding community service. He presented Mrs. Mitchell with a plaque and a check for \$500.

Mrs. Mitchell stated that she had come to SIUC 39 years ago in August; that she didn't have any idea that she would stay here for 39 years, but that she couldn't think of any place she would rather have been.

Mr. Elliott recognized Mr. Ellis Mitchell, Betty Mitchell's husband. He also recognized Stanley McAnally, President of the SIU Foundation, and members of the Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Public Service Committee: Jack Dyer, Chairman of the Committee; Gary Auld; Dave Grobe; Rex Karnes; Marianne Osberg; Susan Rehwaldt; Ben Shepherd; and Mike Williams.

Mr. Elliott stated that the Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Award provided that the number of annual recipients may be altered based upon available funds, and the agreement with the Sturgis family was that the second award be given for outstanding, professional achievement in the area of public service by a member of the faculty and staff. He continued that the award is for University-related work and that this is the first time that a

second award has been given. He stated that this award is a surprise to everyone except a very tight inner group. Mr. Elliott moved that the Board present the first Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Professional Achievement Award to Mr. Rex Karnes. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed unanimously.

Mr. Elliott commented that Mr. Karnes is a member of the Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Public Service Committee, that he was an organizer of the Committee, and that he is retiring this year after 30 years of service to the University. He outlined for the Board the wide variety of positions and activities which he is currently and has been involved regarding his employment and community service. He presented Mr. Karnes with a plaque and a check for \$500.

Mr. Karnes thanked the Sturgis family for making these awards possible, his wife and daughter for their patience, and the Board of Trustees.

Mr. Elliott introduced Virginia Karnes, Rex Karnes' wife, and his daughter, Mindy.

Under Committee Reports, Mrs. Kimmel had no report for the Executive Committee.

Mr. Elliott, Chairman of the Finance Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Indian Building at the Touch of Nature Environmental Center at 8:15 a.m. that morning. He gave the following report:

Item L, Fiscal Emergency Policy, was discussed and the Committee recommends approval by the Board of Trustees. A report was given regarding computing. Salary increase plans for Fiscal Year 1985 were discussed and will be acted on in July. The Committee discussed the proposed RAMP Guidelines for FY-86. The System Audit Plan for FY-85 was presented. The Committee discussed the possible refinancing of discounted prepayment of revenue bonds issued to HUD. Regarding this last item, it may require a special meeting in August, but there will be an update on this in July. The Committee heard a report on banking relationships. The Committee discussed and recommends approval and inclusion in the Board's omnibus motion of Items M, Temporary Financial Arrangements for Fiscal Year 1985, and T, Defeasance of SIU Foundation Bond Issue for the VTI Dormitory: Disclaimer of Legal Interest or Title.

Mr. Elliott announced that there will be a Finance Committee meeting on Wednesday, July 11, 1984, at 7:30 p.m., for discussion of computer policy at SIUC. He also announced that as Chairman of the Ad Hoc Committee for the Five-Year Review he will call a meeting of that Committee on Wednesday, July 11, 1984, at 5:45 p.m. He invited other members of the Board of Trustees and other interested people to attend. He stated that sandwiches would be available for members of the Board.

Mr. Elliott stated that this was David Berry's last meeting as a member of the Finance Committee. He thanked David for the fine service he has given to the Committee.

Mrs. Kimmel, Chairperson of the Academic Matters Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Indian Building at the Touch of Nature Environmental Center that morning. She gave the following report:

A lengthy discussion on recommendations for programs and for abolition of degree programs was had at the May meeting. Opportunity for discussion of Items G, Abolition of Degree Program: Master of City and Regional Planning, Major in City and Regional Planning, Department of Earth Science, Geography, and Planning, School of Social Sciences, SIUE; H, Abolition of Degree Program: Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Science, Major in Human Services, Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center, SIUE; I, Abolition of Educational Unit: Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center, SIUE; O (1), Recommendations Per "Academic Affairs and Research: A Planning Document (September, 1983)": Restructuring of the Ph.D., Major in Education, and Retitling of the Department of Guidance and Educational Psychology, SIUC; O (2), Restructuring of the Bachelor of Science, Major in Technical Careers, Division of Advanced Technical Studies, School of Technical Careers, SIUC; O (3) Administrative Relocation of Degree Programs: B.S., Child and Family; B.S., Clothing and Textiles; B.S., Consumer Economics and Family Management; B.A., Design; B.S., Food and Nutrition; and B.S., Interior Design, SIUC; O (4), Abolition of Degree Programs: A.A.S., Correctional Services; B.A. and M.A., Latin American Studies; M.S., Human Development; M.S., Environmental Design; and M.S.Ed., Home Economics Education, SIUC; and P, Conversion of the Bachelor of Science Degree Program in Engineering With Four Specializations to Four Bachelor of Science Programs in Engineering, and Retitling of the Departments of Electrical Sciences and Systems Engineering; Engineering Mechanics and Materials; and Thermal and Environmental Engineering, SIUC, was given and the Committee recommends these items to the Board for approval. The Committee does not recommend that they be placed on the omnibus motion because it doesn't want to shut off any discussion if anyone here wishes to speak to these. There was discussion of the

Resource Allocation and Management Program (RAMP) Planning Documents, Fiscal Year 1986, for SIUC, the SIU School of Medicine, and SIUE. These matters will be presented for Board action in July. The Committee received a brief report on the progress of SIUC and SIUE's response to the IBHE recommendations on public college and university admission requirements. This will be discussed at the July meeting. There was no time to discuss the report on foreign language offerings and enrollments in Illinois public high schools, but it will be discussed in July.

Mrs. Harvey, member of the Architecture and Design Committee, said that the Committee had met in the Indian Building at the Touch of Nature Environmental Center that morning. She gave the following report:

The Committee discussed and approved four items to be placed on the Board's omnibus motion. They are: Items J, Proposal to Dispose of Property Located at 3220 College Avenue, Alton, Illinois, SIUE; Q, Easements to the City of Carbondale, Storm Drainage Project, SIUC; R, Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contract: Elevator Installation, Central Steam Plant, Phase II, SIUC; and S, Project Approval and Selection of Architect: Replacement of Carpeting, Student Center, SIUC. There was one correction to Item J; instead of the "Illinois Department of Administrative Services," it should be the "Illinois Department of Central Management Services." President Lazerson presented a proposal for the day care center at SIUE.

Mr. Van Meter explained the procedure for the Board's omnibus motion, and he proposed that, after discussion, there would be taken up the following matters:

REPORTS OF PURCHASE ORDERS AND CONTRACTS, APRIL, 1984,  
SIUC AND SIUE

In accordance with III Bylaws 1, and procedures effective April 1, 1980, summary reports of purchase orders and contracts awarded during the month of April, 1984, were mailed to the members of the Board in advance of this meeting, copies were placed on file in the Office of the Board of Trustees, and these reports are hereby submitted for information and entry upon the minutes of the Board with respect to the actions of the Executive Committee.

PROPOSAL TO DISPOSE OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 3220 COLLEGE  
AVENUE, ALTON, ILLINOIS, SIUE

Summary

This matter proposes the disposal of real property located at 3220 College Avenue, Alton, Illinois. The property was acquired when the University purchased the Alton Campus (previously known as Shurtleff College) in December, 1971. The property consists of a lot, approximately 330' x 160', and a fourteen-room two story, brick and frame residence. The original appraised value was \$20,000.

While Illinois law authorizes the Board of Trustees to acquire and dispose of real property, SIUE officers recommend that the property be transferred to the Illinois Department of Central Management Services which has prescribed procedures for disposal of state real property. That Department will first advertise it for transfer to other units of state government. If there is no interest, it will then advertise the property for sale to units of local government and, as a last measure if needed, advertise it for sale to the public. Any proceeds from a sale will be deposited to the state General Revenue Fund, from which the funds for acquisition were derived.

Rationale for Adoption

The Southern Illinois University School of Dental Medicine began operations at the Alton Campus in 1969. No use has been made of the 3220 College Avenue property because of its inconvenient location. Over the intervening years the property has been leased to the Madison County Mental Health Center, Inc. The Center has not used the property for some time and, by mutual agreement, the lease has been cancelled. The administration of the School of Dental Medicine anticipates no future use for the property. Consequently, University officers propose that the property be disposed of by transferring title to the property to the Illinois Department of Central Management Services.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

This matter is recommended for adoption by the Vice-President and Provost, the Director of Supporting Services, and the President, SIUE.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The property owned by the Board of Trustees and located at 3220 College Avenue, Alton, Illinois, be disposed of by transfer of title to the Illinois Department of Central



Management Services. The legal description of the property is as follows, to wit:

Beginning at a point at the most Northwesterly corner of College Avenue and Oak Street (as platted by Joseph Burton, reference being had to the plat of said Addition of record in Plat Book 5 at Page 9 Madison County, Illinois records) and which said Oak Street is now known as Orchard Boulevard, as shown on Plat of William W. Elwell's College Heights Subdivision of part of Sections 6 and 7 of record in Plat Book 8 at Page 44 Madison County, Illinois records; thence Northerly from said beginning point along the Westerly line of said Orchard Boulevard 333 feet and 1 inch to a point; thence Westerly 161 feet 4 inches to a point; thence Southerly and parallel with the Westerly line of Orchard Boulevard, 332 feet to a point in the Northerly line of College Avenue; thence Easterly along the Northerly line of College Avenue 161 feet 4 inches to the place of beginning, the said tract of land being otherwise known as lot 70 of Burton's Subdivision Upper Alton; in Madison County, Illinois.

Same description as conveyed in Book 2297 on page 475.

- (2) The Board of Trustees authorizes the Chairman and the Secretary to execute such deeds and other legal documents in the name of this Board as may be necessary or desirable to accomplish the above purpose.
- (3) The President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

TEMPORARY FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1985

As of this date, Southern Illinois University's appropriation bill for Fiscal Year 1985 has not been enacted. Since it is essential to maintain the operation of the University between the beginning of Fiscal Year 1985 and that time at which the appropriation bill is enacted and an Internal Budget for Operations is approved by the Board of Trustees, Board approval of the following resolution is recommended:

Resolution

WHEREAS, Southern Illinois University's appropriation bill for Fiscal Year 1985 has not been enacted; and

WHEREAS, This meeting of the Southern Illinois University Board of Trustees is the last regular meeting prior to the beginning of Fiscal Year 1985;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That subject to the availability of funds, the President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, the President of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, and the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System are authorized to make expenditures at levels equal to or less than those approved for Fiscal Year 1984 as necessary for operations until such date as a completed Internal Budget for Operations for Fiscal Year 1985 is approved by the Southern Illinois University Board of Trustees.

EASEMENTS TO CITY OF CARBONDALE, STORM DRAINAGE PROJECT, SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes that a permanent easement and a temporary easement be granted to the City of Carbondale for the purpose of correcting a storm drainage problem that frequently occurs on privately-owned residential properties adjoining University-owned land.

Rationale for Adoption

The Carbondale City Council has recognized a problem of inadequate storm drainage in a residential area known as the Parrish Acres subdivision. The City Public Works Department has proposed a two-phased project to alleviate the problem. The first phase involves clearing and excavating an existing drainage ditch that is partially on University land. The second phase does not involve University land.

The University land is commonly known as the Colp Stables property and is north of Chautauqua Street and east of Tower Road. The permanent easement involves a narrow strip approximately 400 feet by 40 feet at the extreme north edge of the Colp Stables land and adjacent to privately-owned residential properties along the south side of Kent Drive. The temporary easement will facilitate access to the construction area and is approximately 1200 feet by 50 feet. These easements are requested by the City of Carbondale.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none. The granting of these easements is primarily a matter of cooperation with the City of Carbondale.

Constituency Involvement

This project has the involvement and recommendation of the City Council, the City Manager, the City Director of Public Works, the Vice-President for Campus Services, the Director of the Physical Plant, and the Director of Facilities Planning, SIUC. The Dean of the School of Agriculture does not object to this project.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) A permanent easement be and is hereby granted to the City of Carbondale for the purpose of correcting storm drainage problems.
- (2) A temporary easement be and is hereby granted to the City of Carbondale for the purpose of facilitating access to the construction area.
- (3) The permanent and temporary easements be as set forth in legal descriptions appended hereto and made a part hereof.
- (4) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

APPROVAL OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND AWARD OF CONTRACT:  
ELEVATOR INSTALLATION, CENTRAL STEAM PLANT, PHASE II, SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes approval of plans and specifications and the award of contract for the installation of the catwalk system in the central steam plant and general construction work.

The estimated cost for this portion of the project was \$100,000. The low bid was \$67,500. The source of funds is state appropriations through the Physical Plant account.

Rationale for Adoption

At its meeting of March 8, 1984, the Board of Trustees gave approval to the project to install a small industrial-type elevator and catwalk system in the central steam plant. The estimated total cost of the project was \$200,000.

The elevator is being installed in the first phase, and the catwalk system and the general construction part of this project is the second phase. A favorable bid has been received for this second phase, and the award of contract is requested at this time.

Mr. Charles Pulley, AIA, has reviewed the plans and specifications for this project and recommends their approval.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

Because this is primarily a matter of facilities improvement, the constituency heads were not involved. This matter was initiated by the

Director of the Physical Plant, and has the recommendation of the Vice-President for Campus Services and the Director of Facilities Planning, SIUC.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The contract to install the catwalk system and to perform the general construction work of this project be and is hereby awarded to the R. B. Stephens Construction Co., Carbondale, Illinois, in the amount of \$67,500.
- (2) Final plans and specifications for this project are hereby approved as submitted to the Office of the Board of Trustees for review, and shall be placed on file in accordance with I Bylaws 9, contingent upon favorable recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee.
- (3) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

#### PROJECT APPROVAL AND SELECTION OF ARCHITECT: REPLACEMENT OF CARPETING, STUDENT CENTER, SIUC

#### Summary

This matter seeks project and budget approval for the replacement of carpeting in the Student Center, SIUC. The estimated cost of this work is \$151,000.

Funding for this work will be from student fees through the System Revenue Reserve Bonds of 1978, Repair and Replacement Reserve, and the Student Center Operation and Maintenance account. State appropriations will not be required.

This matter further requests authority for the plans and specifications to be prepared by the Physical Plant Engineering Services.

#### Rationale for Adoption

The carpeting in the Bookstore and in the second floor corridors and lounges was installed in 1971 and had a life expectancy of seven to ten years under normal conditions. The traffic in the Bookstore is very high, and the programming of activities in the second floor facilities has increased significantly during the past five years causing rapid deterioration of the carpet. Patching and other repairs have become impractical due to depletion of the available material.

In order for this project to be completed during the low-traffic periods in the late summer and between semesters, this request for approval is made at this time.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

Constituency Involvement

This project has the involvement and recommendation of the Vice-President for Student Affairs, the Director of the Student Center, the Vice-President for Campus Services, the Director of the Physical Plant, and the Director of Facilities Planning, SIUC.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The project to replace the carpeting in the Bookstore and in the second floor corridors and lounges of the Student Center, SIUC, be and is hereby approved at an estimated cost of \$151,000.
- (2) Upon recommendation of the Architecture and Design Committee, authorization is granted for the plans and specifications to be prepared by the Physical Plant Engineering Services.
- (3) The President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale be and is hereby authorized to take whatever action may be required in the execution of this resolution in accordance with established policies and procedures.

DEFEASANCE OF SIU FOUNDATION BOND ISSUE FOR THE VTI  
DORMITORY: DISCLAIMER OF LEGAL INTEREST OR TITLE

Summary

This matter seeks adoption by the Southern Illinois University Board of Trustees of a disclaimer of legal interest in or title to any of the funds and investments held by Northern Trust Company, as Trustee, in connection with the SIU Foundation Bond Issue for the Vocational-Technical Institute near Carterville, Illinois.

Rationale for Adoption

The Southern Illinois University Foundation issued \$1,000,000 in Southern Illinois University Foundation Revenue Bonds of 1966 pursuant to a Trust Agreement dated July 1, 1966 between the Foundation and the Northern Trust Company, as Trustee.

The proceeds of this bond issue were used to construct a dormitory at the Vocational-Technical Institute near Carterville, Illinois, on land leased to the Foundation by SIUC. After construction, the dormitory was leased back to SIUC and for some years used as a dormitory. When most of the VTI (now STC) activities were moved into a new building at the Carbondale campus, the dormitory building lost nearly all utility to SIUC. It was sublet to the U.S. Government for a period, so it was not a total liability. Finally, in 1976, the opportunity arose to sell the building to the U.S. Government, and on the basis of an appraisal SIUC received the appraised value of the land (\$10,000) and the Foundation received the appraised value of the building (\$809,000). The Foundation deposited its share with the Trustee of the 1966 bond issue to pay the bonds as they became due. Since this amount is more than sufficient to retire the bonds, the Foundation desires to escrow an ample sum for bond retirement, and to defease the bond indenture so that it may receive the funds not necessary for bond retirement and use them for its charitable and educational purposes. The Foundation has been advised on this matter by its Bond Counsel, Chapman and Cutler.

The Foundation Board of Directors approved an Escrow Agreement to accomplish the defeasance and transfer. Although the System Financial Officer and Board Treasurer notified the Trustee that the accounts and income from the same belong to the Foundation, and the University does not claim any interest in the same, the Trustee has requested that this Board pass a resolution disclaiming any legal interest in or title to any of these funds and investments held by the Trustee.

This resolution needs to be adopted in order to comply with the last remaining condition of the Trustee.

This resolution, along with the Escrow Agreement approved by the Board of Directors of the Foundation, will allow the Foundation to receive the funds not necessary for bond retirement for use and investment in accordance with its Charter, Bylaws, and policies.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University nor Foundation officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

Because this action is primarily a formality necessary in order to allow the Foundation to receive funds and investments belonging to it, the constituency heads were not involved.

This matter was initiated at the request of the Foundation and has the recommendation of the President, SIUC.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That:

- (1) The Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University has no legal interest in or title to the funds and investments held by the Trustee pursuant to the Trust Agreement, including any funds and investments to be permitted by the Trustee to the Foundation as the result of the defeasance of the bonds.
- (2) This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.
- (3) Certified copies of this resolution be forwarded to the President of the Southern Illinois University Foundation and to the Northern Trust Company, Trustee of the Trust Agreement dated July 1, 1966.

Mr. Norwood moved the reception of Reports of Purchase Orders and Contracts, April, 1984, SIUC and SIUE; the ratification of Changes in Faculty-Administrative Payroll, SIUC and SIUE; and the approval of Minutes of the meeting held May 10, 1984; Proposal to Dispose of Property Located at 3220 College Avenue, Alton, Illinois, SIUE, as amended; Temporary Financial Arrangements for Fiscal Year 1985; Easements to City of Carbondale, Storm Drainage Project, SIUC; Approval of Plans and Specifications and Award of Contract: Elevator Installation, Central Steam Plant, Phase II, SIUC; Project Approval and Selection of Architect: Replacement of Carpeting, Student Center, SIUC; and Defeasance of SIU Foundation Bond Issue for the VTI Dormitory: Disclaimer of Legal Interest or Title. The motion was duly seconded. Student Trustee opinion in regard to this motion was indicated as follows: Aye, David Berry, Sharon Hutcherson; nay, none. The motion carried by the following recorded vote: Aye, Ivan A. Elliott, Jr., Crete B. Harvey, Carol Kimmel, William R. Norwood, A. D. Van Meter, Jr.; nay, none.

The following matter was presented:

ABOLITION OF DEGREE PROGRAM: MASTER OF CITY AND REGIONAL  
PLANNING, MAJOR IN CITY AND REGIONAL PLANNING,  
DEPARTMENT OF EARTH SCIENCE, GEOGRAPHY, AND  
PLANNING, SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES, SIUE

Summary

This matter proposes the termination of the Master of City and Regional Planning degree program, major in City and Regional Planning, Department of Earth Science, Geography, and Planning, School of Social Sciences, SIUE, effective June 15, 1985.

Rationale for Adoption

Significant problems in this program were first identified in an internal program review conducted in 1979. These problems have continued. A number of specific issues were identified. Among these are:

1. Low number of faculty willing to accept assignments in the program;
2. The loss of important specialized skills due to faculty leaving the program;
3. Absence of research productivity among faculty assigned full-time to the program;
4. Excessive time devoted to non-professionally related community service by faculty assigned full-time to the program;
5. Failure of program goals and curriculum to reflect the changing nature of the field of planning;
6. Unresolved conflict among the faculty which has contributed to the failure to make needed changes in goals and curriculum and which has adversely affected advisement and other student-faculty relationships;
7. Concern about the quality of students, including minimal admission standards and minimal evaluation of student progress;
8. Failure to formalize relationships with other departments which would strengthen the curriculum; and
9. Ineffective leadership and administration.

Another program review was conducted in 1982-83 with the review report issued in April, 1983. That review indicated many of these problems were continuing.

Furthermore, enrollment and graduations have declined dramatically. Recent studies indicate that employment opportunities have been fewer than the



number of qualified individuals in the field; this circumstance is expected to continue well into the future. A formal moratorium on admissions to the program was declared on March 17, 1983.

All currently enrolled students have been informed of the possibility of termination and have been assured that every opportunity will be afforded them to complete their degree by the termination date.

The recommendation for termination was received from the Graduate School, SIUE, by the Vice-President and Provost on July 11, 1983. After reviewing the issues and problems described and conferring intensively with faculty, students, and all appropriate administrators, the Vice-President concluded that the problems are real and on-going and that termination is justified.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

The termination of the Master of City and Regional Planning eliminates that academic option for graduate students.

In the absence of acceptable alternative employment within the institution, the affiliation of several faculty members with SIUE would cease with termination of the program. Four tenured persons are members of the faculty of this program. Two tenured persons whose primary assignments exist elsewhere teach some courses in the program.

#### Constituency Involvement

The proposal was initiated by the Dean of the School of Social Sciences, SIUE, following careful scrutiny of a program review report completed in April, 1983. At its meeting of July 8, 1983, the Graduate Council approved the request for termination. In accordance with the SIUE tenure policy which requires that "a broadly based University committee" be convened to consider the "current status of the University budget and programs," the proposal has been reviewed by the University Planning and Budget Council. The proposal is recommended for adoption by the Vice-President and Provost and by the President, SIUE.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the Master of City and Regional Planning degree program, major in City and Regional Planning, Department of Earth Science, Geography, and Planning, School of Social Sciences, SIUE, be and is hereby abolished effective June 15, 1985, and that the University Tenure Policy and Guidelines as approved by the Chancellor, June 30, 1983, be adhered to in the question of faculty members assigned to the program affected by this resolution; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That this action be reported to the staff of the Illinois Board of Higher Education.

Mrs. Kimmel moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matter was presented:

ABOLITION OF DEGREE PROGRAM: BACHELOR OF ARTS AND  
BACHELOR OF SCIENCE, MAJOR IN HUMAN SERVICES,  
DELINQUENCY STUDY AND YOUTH DEVELOPMENT CENTER, SIUE

Summary

This matter proposes the abolition of the Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Science degree program, major in Human Services, Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center, SIUE, effective June 15, 1985.

Rationale for Adoption

The final report of the Undergraduate Program Review for the Human Services program was completed in September, 1981. This report was submitted to the Curriculum Council of the SIUE Faculty Senate. The report, which was endorsed by the Curriculum Council on February 11, 1982, cited the following reasons for proposing termination of the subject program:

1. The absence of a clear and easily communicated definition of "human services" and of goals and objectives for that program which distinguish it from other programs in the University;
2. The absence of a conceptual base and a curriculum structure to support it;
3. The absence of a context in which the program is subject to continuing peer review and/or is measured against national guidelines and standards;
4. The lack of both disciplinary depth and interdisciplinary strength;
5. Enrollment declines of more than a temporary nature;
6. A declining market for graduates of the program; and
7. The presence of other programs which may meet the needs of students wishing to prepare for social services careers.

While no one of these concerns necessarily justifies discontinuance of the Human Services program, taken together they support the considered judgment of the Review Committee, the Curriculum Council, the Faculty Senate Executive Committee, and the Faculty Senate, that termination of the program will be in the best interests of the University and its instructional mission.

The Faculty Senate formally recommended termination of the program at its meeting of March 17, 1983. On March 18, 1983, acceptance of majors into the program was formally discontinued. Between that time and Spring, 1984, the Vice-President and Provost, SIUE, took the recommendation under consideration and, after careful assessment of the issues, concurred in the proposal on grounds cited and described in detail in the Undergraduate Program Review Report. The Vice-President and Provost further determined that the degree-granting unit for the Human Services major, the Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center, should also be abolished. This recommendation is presented to the Board of Trustees in a separate matter. Students currently enrolled in the program, having declared as majors prior to the enrollment suspension in March, 1983, will be permitted a reasonable period to continue and complete the program without interruption.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

The termination of the Human Services program eliminates that academic option for undergraduate students. Personnel impact is discussed in the action to abolish the Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center, the unit through which this program has been administered.

#### Constituency Involvement

This proposal was initiated in the Curriculum Council of the SIUE Faculty Senate. It has been reviewed and endorsed by the Faculty Senate Executive Committee and the Faculty Senate. In accordance with the SIUE tenure policy which requires that a "broadly based University committee" be convened to consider the "current status of the University budget and programs," the program has been reviewed by the University Planning and Budget Council. The proposal is recommended for approval by the Vice-President and Provost and by the President, SIUE.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Science degree program, major in Human Services, Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center, SIUE, be and is hereby abolished effective June 15, 1985; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That this action be reported to the staff of the Illinois Board of Higher Education.

Mrs. Kimmel moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matter was presented:

ABOLITION OF EDUCATIONAL UNIT: DELINQUENCY STUDY AND  
YOUTH DEVELOPMENT CENTER, SIUE

Summary

This matter proposes the abolition of the educational unit, Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center, SIUE, effective June 30, 1985.

Rationale for Adoption

The Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center was established on the Edwardsville campus in 1962 as the Center for the Study of Crime, Delinquency, and Corrections. Among its objectives were the establishment of a formal academic curriculum in the various correctional areas of crime control and in correctional administration; the offering of workshops, training institutes, and conferences for continued professional training; the provision of consultation and technical assistance to agencies; and the promotion of research, demonstration projects, and surveys of pertinent problems in the fields of delinquency, crime, and corrections. In 1970, the Board of Trustees approved a proposal for an undergraduate degree in Human Services to be offered by the Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center. That degree is now being recommended for abolition because of curriculum problems, sharply declining enrollment, and decreased employment opportunities. The details of the degree abolition proposal are given in a separate matter now before the Board.

In September, 1981, an Undergraduate Program Review Final Report for the Human Services major was completed. It strongly urged discontinuance of the degree program. The report was endorsed by the Faculty Senate Curriculum Council and, subsequently, by the Senate itself. While the report focused principally on the academic program, there were also observations about other faculty activity only indirectly related to the offering of the Human Services major. One of the findings was that the seven full-time faculty in the Center devoted approximately 12 percent of their time to research, 21 percent to public and other service, and 9 percent to administrative duties, leaving, in the 1979-81 study period, approximately 58 percent of faculty time directly devoted to undergraduate instruction. With the abolition of the undergraduate major, faculty responsibilities would no longer include instruction. An examination of faculty activities over the period from 1976 to 1980 indicated a relatively low level of research productivity.

An examination of faculty educational background indicates doctoral training limited to education and psychology.

The Center perceives the Human Services degree program, that is, the academic component of the Center, as integrally related to public service and applied research activities. Assuming approval of the abolition of the Human Services major, this important interrelationship will cease.

The Director of the Center reports directly to the Vice-President and Provost. After careful study and consideration, the Vice-President has reasoned that with the termination of the Human Services degree program, the

activities and services of the Center and its faculty will be considerably reduced. Not only will students who would major in Human Services find that their academic needs can be met by other existing programs, but the public service and research performed by the faculty can be adequately provided elsewhere in the institution. The abolition of the Center is, therefore, recommended.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

Public service and research activities performed by the staff of this unit will either be eliminated or transferred to other sites in the institution.

Six permanent, tenured faculty members will be affected by this abolition. In the absence of acceptable alternative employment within the institution, their affiliation with SIUE would cease.

#### Constituency Involvement

This proposal was initiated in conjunction with the decision to abolish the undergraduate degree program, major in Human Services. It received complete review by the Vice-President and Provost. In accordance with the SIUE tenure policy which requires that "a broadly based University committee" be convened to consider the "current status of the University budget and programs," the proposal has been reviewed by the University Planning and Budget Council. The proposal is recommended for approval by the Vice-President and Provost and by the President, SIUE.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center, SIUE, be and is hereby abolished effective June 30, 1985, and that the University Tenure Policy and Guidelines, as approved by the Chancellor, June 30, 1983, be adhered to in the question of faculty members in the Center affected by this action; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That this action be reported to the staff of the Illinois Board of Higher Education.

Mrs. Kimmel moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUE, President Lazerson thanked the Faculty Senate, the people in the Vice-President and Provost's Office, and the Vice-President and Provost for their work encompassed in the three SIUE matters presented to the Board. He continued that these were not easy tasks, but they were necessary to enhance the quality of

the University and to meet the challenges of the future. President Lazerson introduced Mr. John Ulrich, who has been appointed as the Acting Budget Director, SIUE, to replace Mr. James Metcalf, recently deceased.

The following matter was presented:

FISCAL EMERGENCY POLICY  
[AMENDMENT TO 2 POLICIES OF THE BOARD C-1-e, f, g, h, i]

Summary

This matter presents a proposed Fiscal Emergency Policy developed by representatives of campus constituencies, campus administrations, and the Office of the Chancellor in accordance with the provisions of 2 Policies of the Board C-1-i, which require the review of certain one-year fiscal emergency policy provisions before June 1984, and the determination by the Board whether those provisions shall be extended in the current or any modified form after that date.

Rationale for Adoption

In June of 1983 the SIU Board of Trustees adopted a policy dealing with Mandatory Leave of Absence Without Pay for Contractual Employees and Involuntary Pay Reductions for All Employees. This policy was to remain in effect for the ensuing year. Prior to the end of that time the policy was to be reviewed by the campus constituencies, campus administrations, and Chancellor's Office and a determination made by the Board whether any extension of those provisions in the present or modified form should be enacted (2 Policies of the Board C-1-e, f, g, h, i).

On September 17, 1983, in accordance with indications made at the time of adoption of this policy, Chancellor Kenneth Shaw established the Fiscal Emergency Policies Committee for the purpose of conducting the review called for by the policy. Upon the recommendation of President Earl Lazerson and President Albert Somit the following persons were appointed to that Committee:

John Baker (SIUC)	Earl Beard (SIUE)
Pamela Brandt (SIUC)	Sarah Bradbury (SIUE)
James Scales (SIUC)	John Meisel (SIUE)
Gola Waters (SIUC)	John Reiner (SIUE)

Chancellor Shaw named Vice-Chancellor James Brown, representing the System Office, as Chair of the Committee.

The Committee, representing appropriate elements within the University community, was charged with examination of the overall problems to be faced in coping with fiscal emergencies and with the responsibility to recommend a coordinated policy suited to dealing with those problems. The Committee met on November 17, 1983; December 20, 1983; and January 25, 1984.

On February 8, 1984, the Committee forwarded to the Chancellor and the Presidents a draft Fiscal Emergency Policy which was then provided through the Presidents to constituency groups for review. Comments from various constituency groups were provided through the Presidents to the Chancellor's Office, and the draft presented here was developed from review of those comments as endorsed by each President.

Considerations Against Adoption

Although the SIUC Faculty Senate Fiscal Exigency Committee developed detailed comments regarding the System Fiscal Emergency Policies Committee draft, the SIUC Faculty Senate voted to submit ". . . no recommendations with regard to the draft of the Chancellor's Fiscal Emergency Committee."

The SIUE Faculty Senate, after presenting detailed comments, indicated that it "accepts the policy with reluctance but does not approve the policy." Other constituency groups made similar distinctions concerning reception of the policy draft.

Constituency Involvement

The following constituency groups provided comment regarding the draft policy: SIUE Faculty Senate; SIUE University Staff Senate; SIUC Graduate Council; SIUC Administrative and Professional Staff Council; SIUC Civil Service Employees Council; SIUC Graduate and Professional Student Council. In addition, the comments of the SIUC Faculty Senate Fiscal Exigency Committee were accompanied by President Somit's review of those comments.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That 2 Policies of the Board C-1-e, f, g, h, and i, dealing with declaration of a fiscal emergency, be amended as indicated in the following text:

C. Conditions of Employment

- 1. Documents and policies constituting conditions of employment and appointment:

\* \* \*

- e. Declaration of a fiscal emergency and specific direction to cope with such emergency lie within the authority of the Board.
  - 1) It shall be the responsibility of the Board to determine that a fiscal emergency exists and to assess the extent of that emergency.
  - 2) A fiscal emergency as recognized by the Board may vary in degree, ranging from a temporary financial crisis to a long term loss of resources.

- a) A short term fiscal emergency is the condition of financial necessity, which results when a decline in financial support is such as to require a reduction within the fiscal year in the personal services budget exceeding that which may be accomplished by attrition or non-renewal of term positions or other such measures after all workable reductions in support and operational costs have been made.
  - b) A long term fiscal emergency is the condition of financial exigency, which results when an imminent financial crisis will require long-term programmatic reductions and termination of tenured faculty.
- f. A declaration of fiscal emergency for either institution or for the System will be considered by the Board of Trustees when a proposal to that end from a Chief Executive Officer to the Chancellor (or by the Chancellor for the Office of the Board of Trustees/Office of the Chancellor) is placed on the Board agenda.
- 1) Representatives of the concerned University constituencies shall be consulted as far in advance as possible and continuously involved in making the decision to ask that the Board declare a condition of fiscal emergency.
  - 2) A matter proposing the declaration of a fiscal emergency and approval of a plan developed to deal with that emergency shall contain the following information:
    - a) A description of the fiscal situation which makes the action advisable.
    - b) A description of specific economy measures, such as the reduction of support costs, freezing of vacancies, non-renewal of term positions, and the like, which have already been invoked in an effort to deal with the situation.
    - c) A description of the proposed action.
    - d) An explanation of how the proposed scope and manner of execution of the proposed measures are proportional to the fiscal emergency and will cause the least possible disruption of the educational process and will inflict minimal hardship on employees.
    - e) An indication of how employees will be notified of the measures to be implemented by the proposed action and the method and period of notice to apply before that implementation.



- f) A specification of the exemptions from the proposed action, if any, which will be required to safeguard the campuses and the conduct of uninterrupted activity if the proposed action is authorized, and an indication that the proposal otherwise has general application across the University employment spectrum, including administrative personnel.
  - g) A report on the manner in which the constituencies have had an opportunity both to review the situation and the proposed action and to advise the executive officer.
  - h) An indication of how the proposed action will apply to civil service employees in terms of established Civil Service Rules and Regulations.
- g. The Board will authorize actions to adjust University operations to the limitations of a declared fiscal emergency.
- 1) The Board must be satisfied that all reasonably possible economic measures have been taken before authorizing a reduction in personnel services.
  - 2) The Board will authorize procedures commensurate to the magnitude of the fiscal emergency. Such procedures may include but are not limited to measures such as invoking a mandatory leave without pay for all employees in a declared financial necessity situation or such measures as long-term programmatic reductions requiring termination of appointment for employees with and without tenure in a declared financial exigency situation. Such procedures will be open to review and comment by administration and constituency bodies.
  - 3) In a fiscal emergency situation, if budget reductions across-the-board are mandated by the Board, each basic academic or service unit will be involved in distributing its specific program and personnel changes.
  - 4) In a financial exigency situation, if budget reductions mandated by the Board are to be made programmatically, the administration will involve an appropriate faculty or constituency body in determining where within the overall academic or other program termination of appointments may occur.
  - 5) Any actions affecting an institution resulting from a declaration of fiscal emergency shall recognize the personnel policies of that institution so far as they are not in conflict with the fiscal procedures approved to cope with the emergency.

- 6) A declaration of fiscal emergency will be in effect only during the fiscal year for which it is declared.
- h. Employees who receive notices that their appointments are to be terminated or who are placed into a leave of absence status because of a fiscal emergency shall have the right to appeal through appropriate University grievance procedures.
- i. Pursuant to 2 Policies of the Board C-1-c-3) the basic term of faculty appointment remains the academic year, and individual contract renewals which reduce the period of employment to not less than an academic year will continue to be at administrative discretion.

Mr. Glenn Stolar, President of the Graduate and Professional Student Council, SIUC, thanked Chancellor Shaw for clarifying in the Finance Committee meeting that student workers and graduate assistants would be classified as students and thus would not fall under the jurisdiction of this policy. He expressed his hope that this fiscal emergency policy would never have to be implemented.

Dr. Lawrence Dennis, President of the Faculty Senate, SIUC, repeated the reasons why he did not think this policy should be passed. He stated that the faculty had unanimously rejected this proposal, that the proposal would damage the University, that the policy was probably against the AAUP guidelines, that it is incompatible with the policy on financial exigency in the faculty handbook, it takes no recognition of tenure, and that it does not make any mention of affirmative action policy.

Chancellor Shaw responded that this document was not complete, but if it were analyzed and compared to existing campus documents the conclusion would be that it provided far greater specificity and protection for faculty and staff in terms of how things would be done. He continued that while the statement might not specify specifically the causes of a financial emergency, neither do any of the other statements that the

campuses are presently using. In regard to the concern that the document is incompatible with the faculty handbook's language on financial exigency, Chancellor Shaw stated that his own view was that that was not the case. He continued that the faculty handbook describes, in a very general way, what would transpire with respect to a financial exigency and that there was nothing incompatible with that statement and this particular statement. He stated that that statement had been approved by each President and the Chancellor and was a part of the rules and regulations of the University. In the case of tenure, he stated that both campus statements honor the commitment of a year's time. Dr. Shaw suggested that any employee who was really concerned about his rights would feel much better seeing a plan for financial exigencies which laid out how these things would be handled. He commented that the proposal was attempting to insure that all employees were treated equitably, regardless of their level.

Mr. Norwood commented that a policy such as this was needed, that a declaration of financial emergency would be declared by the Board of Trustees and not by the Universities. He assured those in attendance that members of the Board would be very cautious and conservative and would look very carefully, not only at the plans, but as to the participation within the plans that people have had before a declaration of financial emergency.

Mr. Elliott stated that it would be better to have some type of an orderly participatory plan in place than to be stampeded into something without really having any plan of how to go about it. He repeated his offer from last month to have input from the constituency groups and others as to improvement of the document.

Dr. James Evers, Chairman of the Graduate Council, SIUC, stated that the Graduate Council felt that this document warranted additional study and

input by the constituency bodies and recommended that the adoption of this proposal be postponed.

Dr. Tom Paxson, President of the Faculty Senate, SIUE, thanked the Chancellor for his commitment to honor the one year's notice to tenured faculty who might be dismissed in the event of a financial exigency. He continued that there was need for some kind of policy along these lines, but that the Faculty Senate at SIUE did not approve this policy.

Mr. Elliott stated that if there are suggestions for change to the policy that they should be submitted through the Chancellor's Office, to the Fiscal Emergency Policies Committee, and then to the Finance Committee.

Mr. Elliott moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

Under Reports and Announcements by the Chancellor of The Southern Illinois University System, Dr. Shaw gave the following legislative report:

Friday, June 15, is the House deadline for committee action on Senate appropriation bills; the deadline for House bills in the Senate is June 19.

Senate Bill 1592, our operating bill, sponsored by Buzbee, Vadalabene, Richmond, and Wolf, has passed out of the House Appropriations II Committee. It had already passed out of the Senate and was amended in the House to delete a Senate amendment for \$312,000 which would require SIU to pay maintenance labor, Laborer's Local 962, 100 percent of the prevailing wage. The Touch of Nature bill has passed out of the Senate at an amount of \$1,245,000. The House Appropriations II Committee has unanimously voted that out, so it goes to the larger House. Favorable action is anticipated. The reapprop bills, expending dollars approved before but not yet expended, seem to be passing along okay, as does the joint agency lab. House Bill 2804, which is also Senate Bill 1470, prohibits higher education from competing in retail sales. There have been changes which make the bill acceptable to the parties concerned. The bill would allow the sale of items commonly sold in the operation of the institution and would allow continued sale of all items sold prior to 1980. Both of those bills have passed their chamber, so we expect that one will be passed by the other chamber which means it will be on the Governor's desk. House Bill 2400, the Merit Scholarship bill, provides a special grant to those students who rank in the top five percent of their graduating class. The House passed the bill. The Senate amended it to replace it with a \$500, one year flat grant program as opposed to one based on need. The public

higher education institutions have supported the amendment in the Senate as have a number of independent colleges and universities. In any event the way it stands now is that the original House bill has been altered in the Senate so that will have to be worked out in the next couple of weeks.

The following matters were presented:

RECOMMENDATIONS PER "ACADEMIC AFFAIRS AND RESEARCH:  
A PLANNING DOCUMENT (SEPTEMBER, 1983)":  
RESTRUCTURING OF THE PH.D., MAJOR IN EDUCATION, AND  
RETITLING OF THE DEPARTMENT OF GUIDANCE AND  
EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY, SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes a restructuring of the Ph.D. with a major in Education and retitling of the Department of Guidance and Educational Psychology. This restructuring and retitling will occur in the following manner: (1) the concentration in Cultural Foundations will be abolished; (2) the concentrations in Educational Media, Elementary Education, and Secondary Education will be merged into a single concentration in Curriculum and Instruction; (3) the concentrations in Educational Psychology, Guidance and Counseling, and Measurement and Statistics will be merged into a single concentration in Educational Psychology; and (4) the name of the Department of Guidance and Educational Psychology will be changed to the Department of Educational Psychology. The result of these changes will be a Ph.D. in Education program with eight concentrations: Curriculum and Instruction, Educational Administration, Educational Psychology, Health Education, Higher Education, Occupational Education, Physical Education, and Special Education.

Rationale for Adoption

The staff of the Illinois Board of Higher Education has recommended that Cultural Foundations be dropped as a concentration within the Ph.D. program in Education. The faculty in this area have considered these recommendations and have determined that there are now too few faculty to offer the concentration. The current Cultural Foundations faculty are actively involved in the undergraduate programs and in teaching the core courses at the doctoral level and for this reason do not have sufficient time to continue the Cultural Foundations concentration.

The faculty in the Department of Curriculum, Instruction, and Media have proposed that the concentrations in Elementary Education, Secondary Education, and Educational Media be consolidated into a single concentration in Curriculum and Instruction.

The Department of Guidance and Educational Psychology has proposed that concentrations in Educational Psychology, Guidance and Counseling, and Measurement and Statistics be consolidated into one concentration in Educational Psychology.

The proposed retitling of the department from Guidance and Educational Psychology to Educational Psychology will better describe the content

base of the department's programs, and will enable students to identify with state and/or professionally approved programs in the department.

This restructuring of the concentrations reduces the total from thirteen to eight and establishes a firm enrollment for each of the eight.

The Ph.D. in Education has been reviewed in almost a continuous time frame for at least the last five years by either the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education, the Illinois State Board of Education, the Illinois Board of Higher Education, or the internal review process of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale. During this period a variety of criteria have been imposed upon the concentrations within the program that are indeed different dependent upon which agency is reviewing the program. The faculty of the College of Education have attempted to respond in a positive manner to these reviews and the current proposal is in response to the overall pattern of the program reviews.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of none.

#### Constituency Involvement

This proposal was initiated in the College of Education and has been endorsed by the faculty and chairpersons of all academic units involved. Furthermore, the Academic Affairs Committee of the College of Education and the Graduate Council have recommended these changes.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the following restructuring of concentrations in the Ph.D. program in Education and the retitling of the Department of Guidance and Educational Psychology be and are hereby approved:

- (1) Abolition of the Ph.D. concentration in Cultural Foundations;
- (2) Merger of the Ph.D. concentrations in Educational Media, Elementary Education, and Secondary Education into a single concentration in Curriculum and Instruction;
- (3) Merger of the Ph.D. concentrations in Educational Psychology, Guidance and Counseling, and Measurement and Statistics into a single concentration in Educational Psychology; and
- (4) Change in the title of the Department of Guidance and Educational Psychology to the Department of Educational Psychology.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That this action be reported to the staff of the Illinois Board of Higher Education.

RECOMMENDATIONS PER "ACADEMIC AFFAIRS AND RESEARCH:  
A PLANNING DOCUMENT (SEPTEMBER, 1983)":  
RESTRUCTURING OF THE BACHELOR OF SCIENCE, MAJOR IN  
TECHNICAL CAREERS, DIVISION OF ADVANCED TECHNICAL  
STUDIES, SCHOOL OF TECHNICAL CAREERS, SIUC

Summary

The Bachelor of Science degree in Technical Careers, offered by the School of Technical Careers, was approved by the Illinois Board of Higher Education in 1973. This matter proposes that the B.S. in Technical Careers degree program be restructured to identify five separate degree programs in: (1) Aviation Management; (2) Career Development (individualized and offered on-campus only); (3) Electronics Management; (4) Fire Science Management; and (5) Health Care Management.

Rationale for Adoption

The Bachelor of Science degree in Technical Careers was originally conceived as an individualized, technically oriented program designed for "students not better served by existing programs on the Southern Illinois University at Carbondale campus." During the past eleven years, as the program experienced continued and rapid student growth, high enrollment patterns emerged in areas such as: aviation management; electronics management; fire science management; and health care management in addition to the individualized programs for unique career development. The Fall 1983 student enrollment was 731 on-campus and 829 off-campus, making the program one of the largest at SIUC.

Based on the 1983 recommendations of internal and external program review reports, the division self-study, the report of the SIUC Committee on Academic Priorities, and the responses from both the Dean of the School of Technical Careers and the Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, separate degree programs are proposed for each of the areas with high enrollment. A degree program titled Career Development would be available to those students who wish to pursue individualized curricula.

Advantages of the proposed change include enhancement of program structure and identity; program recognition; coordination of on- and off-campus program offerings; and administration of course and program delivery. Recruitment, advisement, and placement of students will also be improved as a result of more clearly structured and defined majors.

Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of no considerations against the adoption of the proposed action.

Constituency Involvement

The proposed recommendations are the result of suggestions from program faculty, external/internal program review committees, student surveys, and the Committee on Academic Priorities.

Resolution

WHEREAS, The School of Technical Careers has awarded the B.S., Technical Careers, an individualized major, since 1973; and

WHEREAS, Distinct patterns of high enrollment have emerged among the majors served; and

WHEREAS, The students and the institution will be better served by defining more precisely those areas with high enrollment patterns;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the following degree programs be and are hereby approved to replace the B.S., Technical Careers, within the School of Technical Careers: B.S., Aviation Management; B.S., Career Development; B.S., Electronics Management; B.S., Fire Science Management; and B.S., Health Care Management.

RECOMMENDATIONS PER "ACADEMIC AFFAIRS AND RESEARCH:

A PLANNING DOCUMENT (SEPTEMBER, 1983)":

ADMINISTRATIVE RELOCATION OF DEGREE PROGRAMS:

B.S., CHILD AND FAMILY; B.S., CLOTHING AND TEXTILES;

B.S., CONSUMER ECONOMICS AND FAMILY MANAGEMENT;

B.A., DESIGN; B.S., FOOD AND NUTRITION; AND

B.S., INTERIOR DESIGN, SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes the following reallocations of programs and supporting faculty and staff: (1) B.S., Child and Family, from Division of Human Development, College of Human Resources, to Department of Curriculum, Instruction, and Media, College of Education; (2) B.S., Clothing and Textiles, from Division of Comprehensive Planning and Design, College of Human Resources, to Department of Vocational Education Studies, College of Education; (3) B.S., Consumer Economics and Family Management, from Division of Human Development, College of Human Resources, to Division of Advanced Technical Studies, School of Technical Careers; (4) B.A., Design, from Division of Comprehensive Planning and Design, College of Human Resources, to School of Art, College of Communications and Fine Arts; (5) B.S., Food and Nutrition, from Division of Human Development, College of Human Resources, to Department of Animal Industries, School of Agriculture; and (6) B.S., Interior Design, from Division of Comprehensive Planning and Design, College of Human Resources, to Division of Graphic Communications, School of Technical Careers.

Rationale for Adoption

This matter proposes the second formal action by the Board of Trustees necessary to implement recommendations contained in Academic Affairs and Research: A Planning Document (September, 1983). In one respect, the changes proposed here differ from those contained in the Planning Document. The original recommendation was to abolish the B.A. in Design. Subsequent considerations, however, have indicated that this program could continue to be viable under the aegis of the School of Art. Hence, this allocation is



now recommended. These changes will maximize the utilization of faculty resources and physical facilities, and will promote enhanced quality.

The proposed reallocations are a direct result of the priority setting process which the University initiated three years ago. In all instances, both the receiving departmental executive officer and faculty and the departmental executive officer and faculty proposed for reallocation have concurred in the changes. In no case will any tenured faculty member be released as a result of these changes. The Deans of the School of Agriculture, the College of Communications and Fine Arts, the College of Education, the College of Human Resources, and the School of Technical Careers support the recommendations to reallocate the programmatic structures and the respective faculty and staff.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

A consideration against adoption is that the reallocation from the College of Human Resources will further reduce a college which is currently of modest size in comparison to the other colleges and schools. The proposed changes, however, will eliminate some duplication of programming, will result in some savings of administrative costs, and should enhance the quality of the programs and increase their enrollments.

#### Constituency Involvement

All of the recommendations are the result either directly or indirectly of the work of the Committee on Academic Priorities. That Committee was composed of faculty from the various colleges and schools. The respective faculties, departmental executive officers, and deans have concurred in these reallocations.

#### Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the following reallocation of programs and of the faculty and staff supporting those programs be and is hereby approved:

- (1) B.S., Child and Family, from Division of Human Development, College of Human Resources, to Department of Curriculum, Instruction, and Media, College of Education;
- (2) B.S., Clothing and Textiles, from Division of Comprehensive Planning and Design, College of Human Resources, to Department of Vocational Education Studies, College of Education;
- (3) B.S., Consumer Economics and Family Management, from Division of Human Development, College of Human Resources, to Division of Advanced Technical Studies, School of Technical Careers;

- (4) B.A., Design, from Division of Comprehensive Planning and Design, College of Human Resources, to School of Art, College of Communications and Fine Arts;
- (5) B.S., Food and Nutrition, from Division of Human Development, College of Human Resources, to Department of Animal Industries, School of Agriculture; and
- (6) B.S., Interior Design, from Division of Comprehensive Planning and Design, College of Human Resources, to Division of Graphic Communications, School of Technical Careers.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale is authorized to take those actions necessary to implement the reallocations without further action by this Board.

RECOMMENDATIONS PER "ACADEMIC AFFAIRS AND RESEARCH:  
A PLANNING DOCUMENT (SEPTEMBER, 1983)":  
ABOLITION OF DEGREE PROGRAMS: A.A.S.,  
CORRECTIONAL SERVICES; B.A. AND M.A., LATIN AMERICAN  
STUDIES; M.S., HUMAN DEVELOPMENT; M.S., ENVIRONMENTAL  
DESIGN; AND M.S.ED., HOME ECONOMICS EDUCATION, SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes abolition of the following degree programs: (1) A.A.S., Correctional Services, within the School of Technical Careers; (2) B.A. and M.A., Latin American Studies, within the College of Liberal Arts; (3) M.S., Human Development, within the College of Human Resources; (4) M.S., Environmental Design, within the College of Human Resources; and (5) M.S.Ed., Home Economics Education, within the College of Education.

Rationale for Adoption

This matter proposes formal action necessary to implement recommendations contained in Part III of Academic Affairs and Research: A Planning Document (September, 1983).

The proposed abolitions are a result of the priority setting process which the University initiated three years ago.

In all instances, tenured faculty will not be released as a result of these actions, and in such cases where students are currently enrolled in programs, a reasonable period of time will be allowed for them to complete the programs. The proposed abolitions will serve to eliminate degree programs (1) with unacceptably low student demand; (2) that are in large part duplicative of existing programming within the institution; (3) that lack intellectual depth and focus; and (4) that compete for resources with existing programs which the University has given higher priority. The net result of the abolitions as proposed will be modest short term savings, with the potential for additional savings in the future to support improved quality of existing programs.

Considerations Against Adoption

A consideration against adoption is that the proposed abolitions within the College of Human Resources will reduce even further a college of modest size in comparison to other colleges and schools. In addition, the abolitions will not be received enthusiastically by students currently in the programs.

Constituency Involvement

These recommendations are the result either directly or indirectly of the work of the Committee on Academic Priorities. That Committee was broadly composed of faculty from the various colleges and schools. The respective deans of the colleges and schools in which the programs are located have concurred in the recommendations to abolish.

Resolution

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the following abolition of programs be and is hereby approved:

- (1) A.A.S., Correctional Services,
- (2) B.A. and M.A., Latin American Studies,
- (3) M.S., Human Development,
- (4) M.S., Environmental Design, and
- (5) M.S.Ed., Home Economics Education.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale is authorized to take those actions necessary to implement the abolitions without further action by this Board.

Mrs. Kimmel moved approval of the resolutions. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

The following matter was presented:

CONVERSION OF THE BACHELOR OF SCIENCE DEGREE PROGRAM IN ENGINEERING WITH FOUR SPECIALIZATIONS TO FOUR BACHELOR OF SCIENCE PROGRAMS IN ENGINEERING, AND RETITLING OF THE DEPARTMENTS OF ELECTRICAL SCIENCES AND SYSTEMS ENGINEERING; ENGINEERING MECHANICS AND MATERIALS; AND THERMAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, SIUC

Summary

This matter proposes converting the B.S. Program in Engineering with specializations in (1) Electrical Sciences and Systems Engineering;

(2) Engineering Mechanics and Materials; (3) Mining Engineering; and (4) Thermal and Environmental Engineering to four traditional engineering programs: (1) Electrical Engineering; (2) Civil Engineering; (3) Mining Engineering; and (4) Mechanical Engineering. This conversion of a generic engineering degree program with four specializations into four degree programs can be accomplished with minor changes in the curriculum and without jeopardizing accreditation status. The following departmental name changes are also proposed: (1) Electrical Science and Systems Engineering to Electrical Engineering; (2) Engineering Mechanics and Materials to Civil Engineering and Mechanics; and (3) Thermal and Environmental Engineering to Mechanical Engineering and Energy Processes. The title of the Department of Mining Engineering will not be changed. The proposed changes will be phased in over a four-year period to coincide with planned accreditation visits and to insure that SIUC will not be without accredited programs in engineering.

#### Rationale for Adoption

In Schools of Engineering through the nation, interdisciplinary and non-traditional engineering programs have steadily decreased over the past fifteen years. They have not been shown to be advantageous and they create confusion with respect to their relationship to traditional engineering disciplines. Students are confused by the content of a non-traditional program and company recruiters are uncertain as to how the graduates fit into traditional engineering positions. As a result, graduates could be disadvantaged in the job marketplace with companies not familiar with the content of the present interdisciplinary engineering program at SIUC.

The new policy of the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology will require the present interdisciplinary programs to satisfy accreditation criteria in several engineering disciplines. This requirement will make accreditation of such programs far more difficult. For these reasons, the non-traditional engineering format is no longer used to any significant degree in the United States.

#### Considerations Against Adoption

University officers are aware of no major considerations against the adoption.

#### Constituency Involvement

These changes are proposed by the faculty of the College of Engineering and Technology. The faculties of each of the engineering departments within the College considered the matter and have voted overwhelmingly to convert the present non-traditional programs to traditional engineering disciplines, and to change the titles of the respective departments to correspond to those of the proposed degree programs.

#### Resolution

WHEREAS, Non-traditional engineering program formats are now only rarely used in the United States; and

WHEREAS, It will be increasingly difficult to accredit interdisciplinary non-traditional programs;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University in regular meeting assembled, That the B.S. degree, major in Engineering, with four specializations in (1) Electrical Sciences and Systems Engineering; (2) Engineering Mechanics and Materials; (3) Mining Engineering; and (4) Thermal and Environmental Engineering be converted into traditional engineering program majors in (1) Electrical Engineering; (2) Civil Engineering; (3) Mining Engineering; and (4) Mechanical Engineering; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the departmental names be changed from Electrical Science and Systems Engineering to Electrical Engineering, from Engineering Mechanics and Materials to Civil Engineering and Mechanics, and from Thermal and Environmental Engineering to Mechanical Engineering and Energy Processes; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the President of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale is authorized to take those actions necessary to implement these changes without further action by this Board, and that the action be transmitted to the Illinois Board of Higher Education for information.

Mr. Elliott moved approval of the resolution. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed.

Under Reports and Announcements by the President, SIUC, President Somit thanked the staff of the Touch of Nature Environmental Center for having made this meeting possible. He announced that the President's Council had been organized by Mr. Stan McAnally and the Foundation and that the first annual President's Council dinner had been held this past week. He reported that the President's Council is a group made up of major donors to the University. President Somit expressed his thanks to those who had been working diligently on the large number of academic changes. He announced that Vice-President for Financial Affairs, Warren Buffum, after 34 years of service, had asked to retire.

Mr. Van Meter commented that one of the real pleasures of serving on the Board was working with the Student Trustees. He continued that there was also a bit of sadness when the Board bids them farewell. He stated that

Sharon Hutcherson had served as the Student Trustee for SIUC from March 9, 1983 to June 30, 1984, having filled a vacancy created by Stan Irvin's resignation. On behalf of the Board and the entire University community, he thanked Sharon for her service on the Board and presented her with a certificate of service.

Ms. Hutcherson stated she was very proud to have served on SIU's Board of Trustees. She commented that she had met some very interesting people on the Board and who were indirectly related to the Board, and that she had appreciated the opportunity to serve on the committee.

Mr. Van Meter recognized David Berry who had served as the Student Trustee for SIUE from July 1, 1983 to June 30, 1984. He congratulated Dave for his service and wished him well in his search for a new position. He presented Dave with a certificate of service for his service on the Board.


Mr. Berry thanked the Board of Trustees for the experience. He stated that this was a culmination of the past four years serving in various levels throughout the constituency process and that this had been most enlightening and, at times, somewhat frightening.

Mr. Van Meter recognized Mr. William Goodnick, the new Student Trustee for SIUC, and Mr. Kelly Koblick, the new Student Trustee for SIUE.

The Chair announced that a news conference had been scheduled immediately following the regular meeting and that lunch would be served in the adjoining room.

Mr. Norwood moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was duly seconded, and after a voice vote the Chair declared the motion to have passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 12:20 p.m.

  
Sharon Holmes, Executive Secretary

## INDEX

- Academic Matters Committee, created, 148-54; members appointed to, 182; report of, 240-41, 290, 319, 352-53
- Academic Affairs and Research, SIUC, Office of the Vice-President for, administrative reorganization of, 217-22
- Academic Programs  
 SIUC: Abolition of Degree Programs: A.A.S., Correctional Services; B.A. and M.A., Latin American Studies; M.S., Human Development; M.S., Environmental Design; and M.S.Ed., Home Economics Education, 380-81; Administrative Relocation of Degree Programs: B.S., Child and Family; B.S., Clothing and Textiles; B.S., Consumer Economics and Family Management; B.A., Design; B.S., Food and Nutrition; and B.S., Interior Design, 378-80; Restructuring of the Bachelor of Science, Major in Technical Careers, Division of Advanced Technical Studies, School of Technical Careers, 377-78; Restructuring of the Ph.D., Major in Education, and Retitling of the Department of Guidance and Educational Psychology, 375-76; Conversion of the Bachelor of Science Degree Program in Engineering, With Four Specializations to Four Bachelor of Science Programs in Engineering, and Retitling of the Departments of Electrical Sciences and Systems Engineering; Engineering Mechanics and Materials; and Thermal and Environmental Engineering, 381-83
- SIUC: Delinquency Study and Youth Development Center, abolition of educational unit, ~~366-67~~; abolition of degree program, Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Science, Major in Human Services, 364-65;
- Academic Programs (cont'd.)  
 SIUE:  
 Earth Science, Geography, and Planning, Department of, School of Social Sciences, Master of City and Regional Planning, Major in City and Regional Planning, abolition of degree program, 362-64; Engineering, B.S. in, major in Engineering Science, School of Engineering, abolition of degree program, 71-77
- Ad Hoc Committee for Five-Year Review, appointment of, 320-21
- Administrative Procedures Act, required rules under, amendment to, 66-69
- Annual Report of the Board of Trustees, 1982-83, report on, 134
- Apartment Rentals and Residence Hall Rates, SIUC, notice of proposed increase, 171-74; increase in, 210-16
- Appeals,  
 Gibson, James D., SIUE, report on application for appeal, 56  
 Wagman, David, SIUC, report on application for appeal, 283
- Architecture and Design Committee, members named to, 182; report of, 6, 63, 119, 141, 184-85, 241, 290, 319-20, 353
- Athletic Facility Reserve Fund, SIUC, establishment of and Athletic Fee increase, notice of proposed, 230-34; establishment of, 262-72
- Athletic Fee, SIUC, notice of proposed increase, and establishment of an Athletic Facility Reserve Fund, 230-34; increase in, 262-72
- Audit Function, System Internal, reorganization of, organization of the Office of the Chancellor, 127-32
- Awards, Distinguished Service,  
 Bauman, G. Duncan, SIUE, 298-300  
 Killenberg, George A., SIUE, 296-98  
 O'Malley, Martha R., SIUE, 294-96

- Basolo, Fred,  
 recommendation for honorary  
 degree, Doctor of Science,  
 SIUC, 246-47
- Bauman, G. Duncan,  
 recommendation for distinguished  
 service award, SIUE, 298-300
- Board of Higher Education, Illinois,  
 alternate member appointed to,  
 183; meetings, report of, 5-6,  
 60-62, 117-18, 139-40, 183, 239-  
 40, 318-19; summary of IBHE  
 capital budget recommendations  
 for FY-85, 209; summary of IBHE  
 operating budget recommendations  
 for FY-85, 202-09
- Board of Trustees,  
 Academic Matters Committee,  
 created, 148-54; members named  
 to, 182; report of, 240-41,  
 290, 319, 352-53
- Ad Hoc Committee for Five-Year  
 Review, appointment of, 320-21
- American Association of Higher  
 Education meeting, report of,  
 287-88
- Architecture and Design Committee,  
 members appointed to, 182;  
 report of, 6, 63, 119, 141,  
 184-85, 241, 290, 319-20, 353
- Commencement, report of: SIUC,  
 60, 348; SIUE, 3, 349
- Committees of the Board, 148-54;  
 members named to, 182
- Finance Committee, members ap-  
 pointed to, 182; report of,  
 7-8, 62-63, 118-19, 140-41,  
 184, 240, 289, 319, 351-52
- Football team, reception and  
 luncheon in honor of, at  
 Governor's Mansion, report  
 of, 240
- Founders Day: inaugural  
 lecture, SIUC, 317;  
 observance, SIUE, 319
- Illinois Board of Higher Edu-  
 cation, alternate member  
 appointed to, 183; report of,  
 5-6, 60-62, 117-18, 139-40,  
 183, 239-40, 318-19
- Joint Trustees Committee for  
 Springfield Medical Education  
 Programs, member appointed to,  
 183; report of, 118, 184, 348
- Board of Trustees (cont'd.)  
 Meetings, report of, 180; schedule  
 of, for 1984, 121-22
- Officers, elected, 180-81
- National Association of State Uni-  
 versities and Land-Grant Colleges,  
 annual meeting, report of, 139
- Southern Illinois University at  
 Edwardsville Foundation, Board of  
 Directors of, member appointed to,  
 183
- Southern Illinois University  
 Foundation, SIUC, Board of Directors  
 of, member and alternate appointed  
 to, 183; report of, 3-4, 116-17,  
 288-89, 317-18; Executive Committee  
 of, report of, 115
- State Universities Civil Service  
 System, Merit Board of: Adminis-  
 trative Advisory Committee, report  
 of, 115; member elected to, 182;  
 report of, 115-16, 183-84, 289, 348-49
- State Universities Retirement System,  
 Board of Trustees of: member  
 elected to, 182; report of, 62,  
 238-39, 348
- Sturgis, Lindell W.,  
 presentation of Memorial Public  
 Service Award, 4-5, 349-50;  
 presentation of Professional  
 Achievement Award, 350-51
- Vadalabene Center, SIUE: dedication  
 of, 319; designation of, 107-09
- Berry, David, Student Trustee, SIUE,  
 recognition of, 348
- Budget,  
 FY 84: Annual Internal Budget for  
 Operations, 79-88; report on  
 planning, 56-57
- FY 85: Resource Allocation and  
 Management Program (RAMP) Sub-  
 missions, Capital Budget Requests,  
 9-17; Operating Budget Request,  
 94-97; RAMP Submissions, Capital  
 Budget Priorities, 98-105;  
 Operating RAMP Guidelines, 30-34;  
 RAMP Planning Documents (Planning  
 Statements, Program Reviews, New  
 Program Requests, Expanded/Improved  
 Program Requests, and Special  
 Analytical Studies), SIUC, 34-39;  
 amendments to, 92-94; RAMP Planning  
 Documents (Planning Statements,  
 Program Reviews, Expanded/Improved



## Budget (cont'd.)

FY 85: Program Requests, and Special Analytical Study, SIU School of Medicine, 40-42; RAMP Planning Documents (Planning Statements, Program Reviews, New and Expanded/Improved Program Requests, and Special Analytical Studies), SIUE, 42-47; amendments to, 89-91

Campus Drives, SIUC, project approval and selection of architect: repair and rehabilitation of, 143-45; approval of plans and specifications and award of contract, 323-24

Capital improvements, non-instructional, plans for, SIUE, 188-90

Capital improvements, SIUC, Buildings:  
 Central Steam Plant: project approval and selection of architect: elevator installation, 242-43; approval of plans and specifications and award of contract, 322-23; approval of plans and specifications and award of contract: elevator installation, Phase II, 357-58

Fuel Alcohol Production Facility, approval of plans and specifications and award of contracts, construction of, 244-45

Springfield Medical Campus, project approval, selection of architect, and authority for approval of plans and specifications and award of contracts, remodeling 913 North Rutledge, 272-74

Springfield Medical Facility, change in architectural and engineering firm selection, energy management system, heat runabout recovery system, and localized fume hood controls, 247-48

Capital improvements, SIUC (cont'd.)  
Other:

Campus Drives, project approval and selection of architect: repair and rehabilitation of, 143-45; approval of plans and specifications and award of contract, 323-24

Carpeting, replacement of, Student Center, project approval and selection of architect, 358-59

Dormitory Fire Alarm System, selection of architect, 243-44

Parking Lots, project approval and selection of architect: repair and rehabilitation of, 142-43; approval of plans and specifications and award of contract, 324-25

Capital improvements, SIUE, Buildings:  
 ✓ Dental Education Facility at the Alton campus, recommendation of architect, 325-26

Roof replacements, recommendation of architect, 250-51

✓ Student housing, on-campus single, selection of architect, 326-28

Other:  
 Parking lot construction, project approval, approval of plans and specifications, and authority for the award of contracts, 70-71;  
 ✓ increase in project budget and award of contracts, 300-01

✓ Swimming pool, project approval and authority to seek architectural services: construction of an out-of-doors swimming pool, Tower Lake Recreation Peninsula, 186-88

Carpeting, SIUC, replacement of, Student Center, project approval and selection of architect, 358-59

Central Steam Plant, SIUC, project approval and selection of architect: elevator installation, 242-43; approval of plans and specifications and award of contract, 322-23; Phase II, 357-58

- Chancellor,  
 organization of the Office of,  
 reorganization of the System  
 ✓ Internal Audit Function, 127-32  
 Child and Family, SIUC,  
 B.S., administrative relocation  
 of degree program, 378-80  
 Clothing and Textiles, SIUC,  
 B.S., administrative relocation  
 of degree program, 378-80  
 Commencement,  
 SIUC, 60, 348; SIUE, 3, 349  
 Computers,  
 major system upgrade, SIUC, 154-69  
 Consumer Economics and Family  
 Management, SIUC,  
 administrative relocation of  
 degree program, 378-80  
 Constituency Heads, introduced,  
 Lawrence Dennis, President, Faculty  
 Senate, SIUC, 316  
 James Evers, Chairman, Graduate  
 Council, SIUC, 316  
 Andy Leighton, President, Under-  
 graduate Student Organization,  
 SIUC, 316, 349  
 Jerry Looft, Chairperson, Civil  
 Service Employees Council,  
 re-elected, 349  
 Tom Paxson, President, Faculty  
 Senate, SIUE, 349  
 Fred Porterfield, President,  
 Student Senate, SIUE, 349  
 Glenn Stolar, President, Graduate  
 and Professional Student  
 Council, SIUC, 316, 349  
 Correctional Services, SIUC,  
 A.A.S., abolition of degree  
 program, 380-81  
 Cost recovery programs,  
 tuition policy for, 123-26  
 Dental Education Facility at the  
 Alton Campus, SIUE,  
 recommendation of architect,  
 325-26  
 Delinquency Study and Youth  
 Development Center, SIUE,  
 abolition of degree program,  
 Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor  
 of Sciences, Major in Human  
 Services, 364-65; abolition  
 of educational unit, 366-67  
 Design, SIUC,  
 B.A., administrative relocation of  
 degree program, 378-80  
 Distinguished Professors, SIUC,  
 naming of, 77, 329  
 Dusenbery, Miriam, SIUE,  
 introduced as Director of Academic  
 Services, 344  
 Earth Science, Geography, and Planning,  
 Department of, School of Social  
 Sciences, Master of City and Regional  
 Planning, Major in City and Regional  
 Planning, SIUE, abolition of degree  
 program, 362-64  
 Easement,  
 authorization for grant of, by  
 Southern Illinois University  
 Foundation, Evergreen Terrace, SIUC,  
 120-21; to City of Carbondale, Storm  
 Drainage Project, SIUC, 356-57  
 Education, SIUC,  
 restructuring of Ph.D., major in,  
 375-76  
 Educational Psychology, SIUC,  
 retitling of the Department of  
 Guidance and, 375-76  
 Electrical Sciences and Systems  
 Engineering, SIUC,  
 retitling of the Departments of,  
 381-83  
 Elliott, Ivan A., Jr.,  
 appointed Chairman of the Finance  
 Committee, 182; appointed as repre-  
 sentative to Board of Directors, SIU  
 Foundation, 183; appointed Chairman  
 of the Ad Hoc Committee for the Five-  
 Year Review, 320-21; elected as repre-  
 sentative to State Universities Civil  
 Service System, 182  
 Employment,  
 proposal to amend Merit Board reso-  
 lution relating to employee benefits,  
 78-79  
 Engineering, School of,  
 abolition of degree program, B.S.,  
 in Engineering, major in Engineering  
 Science, SIUE, 71-77; conversion of  
 the Bachelor of Science Degree  
 ✓ Program in Engineering with four  
 specializations to four Bachelor of  
 Science Programs in Engineering,  
 SIUC, 381-83

- Engineering Mechanics and Materials, SIUC, retitling of the Department of, 381-83
- Environmental Design, SIUC, M.S., abolition of degree program, 380-81
- Evaluations, of executive officers, 109-10; report of, 115
- Evergreen Terrace, SIUC, authorization for grant of easement by Southern Illinois University Foundation, 120-21
- Executive Committee, members elected to, 181-82
- Fees, Athletic Fee Increase and establishment of an Athletic Facility Reserve Fund, SIUC, notice of proposed, 230-34; increase in and establishment of, 262-72
- Revenue Bond Fee, SIUC, notice of proposed increase, 222-25; increase in, 251-54
- Student Activity Fee and Students' Attorney Program Fee, SIUC, notice of proposed increase and redefinition of, 225-27; increase in, 254-57
- Student Medical Benefit Fee, SIUC, notice of proposed change, 227-30; change in, 257-62
- tuition and fee policies, SIUE, 23-30
- Finance Committee, members named to, 182; report of, 7-8, 62-63; 118-19, 140-41, 184, 240, 289, 319, 351-52
- Financial Arrangements, temporary, for FY 1985, 355-56
- Fink, Herbert, SIUC, named Distinguished Professor, 77
- Fire Alarm System, Dormitory, SIUC, selection of architect, 243-44
- Fiscal Emergency Policy, notice of, 329-37; approval of, 368-74
- Five-Year Review, Ad Hoc Committee for, appointment of, 320-21
- Food and Nutrition, SIUC, B.S., administrative relocation of degree program, 378-80
- Founders Day, inaugural lecture, SIUC, 317; observance, SIUE, 319
- Fuel Alcohol Production Facility, SIUC, approval of plans and specifications and award of contracts, construction of, 244-45
- Goodnick, William, introduced as SIUC Student Trustee-elect, 384
- Graham, Larry, introduced as head basketball coach, SIUE, 344
- Guidance and Educational Psychology, SIUC, retitling of the Department of, 375-76
- Haley, Johnetta, introduced as Director of East St. Louis Center, SIUE, 344
- Harvey, Crete B., appointed as member of Architecture and Design Committee, 182
- Home Economics Education, SIUC, M.S.Ed., abolition of degree program, 380-81
- Honorary degrees, Basolo, Fred, SIUC, Doctor of Science, 246-47; Ong, Walter J., SIUE, Doctor of Humane Letters, 293-94
- Housing, SIUE, on-campus single student, proposed project for increased, selection of architect, 326-28; project development approval and authority to seek architectural services, 106-07; university housing rental rates, notice of proposed increase, 146-48; increase in, 191-96
- Human Development, SIUC, M.S., abolition of degree program, 380-81
- Hutcherson, Sharon, Student Trustee, SIUC, recognition of, 383-84
- Illinois Educational Consortium, waiver of annual meeting and election of directors of, 249

- Illinois Governmental Ethics Act, 337-42
- Interior Design, SIUC,  
B.S., administrative relocation of degree program, 378-80
- Johnson, Elmer, SIUC,  
named Distinguished Professor, 329
- Joint Trustees Committee for Springfield Medical Education Programs, member appointed to, 183; report of, 118, 184, 348
- Karnes, Rex,  
first recipient of Lindell W. Sturgis Professional Achievement Award, 350-51
- Killenber, George A.,  
recommendation for distinguished service award, SIUE, 296-98
- Kimmel, Carol,  
appointed Chairperson of the Academic Matters Committee, 182; re-elected as Secretary of the Board of Trustees and member of the Executive Committee, 181-82
- Klimstra, W. D.,  
named Distinguished Professor, SIUC, 77
- Koblick, Kelly,  
introduction as SIUE Student Trustee-elect, 384
- Latin American Studies, SIUC,  
B.A. and M.A., abolition of degree program, 380-81
- Legislation of the Board of Trustees, amendments to:  
Athletic Fee, increase and establishment of an Athletic Facility Reserve Fund, SIUC, notice of proposed, 230-34; increase in and establishment of, 262-70
- Committees of the Board, 148-54
- Fiscal Emergency Policy, notice of, 329-37; approval of, 368-74
- Illinois Governmental Ethics Act, 337-42
- organization of the Office of the Chancellor, reorganization of the System Internal Audit Function, 127-32
- Legislation of the Board of Trustees, amendments to (cont'd.)  
physical components of the University, amendment to policy for naming, 69-70
- purchasing policies, update of, 18-22
- Residence hall rates and apartment rentals, SIUC, notice of proposed increase, 171-74; increase in, 210-16
- Revenue Bond Fee, SIUC, notice of proposed increase, 222-25; increase in, 251-54
- separation of on-campus undergraduate international student student-to-student grant money from the total student-to-student grant money collected, 310-11
- Student Activity Fee and Students' Attorney Program Fee, SIUC, notice of proposed increase and redefinition of, 225-27; increase in, 254-57
- Student Medical Benefit Fee, SIUC, notice of proposed change, 227-30; change in, 257-62
- tuition and fee policies, SIUE, 23-30
- tuition policy for cost recovery programs, 123-26
- tuition rate increases for FY 85, notice of proposed, 197-202; increase in, 274-80
- University housing rental rates, SIUE, notice of proposed increase, 146-48; increase in, 191-96
- workweek, authorization to reduce, 169-71
- Legislative activity,  
report on, 56-57, 132-34, 312-13, 342-43, 374-75
- Library Storage Facility, SIUC,  
report on, 6; resolution concerning, 6-7
- McDermott, Carol,  
recipient of Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Public Service Award, 4-5
- Medicine, School of, SIUC,  
change in architectural and engineering firm selection, energy management system, heat runabout recovery system, and localized fume hood controls, Springfield Medical Facility, 247-48; project approval, selection of architect, and authority for approval of

- Medicine, School of, SIUC (cont'd.)  
plans and specifications and award of contracts: remodeling 913 North Rutledge, Springfield Medical Campus, SIUC, 272-74
- Meetings, Board of Trustees,  
report of, 180; schedule of, for 1984, 121-22
- Mitchell, Betty,  
recipient of Lindell W. Sturgis Memorial Public Service Award, 349-50
- Norwood, William R.,  
appointed as member of the Ad Hoc Committee for the Five-Year Review, 320-21; appointed as member of the Finance Committee, 182; elected as representative to Board of Trustees of State Universities Retirement System, 182; NCAA Silver Anniversary Award Finalist, 114; recognition of, 114, 313-14
- O'Malley, Martha R.,  
recommendation for distinguished service award, SIUE, 294-96
- Parking lots, SIUC,  
project approval and selection of architect: repair and rehabilitation of, 142-43; approval of plans and specifications and award of contract, 324-25
- Parking lots, SIUE,  
project approval, approval of plans and specifications, and authority for the award of contracts, 70-71; increase in project budget and award of contracts, 300-01
- Pearson, Samuel,  
introduced as Dean of School of Social Sciences, SIUE, 344
- Physical components,  
amendment of policy for naming, 69-70
- Property, SIUE,  
proposal to dispose of, located at 3220 College Avenue, Alton, Illinois, 354-55
- Purchasing,  
update of policies, 18-22
- Purchase Orders and Contracts,  
report of, 8, 64, 119, 141, 185, 241, 291, 321, 353
- Reasonable and Moderate Extensions and Off-Campus Program Locations,  
information report, 64-65, 291-92
- Residence hall rates and apartment rentals, SIUC,  
notice of proposed increase, 171-74; increase in, 210-16
- Residency status tuition policies,  
report on application of, 209; notice of, 280-83; approval of, 301-09
- Revenue Bond Fee, SIUC,  
notice of proposed increase, 222-25; increase in, 251-54
- Roof Replacements, SIUE,  
recommendation of architect, 250-51
- Rowe, Harris,  
appointed as member of the Ad Hoc Committee for the Five-Year Review, 320-21; appointed as member of the Academic Matters Committee, 182; elected as member of the Executive Committee, 181; re-elected Chairman of the Board of Trustees, 180-81
- Salaries,  
increase plans for FY 84, 47-55
- Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville Foundation, Board of Directors, member appointed to, 183
- Southern Illinois University Foundation, SIUC,  
authorization for grant of easement by, Evergreen Terrace, SIUC, 120-21; Board of Directors of, report of, 3-4, 116-17, 288-89, 317-18; defeasance of SIU Foundation Bond Issue for the VTI Dormitory: disclaimer of legal interest or title, 359-61; Executive Committee of, report of, 115; members appointed to, 183
- State Universities Civil Service System, Administrative Advisory Committee, report of, 115; member elected to, 182; Merit Board, report of, 115-16, 183-84, 348-49
- State Universities Retirement System, Board of Trustees of, member elected to, 182; report of, 62, 238-39, 348
- Student Activity Fee and Students' Attorney Program Fee, SIUC, notice of proposed increase and redefinition of, 225-27; increase in, 254-57

- Student Medical Benefit Fee, SIUC, notice of proposed change, 227-30; change in, 257-62
- Student-to-Student Grant Money, SIUC, separation of on-campus undergraduate international student student-to-student grant money from the total student-to-student grant money collected, 310-11
- Sturgis, Lindell W., presentation of Memorial Public Service Award, 4-5, 349-50; presentation of Professional Achievement Award, 350-51
- Sumner, David, named Distinguished Professor, SIUC, 329
- Swimming Pool, SIUE, project approval and authority to seek architectural services, construction of an out-of-doors swimming pool, Tower Lake Recreation Peninsula, 186-88
- Technical Careers, School of, SIUC, restructuring of B.S., major in technical careers, Division of Advanced Technical Studies, 377-78
- Temporary Financial Arrangements for FY 1985, 355-56
- Thermal and Environmental Engineering, SIUC, retitling of Department of, 381-83
- Tuition, amendment to tuition and fee policies, SIUE, 23-30; policy for cost recovery programs, 123-26; rate increases for FY 85, notice of proposed, 197-202; increase in, 274-80; residency status tuition policies, notice of, 280-83; approval of, 301-09
- Vadalabene, Sam M.,  
 ✓ Center for Health, Recreation, and  
 ✓ Physical Education, SIUE, dedication of, 319; designation of, 107-09
- Van Meter, A. D., Jr., appointed as Chairman of the Architecture and Design Committee, 182; appointed to serve on Chicago World's Fair Authority, 115; re-elected Vice-Chairman of the Board of Trustees, 181
- Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, SIUC, Office of, administrative reorganization of, 217-22
- Vocational-Technical Institute, defeasance of SIU Foundation Bond Issue for the VTI Dormitory: disclaimer of legal interest or title, 359-61
- Wilkins, George T., Jr., appointed as member of the Architecture and Design Committee, 182; representative to Board of Directors, SIU at Edwardsville Foundation; and Joint Trustees Committee for Springfield Medical Education Programs, 183; re-elected as member of the Executive Committee, 181-82
- ✓ Workweek, authorization to reduce, 169-71

Southern Illinois  
University

Annual Report of the Board of Trustees

1983-84